

Closed Caption Log, Council Meeting, 08/09/07

Note: Since these log files are derived from the Closed Captions created during the Channel 6 live cablecasts, there are occasional spelling and grammatical errors. **These Closed Caption logs are not official records of Council Meetings and cannot be relied on for official purposes.** For official records or transcripts, please contact the City Clerk at 974-2210.

GOOD MORNING. I'M AUSTIN MAYOR WILL WYNN AND IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO WELCOME DEACON RALPH AREVALO OF SAINT JULIA'S CATHOLIC CHURCH WHO WILL LEAD US IN OUR INVOCATION. IF YOU WILL PLEASE RISE.

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND THE SON AND THE HOLY SPIRIT, AMEN. LORD JESUS CHRIST, THAT YOU OPEN OUR MINDS AND OUR HEARTS TODAY FOR THE ISSUES AND THE CONCERNS WE HAVE AND THAT WE MAKE A JUST DECISION FOR THE COMMUNITY OF OUR CITY. AND WE ASK THIS THROUGH CHRIST OUR LORD, AMEN.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, DEACON. THERE BEING A QUORUM PRESENT, I'LL CALL TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL. IT IS THURSDAY, AUGUST 9th, 2007, APPROXIMATELY 10:25 A.M. WE'RE IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS OF THE CITY HALL BUILDING, 301 WEST SECOND STREET. WE HAVE A HANDFUL OF CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS TO THIS WEEK'S POSTED AGENDA. THEY ARE TO NOTE THAT ITEM NUMBER 8 WILL BE POSTPONED TO AUGUST 23, 2007. THIS IS A STAFF REQUEST IS A THAT WILL BE PART OF OUR CONSENT AGENDA. ITEM NUMBER 23 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN. ON ITEM NUMBER 34, WE SHOULD CORRECT THE POSTING AND STRIKE THE WORDS "SEARCH FOR" AND INSERT THE WORD "PROFILE" OF A NEW CITY MANAGER AND THE SUGGESTED DATE AND TIME WILL BE THURSDAY, AUGUST 23, 2007, AT 3:00 P.M. ITEM NUMBER 36 WE SHOULD NOTE THAT COUNCILMEMBER COLE IS AN

ADDITIONAL CO-SPONSOR. WE'LL NOTE THAT ITEM NUMBER 38 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN OFF THE AGENDA. AND ON ITEM NUMBER 49, WE NEED TO INSERT THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WHICH IS TO DENY FAMILY RESIDENCE HISTORIC COMBINED DISTRICT ZONING. ON ITEM NUMBER 51, WE SHOULD CORRECT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADD THE PHRASE "CONDITIONAL OVERLAY NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN" OR CO-NP. WE SHOULD ALSO NOTE THAT ITEM NUMBER 44 POSTED EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEM REGARDING THE NORTHCROSS MALL HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN OFF THE AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: I DON'T BELIEVE ITEM 38 WHICH IS THE BYOB HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN.

Mayor Wynn: IT'S BEEN SUBSTITUTED. THERE WAS A SLIGHT POSTING ERROR AND SO THE REGIONAL POSTING FOR THE BYOB ORDINANCE 38 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN AND ON MONDAY ITEM NUMBER 61 REPLACED IT WITH THE PROPER POSTING. IS MY UNDERSTANDING. LET'S SEE. OUR SCHEDULE TODAY, AT NOON, OF COURSE, WE BREAK FOR OUR GENERAL CITIZEN COMMUNICATION. AT 2:00 WE WILL HAVE THE FIRST OF OUR THREE BUDGET BRIEFINGS AND THE PRESENTATIONS THIS AFTERNOON WILL BE FOR -- BUDGETS FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE DEPARTMENTS INCLUDING THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT, THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT, THE NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, AND THE SOLID WASTE SERVICES DEPARTMENT. THAT BUDGET BRIEFING WILL BEGIN APPROXIMATELY 2:00 P.M. TODAY. AT 3:00 WE HAVE OUR AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING. A SHORT AGENDA AS POSTED. AT 4:00 WE GO TO OUR ZONING HEARINGS, APPROVAL OF ORDINANCES AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS. 5:30 WE BREAK FOR LIVE MUSIC AND PROCLAMATIONS. GINA CHAVEZ IS OUR MUSICIAN. AT 6:00 WE HAVE OUR PUBLIC HEARINGS AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON THOSE HEARINGS. SO FAR, COUNCIL, A HANDFUL OF ITEMS HAVE BEEN PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA. ITEM NUMBER 3 TECHNICALLY IS PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA BECAUSE IT WILL REQUIRE A FORMAL ROLL CALL VOTE PER STATE LAW. ITEM NUMBER 32

I'VE ASKED TO BE TAKEN OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA. THIS IS THE FIRST READING OF THE AT MOST FRANCHISE AGREEMENT. I'VE ASKED FOR A BRIEF STAFF PRESENTATION TO ALERT THE PUBLIC ABOUT THAT POTENTIAL FRANCHISE. ITEM NUMBER 35 HAS BEEN PULLED BY MYSELF AND NOTE THAT ENOUGH CITIZENS HAVE SIGNED UP ANYWAY TO TAKE IT OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA. ITEM NUMBER 36 HAS BEEN PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA BY COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ AND WE'LL HAVE THAT BRIEF PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION SHORTLY AFTER OUR CONSENT AGENDA. AND ITEM NUMBER 39 IS PULLED BECAUSE IT RELATES TO ITEM NUMBER 3 WHICH IS THE ROLL CALL VOTE FOR A PROPOSED TAX RATE. SO COUNCIL, THOSE ARE THE ITEMS THAT HAVE BEEN PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA SO FAR. ANY ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO BE PULLED OR ADDED BACK?

MAYOR, WE WILL HAVE --

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: WE WILL HAVE A SHOT PRESENTATION ON WHAT WAS ITEM 38 ON THE BYOB ORDINANCE.

Mayor Wynn: MY SUGGESTION IS WE CAN KEEP IT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA AND JUST HAVE, I GUESS, CHIEF MCDONALD OR SOMEBODY GIVE US THAT BRIEF PRESENTATION. SO COUNCIL, HEARING NO ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO BE PULLED, OUR PROPOSED CONSENT AGENDA THIS MORNING WILL BE NEW NUMERICALLY TO APPROVE ITEMS 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, AS PER CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS TO BE POSTPONED TO AUGUST 23, 2007. 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, NOTING THAT 23 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN PER CHANGES AND CORRECTION. 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30. 31, 33, WHICH ARE APPOINTMENTS TO OUR BOARD AND COMMISSIONS, AND THEY ARE TO OUR AUSTIN COMMUNITY TECHNOLOGY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, ARTHUR SAM SON IS COUNCILMEMBER COLES APPOINTMENT, AND LEMUAL WILLIAMS JR. IS MAYOR PRO TEM DUNKERLEY'S APPOINTMENT. TO THE TASK FORCE, MAYOR PATRICK IS COUNCILMEMBER KIM'S APPOINTMENT. TO OUR URBAN FORESTRY BOARD, RYAN FLEMING IS COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ'S APPOINTMENT. AND TO OUR ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION, STEPHANIE HALE IS

COUNCILMEMBER COLE'S APPOINTMENT, AND KEITH JACKSON IS COUNCILMEMBER McCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT. THAT'S ITEM NUMBER 33 ON OUR CONSENT AGENDA. CONTINUING ON, WE WILL BE APPROVING ITEM NUMBER 34 PER CHANGES AND CORRECTION. 37, WE WILL NOTING THAT 38 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN FROM THE AGENDA. WE WILL BE APPROVING ON THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 40, 41, AND 61, OUR ADDENDUM. I'LL ENTERTAIN THAT MOTION.

[INAUDIBLE]

Mayor Wynn: 37 IS NOT PULLED. IT'S STILL ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. YES, SO MS. GENTRY WILL NOTE ITEM 37 HAS ALSO BEEN PULLED OFF THE AGENDA BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM. SO I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON CONSENT AGENDA THAT INCLUDES PULLING ITEM NUMBER 37. MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ, SECONDED BY COMB COUNCILMEMBER COLE TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS READ. COMMENTS? I NOTICE THAT BEFORE YOU ASK CHIEF MCDONALD TO COME UP AND TALK ABOUT ITEM 61, OUR BYOB ORDINANCE, THERE ARE A HANDFUL OF CITIZENS THAT SIGNED UP ON A COUPLE OF THESE ITEMS. I'LL CALL THOSE UP QUICKLY. ON ITEM NUMBER 2 REGARDING OUR WATER CONSERVATION TASK FORCE, JENNIFER WALKER AND BILL BUNCH HAVE SIGNED UP WISHING TO ADDRESS US. IF THEY ARE HERE, THEY ARE WELCOME TO DO THAT NOW. JENNIFER, WELCOME. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY BILL BUNCH.

HI, MY NAME IS JENNIFER WALKER. I'M A WATER RESOURCES SPECIALIST AT THE LONE STAR CHAPTER OF THE SIERRA CLUB. I'M HERE TODAY ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS WATER CONSERVATION COMMITTEE IN SUPPORT OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY'S WATER USE MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE. THESE CHANGES REPRESENT THE LARGEST AMOUNT OF WATER SAVINGS OF ALL THE WATER CONSERVATION TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE UTILITY AND ARE QUITE IMPORTANT. THE GOOD NEWS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CHANGES IS THAT THEY ARE EASIER TO UNDERSTAND AND ACTUALLY SIMPLIFY SOMETHING THAT HAS BEEN IN PLACE. I URGE THE COUNCIL

TO APPROVE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. I ALSO WANT TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE YOU TO THE CITIZENS WATER CONSERVATION COMMITTEE. THE FORMATION OF THIS COMMITTEE WAS ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE WATER CONSERVATION TASK FORCE. LITTLE COMPRISED.....IT IS ADVISED OF STAKEHOLDERS THAT WILL SERVE TO THE STAFF AND IMPLEMENTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS. THE COMMITTEE WILL DISCUSS ADDITIONAL IDEAS TO FURTHER THE CITY'S WATER CONSERVATION EFFORTS AND WILL PROVIDE EXPERTISE ACROSS A BROAD RANGE OF WATER USE SECTORS. WE HAVE INDIVIDUALS REPRESENTING NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL INTERESTS, DEVELOPERS, IRRELEVANT.....IRRIGATORS AND LCRA ARE ON AT THIS POINT AND WE PLAN TO ADD OTHER MEMBERS. WE ARE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS REGION ARE PLEASED TO SEE THE IMMATERIAL.....IMPLEMENTATIONS OF THOSE PROGRAMS BEGINNING. THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. WALKER. WELCOME, MR. BUNCH. YOU WILL ALSO HAVE THREE MINUTES.

THANK YOU, MAYOR AND COUPLES. I'M BILL BUDGE, THE SAVE OUR SPRINGS ALLIANCE. I WANT TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THIS ORDINANCE AND THANK YOU FOR THIS EFFORT. AT THE SAME TIME, I WANT TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO CONSIDERABLY MORE IN PURSUING WATER CONSERVATION AND MAKE A FEW KEY POINTS. I AM CONCERNED THAT A FEW OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS MAY HAVE GOTTEN LOST IN TRANSLATION. I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT THE DETAILS ENOUGH, BUT WE'LL BE DOING THAT IN DAYS AHEAD. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE CONSERVATION TASK FORCE BECAUSE I THINK THAT IS AN AVENUE FOR MOVING FORWARD MORE AGGRESSIVELY. THESE CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS IT IS IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO REMEMBER AND FOR THE COMMUNITY TO REALIZE DOESN'T EVEN GET US TO THE COST EFFECTIVE LEVEL OF INVESTMENT IN CONSERVATION. WE ARE STILL WASTING MONEY INVESTING IN EXPANDING OUR SUPPLY WHEN WE COULD BE SAVING THAT MONEY AND INVESTING IT IN DEMAND MANAGEMENT. PRECISELY AS WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO WITH OUR ELECTRIC CONSUMPTION. SO I

ENCOURAGE YOU TO QUICKLY MOVE TOWARDS ALL COST EFFECTIVE INVESTMENTS IN CONSERVATION. IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT WE CAN DO MUCH BETTER. WE ARE STILL WASTING AN ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF WATER AS A COMMUNITY. CONSUMPTION LAST YEAR OVERALL WAS 189 GALLONS PER CAPITA PER DAY. THE STATE SET CONSERVATION GOAL IS 140 GALLONS PER CAPITA PER DAY. THIS MEASURE CALLS FOR A GOAL OF ONLY 1% PER YEAR REDUCTION FROM OUR PEAK. IN OTHER WORDS, FROM OUR ABSOLUTELY MOST WASTEFUL DAY OF THE YEAR WE'VE SET A GOAL OF ONLY REDUCING 1% PER YEAR. WE CAN DO FAR BETTER THAN THAT. WE COULD IN THE BLINK OF AN EYE WITH A COMBINATION OF REGULATION AND INCENTIVES REDUCE THAT PEAK BY 20%. AND I WOULD CALL ON YOU TO LOOK VERY SERIOUSLY AT DOING THAT BECAUSE IT CAN SAVE US A DECADE OR TWO OR THREE DECADES IN BUILDING THIS BOONDOGGLE OF WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4 THAT'S ON YOUR AGENDA LATER TODAY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: MR. BUDGE, I APPRECIATE YOUR CONCERN AND ALSO BELIEVE WE CAN DO BETTER ON WATER CONSERVATION. THIS IS OUR FIRST STEP. MS. WALKER TALKED ABOUT THE CITIZENS COMMITTEE FOR WATER CONSERVATION. THAT COMMITTEE IS A CONTINUING COMMITTEE. IT WILL HAVE TWO PURPOSES. ONE IS TO MONITOR THE PROGRESS OF THE MEASURES WE'VE ALREADY RECOMMENDED, AND THE SECOND IS TO RECOMMEND NEW CONSERVATION MEASURES FOR US. SO THAT PROCESS IS ONGOING AND I BELIEVE WE CAN DO BETTER.

THANK YOU. I AGREE COMPLETING.

Mayor Wynn: MR. BUNCH, WHEW.....WHILE YOU ARE STANDING, YOU'VE ALSO SIGNED UP TO ADDRESS ITEM 41 WHICH TECHNICALLY WE'RE JUST SETTING THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR YOUR APPEAL, BUT I TRUST YOU ARE IN AGREEMENT PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE SET FOR OUR CONSENT AGENDA FOR AUGUST 30th AT 6:00 P.M. THANK YOU. LET'S SEE. AND I BELIEVE THAT IS PROBABLY ALL OF OUR CITIZEN SPEAKERS. WE HAVE ONE PERSON WHO

WANTS TO SPEAK ON ITEM NUMBER 61, BUT PRIOR TO THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE OUR BRIEFING FROM CHIEF MCDONALD. ITEM 61, OUR ADDENDUM ITEM, IS THE REPLACEMENT POSTING FOR WHAT WE CALL THE BYOB ORDINANCE. THANK YOU, CHIEF.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. GOOD MORNING. MICHAEL MCDONALD, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER OVER THE PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENTS. MAYOR AND COUNCIL, ITEM 61 REGULATES BUSINESSES THAT ALLOW ON-PREMISE CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL BUT WHICH ARE NOT COVERED BY TABC. THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE WILL REQUIRE BYOB VENUES TO GET A PERMIT, IMPOSE REGULATIONS, AND ALLOW THE CITY TO ENFORCE ADMINISTRATIVE, CRIMINAL AND CIVIL PENALTIES. THE REASONS FOR CREATING THE ORDINANCE ARE SEVERAL. CITIZEN COMPLAINTS. WE'VE HAD NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS FROM CITIZENS WHERE SOME OF THESE ESTABLISHMENTS HAVE BEEN LOCATED ABOUT ACTIVITIES THAT TAKE PLACE AT THE BUSINESS, THE PARKING LOTS AND THE ROADWAYS. WE'VE ALSO HAD COMPLAINTS OF LOUD NOISE. SOME RESIDENTS COMPLAIN THAT THE NOISE IS LOUD UP UNTIL 6:00 A.M. SUNDAY MORNINGS IN MANY CASES. AND COMPLAIN ABOUT THAT ENVIRONMENT. ALSO, SOME OF THESE GROUPS WHERE SOME OF THE BYOB'S ARE LOCATED HAVE MADE ATTEMPTS TO MEET WITH THE OWNERS AND NOT HAD SUCCESS SO THEY'VE CONTINUED TO COMPLAIN TO US ABOUT THE ACTIVITIES. THERE'S PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS. DIFFICULTIES WITH ENFORCEMENT. YOU KNOW, ESTABLISHMENTS THAT ARE REGULATED BY TABC HAVE RULES THEY HAVE TO GO BY AND THIS IS ONE AREA WITHIN STATE LAW THAT'S NOT ADDRESSED SO THEREFORE THE DIFFICULTIES WITH ENFORCEMENT ASSOCIATED WITH NO RULES BEING IN PLACE. LACK OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. IN SOME OF THESE CASES, THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS SALT DOWN AND TRIED TO COMMUNICATE THE CITIZENS' AND REACH AGREEMENTS WITH SOME OF THESE ESTABLISHMENTS AND HAVE NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL. A DRAIN ON TAX DOLLARS AND CITY RESOURCES. SPECIFICALLY OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO THESE AREAS BECAUSE OF THE HIGH VOLUME OF COMPLAINTS AND 911 CALLS THAT COME IN. THERE ARE MANY CASES PULLED

AWAY FROM OTHER CALLS FOR SERVICE THAT THEY SHOULD BE HANDLING IN THAT AREA AND VICE VERSA WHEN THEY ARE HAVING TO RESPOND TO THE CALLS OF SERVICES HERE, IT DELAYS US IN RESPONDING TO SOME OF THE OTHER NEEDS IN THAT AREA. IT HAS GOTTEN TO THE POINT OVER THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS WE'VE HAD TO EMPLOY YEAR TIME JUST TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUES THAT HAVE OCCURRED AT SOME OF THESE LOCATIONS OVER THE LAST -- NOT JUST IN THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, BUT OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. ALCOHOL-RELATED ISSUES. BYOB ESTABLISHMENTS, YOU KNOW, YOU DON'T HAVE A BARTENDER IN PLACE AND SO THERE'S A LACK OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR ASSESSING THE CONSUMPTION LEVELS AND HOW LONG THE ACTIVITIES ARE TAKING PLACE AT THOSE AREAS. COMMUNITY BLIGHT. SOME OF THESE AREAS WITH THE BYOB'S HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED ARE AREAS WHERE WE'VE HAD REVITAL EFFORTS AND BECAUSE OF SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES MANY OF THE RESIDENTS WE VALUE AND WOULD LIKE TO KEEP IN THOSE COMMUNITIES HAVE EXPRESSED INTEREST IN RELOCATING. AND THEN LAST, INEQUITY ISSUES. AGAIN, TABC REGULATES BARS AND RESTAURANTS THAT HAVE PERMITS TO SERVE, BUT BYOB ESTABLISHMENTS DO NOT. COUNCIL, YOUR LEGAL AUTHORITY TO EXERCISE THIS ORDINANCE COMES UNDER YOUR POWERS AS A HOME RULE CITY AND ALSO THESE BYOB'S ARE NOT REGULATED BY THE STATE. THE PERMIT WILL REQUIRE THAT BYOB VENUES MUST APPLY FOR AND MAINTAIN A VALID PERMIT. THEY MUST COMPLY WITH THE CODE. THEY MUST NOT SELL ALCOHOL. THEY MUST NOT ALLOW ON-DUTY EMPLOYEES TO CONSUME ALCOHOL. MUST NOT ALLOW CON.... CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL OUTSIDE APPROVED AREAS. THEY MUST HAVE SECURITY. MUST ALLOW FOR IMMEDIATE ACCESS AND ENTRY. MUST NOTIFY A.P.D. OF ILLEGAL ACTIVITY OCCURRING ON THE PREMISES AND MUST FOLLOW THE HOURS OF OPERATION REGULATIONS WHICH ARE NO NEW PATRONS ALLOWED INTO THE ESTABLISHMENT AFTER 2:00 A.M., NO CONSUMPTION AFTER 2:15 A.M., AND EVERYONE MUST BE OFF THE PREMISE BY 2:30 A.M. YOU'VE BEEN SERVED A SERIES OF APPEALS AVAILABLE TO ESTABLISHMENTS, AVAILABLE FOR APPLICATION DENIALS AND FOR PERMIT SUSPENSIONS AND REVOCATIONS. BYOB VENUES MAY REQUEST A HEARING

FROM THE DIRECTOR OF WATERSHED PROTECTION WHEN THE PERMIT IS SUSPENDED OR REVOKED. BYOB VENUE MAY REQUEST AN APPEAL TO THE CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE FOR APPLICATION DENIALS, SUSTAINED SUSPENSIONS OR REVOCATIONS, AND THERE IS A GOOD CAUSE EXCEPTION. IF THEY DO NOT SHOW UP FOR COURT AND THEY HAVE A BONA FIDE CLAUSE AND EXCEPTION CAN BE MADE, OTHER THAN THAT THE VIOLATION WILL STAND. WITH REGARDS TO ENFORCEMENT, IF A PERMIT HOLDER VIOLATES THE ORDINANCE, THE CITY CAN SEEK A SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION. IF THE PERMIT HOLDER OPERATES DURING A SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION, THE CITY CAN SEEK AN INJUNCTION. AND THE VIOLATION OF VARIOUS PROVISIONS OF THE ORDINANCE ARE A CLASS C MISDEMEANOR. BUT WE'VE ALSO, COUNCIL, DONE TO -- BECAUSE THIS IS A LENGTHY ORDINANCE, WHAT WE'VE DONE IS INSERTED SOME ADMINISTRATIVE RULES THAT WILL BE DESIGNED TO HELP PROVIDE FURTHER GUIDANCE TO BYOB ESTABLISHMENTS. SOME OF THE TOPICS THAT WILL BE INVOLVED IN THOSE MORE EXTENSIVE RULES ARE THE APPLICATION DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION CONCERNING CONVICTIONS, HEARINGS AND APPEALS PROCEDURES FOR MILK DENIALS, PERMIT SUSPENSIONS AND REVOCATIONS, AND ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS AND PROCEDURES FOR CIVIL PENALTIES. THE EFFECTIVE DATES OF THE ORDINANCE THAT YOU ARE PASSING IS THE HOURS OF OPERATION -- WELL, IF THE ORDINANCE IS PASSED, THE HOURS OF OPERATION SECTION AND OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS OF THE ORDINANCE WILL BE EFFECTIVE AT 12:01 A.M. THE DAY AFTER THE PUBLICATION OF THIS ORDINANCE. AND BASICALLY WE PLAN TO HAVE THIS PUBLICIZED IN THE NEXT DAY SO IT WOULD BE PUBLICIZED BY SATURDAY, SO THEREFORE THE TERMS OF THIS ORDINANCE IN TERMS OF TIMES OF OPERATION WOULD GO INTO FEKD SUNDAY MORNING AT 12:01 A.M., WHICH MEANS AN ESTABLISHMENT OF OPERATION WOULD HAVE TO CLOSE BY 2:00 A.M. SUNDAY MORNING, WOULD HAVE TO DISCONTINUE CONSUMPTION AT 2:15 AND EVERYONE WOULD HAVE TO BE OFF THE PREMISE BY 2:30 A.M. SUNDAY MORNING. MOST OF THE REGULATIONS WILL BE EFFECTIVE ON AUGUST 20th, WHICH IS CUSTOMARY FOR ORDINANCES 10 DAYS LATER. AND THEN BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT THERE'S SOME ADDITIONAL WORK THAT NEEDS

TO BE DONE TO HELP THE OWNERS OF THESE ESTABLISHMENTS TO GET IN COMPLIANCE, THE BALANCE OF THE ORDINANCE WHICH RELATE TO THE SECURITY PLAN, THE AREAS THAT CONSUMPTION CAN TAKE PLACE, AND THE PERMIT WOULD COME EFFECTIVE 45 DAYS LATER ON OCTOBER THE 4th. COUNCIL, THAT CONCLUDES THE PRESENCE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL ENTERTAIN.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, CHIEF. QUESTIONS OF CHIEF MCDONALD? COUNCILMEMBER MART.

Martinez: CHIEF, I WANTED TO ASK YOU IF WE HAVE A NUMBER ON HOW MANY CLUBS WILL BE AFFECTED BY THIS ONCE IT GOES INTO EFFECT.

[INAUDIBLE]

Martinez: AND THAT WOULD BE CHESTER'S AND --.

THAT'S CHESTER'S AND DE'S. DE'S AT 1212 CHICON.

Martinez: THANKS, CHIEF.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER QUESTIONS OF STAFF? COMMENTS? WE DO HAVE -- COUNCILMEMBER McCracken.

McCracken: NOT ON THIS ITEM.

Mayor Wynn: WE HAD ONE SPEAKER SIGNED UP WISHING TO ADDRESS THIS ON ITEM 61, OUR BYOB ORDINANCE, AS PRESENT BY CHIEF MACK DUNE..... MCDONALD. THAT WOULD BE DARRIN WIDERMEYER. DARRIN SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION AND NOW WILL BE HIS TIME TO SPEAK IF HE IS IN THE ROOM. DARRIN WIDERMEYER. WE'LL NOTE HIS OPPOSITION FOR THE RECORD. FURTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE BYOB ORDINANCE? COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: YES, MAYOR, I WOULD LIKE TO FIRST AND FOREMOST THANK CHIEF MCDONALD AND THE LAW DEPARTMENT AND THE PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS THAT WORKED VERY HARD ON THIS ORDINANCE. WE ARE TRULY NOW AT A CROSSROADS OF HOW CITIZENS DEAL WITH THEIR POLICE

DEPARTMENT, AND WE'VE HAD A NUMBER OF RECENT INCIDENTS THAT HAS HIGHLIGHTED. THAT AND I FEEL VERY STRONGLY THAT THIS ORDINANCE MOVES US IN A POSITIVE DIRECTION BY LIMITING THE OPERATIONS OF THESE TYPE OF ESTABLISHMENTS AND CONTROLLING THE ALCOHOL AND REQUIRING SECURITY. SO I WANT TO THANK THE STAFF THAT WORKED OUT AND ALSO THE NEIGHBORS THAT CONTINUE TO COMPLAIN ABOUT THE NOISE POLLUTION. EVER SINCE I'VE BEEN IN OFFICE, I'VE RECEIVED NUMEROUS CALLED AND WE'VE RECEIVED OVER 543 COMPLAINTS TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ABOUT VARIOUS VIOLATIONS OF CITY ORDINANCES ASSOCIATED WITH THESE ESTABLISHMENTS. SO AFTER THIS GOES INTO EFFECT, I HOPE THAT WE SEE A DECREASE IN THE VOLATILE ENVIRONMENT BETWEEN OUR PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS AND CITIZENS IN THE AREA. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER.
COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: WHEN WE WERE ABLE TO MEET WITH SOME OF THE NEIGHBORS ON NATIONAL NIGHT OUT THIS WEEK, THEY WERE OPENLY SUPPORTIVE OF THIS MEASURE BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN SUFFERING FROM HAVING THAT CLUB NEXT TO THEM. AND AS BUSINESS OWNERS, WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY, ALL BUSINESS OWNERS HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY ON TO BE A GOOD NEIGHBOR AND TO BE RESPONSIBLE. AND THE PRIVILEGE OF HAVING A FREE MARKET SOME OF THE WHERE YOU CAN START A BUSINESS ALSO COMES WITH RESPONSIBILITY. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING IS HOLDING BUSINESSES ACCOUNTABLE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ABLE TO PROVIDE A GOOD LIFE AND A HIGH QUALITY OF LIFE FOR EVERYONE IN OUR CITY SO I SUPPORT THIS MEASURE. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. FURTHER COMMENTS, AGAIN, JUST ON OUR BYOB ORDINANCE. THANK YOU ALL. AS A REMINDER, WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND ON THE TABLE TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA. FURTHER COMMENTS?
COUNCILMEMBER McCRACKEN.

McCracken: I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT ITEM 41. THAT'S ON

THE CONSENT AGENDA STILL, RIGHT?

Mayor Wynn: YES. WE'RE JUST SETTING THE PUBLIC HEARING.

McCracken: IT SIMPLY IS MY UNDERSTANDING IS THE TIMING OF IT IS TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AUGUST 30th. IS THAT STILL WHAT'S PLANNED ON THAT?

Mayor Wynn: CURRENTLY ITEM 41 IS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA AND THE SUGGESTED TIME IS AUGUST 30th, 6:00 P.M.

McCracken: I JUST HAVE A QUESTION FOR RUDY GARZA. WHAT I HAD ASKED WAS FOR INFORMATION ABOUT AVAILABLE ALTERNATE SITES THAT COULD ACCOMMODATE A SMALLER FOOTPRINT OF A WATER TREATMENT PLANT. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE WE HAD THAT INFORMATION PRIOR TO HOLDING A PUBLIC HEARING ON AUGUST 30th SO WE WOULD KNOW WHETHER WE WOULD HAVE A BETTER SENSE OF THE OPTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME, MR. GARZA.

McCracken: RUDY, THE FIRST QUESTION IS WHAT I HAD ASKED YOU ON TUESDAY IS IF AUSTIN WATER COULD ANALYZE THE FOLLOWING: WE HAVE A SITE AT BULL CREEK RATED FOR A 300 MILLION-GALLON PER DAY WATER TREATMENT PLANT. ALTHOUGH WE'RE BUILDING A 50 MILLION-GALLON PLANT CURRENTLY. THAT'S DRIVEN THE SITE ANALYSIS TO DATE IS THE SITE HAD TO BE BIG ENOUGH TO ACCOMMODATE A 300 MILLION A DAY PLANT. WHAT I'D ASKED AND I KNOW YOU ARE WORKING ON IT IS TO LOOK AT WHAT IF WE WENT TO A SMALLER MAXIMUM SIZE PLANT, WHAT ADDITIONAL SITES, IF ANY, COULD BECOME FEASIBLE AT THAT POINT. AND I THINK YOU HAVE SOME PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS, BUT I WANTED, FIRST, IF YOU COULD TELL US WHAT YOUR PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS IS AND SECOND, IF YOU WILL HAVE MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS FOR US BEFORE AUGUST 30th.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER, OUR PRELIMINARY RESULTS, LOOKING AT A COUPLE OF OPTIONS, IF WE WERE TO DOWN

SIZE TO A 200 PLANT, AND THESE ARE VERY PRELIMINARY, THERE COULD BE REDUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY THREE TO FOUR ACRES OF THE PLANT SITE ITSELF. THERE'S SOME VARIABLES DEPENDING ON WHAT SITE -- IF WE WOULD BE AT THE SAME SITE OR DIFFERENT SITE DEPENDING ON THE FEATURES OF THAT SITE. WE DO KNOW THAT WE ALSO WOULD NEED SPACE FOR WATER QUALITY PONDS AND SOME ADDITIONAL BUFFER. BUT GOING FROM A 300 TO A 200 PLANT WE DO BELIEVE THERE WOULD BE SOME OPPORTUNITIES LIMB NAIRL OF A REDUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY FOUR ACRES. AND AGAIN THAT IS CORRECT NUMBERS CONTINUES TO BE VETTED. OUR ENVIRONMENTAL STAFF IS WORKING WITH THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT AND OUR DESIGN CONSULTANT.

McCracken: MY UNDERSTANDING IS IF WE WERE TO -- IF THE COUNCIL WERE TO SAY, OKAY, THE MAXIMUM SIZE THIS PLANT COULD BE AT ANY POINT IN THE NIEWT WOULD BE 150E MILLION GALLONS PER DAY, THAT WOULD FURTHER REDUCE THE A ACREAGE NEEDED TO ACCUMULATE A PLANT OF THAT SIZE?

YES, IT WOULD BE VERY INCLEMENTAL AT THAT POINT. THERE IS CERTAINLY SOME FIXED COMPONENTS TO THE PLANT THAT WILL REMAIN. TYPICALLY THE BASINS WHERE WE'LL HAVE SOME OPPORTUNITY AND THERE'S FURTHER ANALYSIS THAT WOULD BE NEEDED. PRELIMINARILY, IT COULD BE AN INCREMENTAL CHANGE OF MAYBE ONE ACRE. BUT WE WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE COUNCIL WITH MORE DETAILS BEHIND THAT.

McCracken: AND IN TERMS OF WHETHER WE HOLD THE PUBLIC HEARING ON AUGUST 30th OR NOT, A SPENDING MORE MONEY AND DOING MORE WORK AT THE BULL CREEK SITE, WHICH IS THE SITE THAT'S BIG ENOUGH RIGHT NOW TO HOLD 300 MILLION-GALLON PER DAY PLANT. WILL YOU HAVE THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO COUNCIL BEFORE AUGUST 30th ABOUT OTHER SITES THAT COULD BECOME AVAILABLE SEPARATE FROM BULL CREEK OR CORTANA, OTHER SITES AVAILABLE FOR A SMALLER RATED PLANT SIZE? WILL YOU GET THAT INFORMATION FOR US BEFORE AUGUST 30th?

IF COUNCIL WOULD LIKE FOR US TO GO BACK AND REVIEW

THE SITES THAT COULD EVENTUALLY BE ACCOMMODATED WITH A REDUCED ACHE.....ACHE RIDGE, CERTAINLY WE COULD DO THAT.

McCracken: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT ANALYSIS HAS NOT BEEN PERFORMED PREVIOUSLY. IS THAT CORRECT?

TO GO BACK A YEAR AGO, WE LOOKED AT TRACTS BEGINNING WITH THE TWO-ACRE TRACTS, BUT TRY TO PIECE ACRES TOGETHER TO GIVE US WHAT WE NEEDED. SO WE HAVE THE DATA FROM THAT ASSESSMENT, BUT CLEARLY AT THAT TIME WE WERE LOOKING FOR A 50-ACRE TRACT THAT WOULD ACCOMMODATE IT. AND IF COUNCIL DECIDED THEY WANTED US TO GO FORWARD LOOKING AT A DIFFERENT SITE THAT WOULD BE ACCOMMODATED WITH A SMALLER PLANT, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE COULD DO.

McCracken: AND I KNOW THAT THE COUNTY HAS DONE A LOOK.....A LOT OF WORK ON THIS AND I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO WORK TW COUNTY TO SEE IF THERE ARE ALTERNATIVE SITES OTHER THAN THE BULL CREEK SITE THEY COULD HOLD A SMALLER PLANT. AS WE ALL KNOW, THE SITE, THE PLAN ITSELF FOR INTENDING TO BUILD THE MOMENT IS ONLY A 50 MILLION-GALLON PER DAY PLANT. WE'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT A POTENTIAL SITE THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO HOLD A PLANT THREE TO OUR TIMES BIGGER THAN WHAT WE'RE BUILDING NOW. SOUNDS LIKE WE HAVE SOME OPPORTUNITIES TO FIND A DIFFERENT LOCATION BY SHRINKING THE MAXIMUM ULTIMATE SIZE OF THE WATER PLANT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE THAT INFORMATION BEFORE US BEFORE WE WERE TO ACTUALLY HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING WHENEVER THAT PUBLIC HEARING WOULD BE.

AND AGAIN, AT THIS POINT CERTAINLY OUR CURRENT DIRECTION IS PROCEED WITH BULL CREEK AND THAT IS THE COURSE WE'RE TAKING. AND WE HAVE THE INFORMATION, WE HAVE THE STAFF PREPARED TO DO FURTHER ASSESSMENT IF IN FACT THAT'S WHAT COUNCIL WOULD LIKE FOR US TO DO.

McCracken: SO YOU WILL HAVE THAT BEFORE THE AUGUST

30th MEETING?

I SHOULD BE ABLE TO ASSEMBLE MY TEAM.

McCracken: THE FINAL THING, BEFORE WE WOULD HAVE A HEARING ON AUGUST 30, WOULD BE ANALYSIS WHERE WE COULD AS PART OF THAT STRATEGY EXPAND THE ULRICH PLANT. EITHER IN SOME INCREMENT OR AS OUR PRIMARY FOCUS. I KNOW THERE'S OTHER THINGS WE'RE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT SHORTLY ABOUT THE POTENTIAL REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP WHICH IS THE LONG SHOT AND CERTAINLY SEEMS LIKE THIS IS A MORE REALISTIC STRATEGY TO GET US ALL TO THE BULL CREEK SITE. ONE THING I WOULD ASK FOR BEFORE THIS AUGUST 30 PUBLIC HEARING IS WE ALSO RECEIVE ANALYSIS ABOUT WHETHER WE COULD AS PART OF THE STRATEGY HAVE A COMPONENT OF IT BE EXPANDING THE ULRICH WATER TREATMENT PLANT.

WE CAN ALSO LOOK AT THAT IF THAT'S WHAT THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE FOR US TO GO.

McCracken: GIVEN WE'LL HAVE ALL THAT INFORMATION IN ADVANCE OF THE AUGUST 30th HEARING, IT SOUNDS LIKE AUGUST 30th IS OKAY TO SET AS PLACE HOLDER KNOWING WE'LL HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT COULD, IT SOUNDS LIKE, GIVE US THE POTENTIAL OF ANOTHER LOCATION.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: I GUESS THIS IS A LEGAL QUESTION, BUT IS THERE A CLOCK THAT STARTED, WE SET THE PUBLIC HEARING, THERE'S A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME THAT HAS TO LAPSE BEFORE YOU HAVE THE PUBLIC HEARING?

MARTHA TERRY, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY. THERE IS NO INTERNAL CLOCK IN TERMS OF SETTING THE ACTUAL HEARING ITSELF; HOWEVER, WE ARE REQUIRED TO GIVE NOTICE. SO WE ARE IN THE PROCESS, AND ONCE YOU SET THE HEARING TODAY, WE WILL GIVE NOTICE. WHAT THAT DOES IS GIVES YOU AN ADDITIONAL OPTION WHEN WE GET TO AUGUST 30th IF THERE IS MORE TIME THAT IS NEED..... NEEDED, YOU CAN POSTPONE THE ITEM AT THAT TIME.

Leffingwell: YOU DON'T HAVE TO RENOTICE IN THAT CASE?

IF YOU PINPOINT IT TO A TIME CERTAIN, THAT TAKES CARE OF ALL THE NOTICE ISSUES.

Leffingwell: OKAY. WELL, MR. GARZA, WE HAD TALKED YESTERDAY ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF POSTPONING THE PUBLIC HEARING UNTIL OCTOBER 11th, AND I BELIEVE YOU INDICATED TO ME THAT THAT WOULDN'T POSE ANY SEVERE PROBLEMS FOR YOU. I WONDER IF IT MIGHT BE A GOOD IDEA TO GIVE OURSELVES A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME AND SET THE PUBLIC HEARING ON OCTOBER 11th INSTEAD OF AUGUST 30th. WOULD THAT GIVE YOU A LITTLE MORE BREATHING ROOM?

YES, SIR. THE PATH THAT WE'RE IN NOW IS NEXT WEEK WE'LL BEGIN THE SOLICITATION PROCESS TO BEGIN THE CONSTRUCTION AND TO OBTAIN A CONTRACTOR TO BEGIN THE CONSTRUCTION. WE CONTINUE WITH THAT PROCESS. THAT IS CRITICAL THAT WE CONTINUE THAT. CLEARLY WE WOULD NOT BEGIN ANY CONSTRUCTION UNTIL AFTER WE WOULD RECEIVE FINAL DECISION BY COUNCIL. BUT THE KEY IS WE WOULD NEED TO CONTINUE WITH THE CONTRACTING PROCESS.

Leffingwell: IF IT'S IN ORDER, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE MAKER OF THE CONSENT AGENDA AND THE SECOND IF WE COULD REVISE THAT TO OCTOBER 11th FOR SETTING THE PUBLIC HEARING.

Mayor Wynn: LET'S SEE, I BELIEVE COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ WAS OUR MAKER AND COUNCILMEMBER COLE IS SECOND ON OUR CONSENT AGENDA. AGAIN, THAT REQUEST IS ON ITEM 41 WE'RE TECHNICALLY JUST SETTING THE PUBLIC HEARING DATE FOR AN APPEAL OF THE PLATTING -- ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AT WATER TREATMENT PLANT NUMBER 4. WE HAD PROPOSED AUGUST 30th. THE REQUEST NOW IS TO OCTOBER 11th, 2007. COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ, AS THE MAKER, DO YOU --

[INAUDIBLE]

Mayor Wynn: AND COUNCILMEMBER COLE? COUNCIL, WITHOUT OBJECTION WE WILL AMEND THE CONSENT AGENDA TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING, MS. TERRY, ON ITEM NUMBER 41 FOR THURSDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2007, AT 6:00 P.M.

Leffingwell: THANK YOU, MAIMPLT AND.....MAYOR. AND SINCE WE HAVE THE TIME TO DO THIS I THINK IT'S PRUDENT BECAUSE I KNOW A NUMBER OF US ARE INTERESTED IN EXPLORING OPTIONS THAT MAY COME UP IN THE NEXT MONTH OR SO.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA. THE MORE TIME WE HAVE ON ESPECIALLY THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND GIVING THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD LEADERSHIP AND RAISING VERY SERIOUS QUESTIONS TO CORE IS A GOOD IDEA SO I WOULD SUPPORT THAT.

Mayor Wynn: AGAIN, WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE FOR THE AMENDED CONSENT AGENDA. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO COUNCIL, WE HAVE A HANDFUL OF POTENTIAL DISCUSSION ITEMS WE COULD TAKE UP THIS MORNING. WE WILL START WITH ITEM NUMBER 36, WHICH TECHNICALLY WAS PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA, ALTHOUGH IT'S AN ITEM FROM COUNCIL. COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ, WOULD YOU CARE TO INTRODUCE THIS? ACTUALLY LET'S GIVE FOLKS A COUPLE MINUTES TO CLEAR OUT OF THE ROOM. ITEM 36 REGARDING OUR FIRE STATIONS. COUNCILMEMBER MART..... MARTINEZ.

Martinez: FIRST OF ALL I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY FOR CO-SPONSORING THIS. WE'RE ASKING FOR STAFF TO GIVE US A FRESH PERSPECTIVE THAT DON'T HAVE SEPARATE CHANGING FACILITIES FOR OUR WOMEN FIREFIGHTERS. OBVIOUSLY THEY SERVE IN THE SAME CAPACITY AS EVERY OTHER FIREFIGHTER IN THE DEPARTMENT. BUT IN MANY CASES THEY HAVE TO SERVE WITH LESS RESOURCES THAN EVERY OUR FIREFIGHTER. AND THEY'VE BEEN DOING THIS FOR OVER 30 YEARS FOR THE AUSTIN FIRE DEPARTMENT. AND SO I THINK IT'S

INCUMBENT UPON US TO MAKE THE SAME COMMITMENT TO THEM THAT THEY MAKE TO THIS COMMUNITY IN PROVIDING THE FACILITIES THAT ARE NEEDED TO JUST LIVE A NORMAL LIFE AT THE FIRE STATION AND NOT HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THINGS THAT MANY OF US TAKE FOR GRANTED. SO WHAT WE'RE ASKING STAFF IS TO COME BACK WITH A FRESH PERSPECTIVE ON A COST ANALYSIS FOR THE REMAINING STATIONS THAT STILL DO NOT HAVE SEPARATE FACILITIES FOR OUR WOMEN FIREFIGHTERS. ONCE THAT INFORMATION COMES BACK, THEN I'M HOPEFUL THAT WE AS A COUNCIL WILL PUT A SOUND STRATEGY AND PLAN IN PLACE AND MAKE A LONG-TERM COMMITMENT TO ULTIMATELY, YOU KNOW, FINISH THIS PROJECT THAT WE HAVE STARTED, THAT WE HAVE MADE SOME PREVIOUS COMMITMENTS TO. WE'VE SEEN IN OTHER AREAS OF THE COUNTRY WHERE MUNICIPALITIES HAVE BEEN PUT IN A REALLY DIFFICULT SITUATION BY BEING FORCED TO RETROFIT THEIR STATIONS VIA A JUDGE'S ORDER. I THINK IT'S COMMENDABLE THAT THE FIREFIGHTERS ASSOCIATION AND THE WOMEN FIREFIGHTERS HAVE NOT CHOSEN TO GO THAT ROUTE AND HAVE COME TO COUNCIL AND ASKED US TO CONSIDER THIS AND TO MAKE THAT COMMITMENT BEFORE IT NEEDS TO GET DOWN THAT ROAD. I THINK IT WOULD BE DEVASTATING TO US IF IT DID GET TO THAT POINT AND WE WERE ORDERED TO RETROFIT ALL OF THE STATIONS AT ONCE. IT WOULD BE A SEVERE COST THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO INCUR. SO I JUST WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES AND THANK THE FIREFIGHTERS FOR BEING HERE AND I BELIEVE THEY HAVE SOME COMMENTS THEY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE.

Mayor Wynn: YES. BEFORE WE HAVE SOME CITIZEN FIREFIGHTER TESTIMONY, ANY FURTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL, QUESTIONS? IF NOT, WE'LL GO TO OUR CITIZEN SIGN-UP. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS BECKY BROOKS. WELCOME BACK, BECKY. IT WAS CAPTAIN BROOKS, WASN'T IT? AT ONE POINT. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY LISA WATTS, FOLLOWED BY STEVEN TRUESDALE.

RETIERMD CAPTAIN BECKY BROOKS. I WANT TO START BY HANDING OUT A LETTER. [INAUDIBLE] SO YOU REMEMBER WHO YOU GOT IT FROM. THANK YOU, MAYOR, A SPECIAL THANKS TO MAYOR PRO TEM AND COUNCILMEMBER MIKE MARTINEZ AND COUNCILMEMBER COLE FOR SUPPORTING

THIS RESOLUTION. AS YOU GET THAT LETTER, IT WAS WRITTEN ALMOST EXACTLY SEVEN YEARS AGO, ON AUGUST 11, 2000, ANOTHER WOMAN GAVE THIS LETTER TO ALL THE COUNCILMEMBERS. IT DESCRIBED LIFE AS A WOMAN FIREFIGHTER AND PLEADS FOR MONEY TO RENOVATE ALL THE FIRE STATIONS. OTHER THAN THE DATE, I ONLY HAD TO MAKE ONE CHANGE ON THAT LETTER TO MAKE IT ACCURATE TODAY. THE PART WHERE IT SAYS WOMEN HAVE BEEN IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, AUSTIN FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR MORE THAN 20 YEARS SHOULD NOW READ 30. SO I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE STUDY. I WOULD ASK THAT YOU PLEASE BACK IT UP WITH MONEY. ALTHOUGH I'M RETIRED, MY DAUGHTER-IN-LAW WORKS AT STATION 23, MY STEPDAUGHTER IS IN CADET CLASS, AND MY DAUGHTER IS APPLYING IN THE FALL FOR HOPEFULLY THE NEXT CLASS. IT STILL MATTERS TO ME. SO THANKS FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, CAPTAIN BROOKS. LISA WATTS. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY STEVEN TRUESDALE.

THANK YOU. FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM AND THE COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS LISA WATTS AND I'M A FIREFIGHTER WITH THE AUSTIN FIRE DEPARTMENT. OUR COMMUNITY IS ONE OF THE NEW, UPCOMING, GROWING CITIES. AND WE'RE ACTUALLY BECOMING A CITY THAT OTHER CITIES MODEL THEIR GROWTH ON, YET WE'RE STILL IN THE DARK AGES WHEN IT COMES TO FACILITIES, CITY FACILITIES FOR WOMEN FIREFIGHTERS AND FOR ANY GUESTS THAT WOULD COME TO THE FIRE STATION. THESE WOMEN WOULD HAVE TO WALK THROUGH THE LOCKER ROOMS, WHICH ARE ALL UNISEX. SO ALL OF OUR BATHROOMS RIGHT NOW ARE UNISEX. I WANT YOU GUYS TO THINK ABOUT THAT AS CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. IF WE WERE TO TAKE A BREAK RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE NINE OF YOU SITTING UP THERE. YOU WOULD HAVE TO DO A HEAD COUNT LIKE WE DO AT THE STATION. I LOOK AROUND AND GO ONE, TWO, THREE, FIVE. THE FIVE GUYS ARE THERE, I CAN USE THE RESTROOM. THINK ABOUT THAT AND IT WILL GIVE US A BETTER PERSPECTIVE OF WHAT WE DEAL WITH ON A DAILY BASIS. I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO MENTION THAT WHEN WE DO GO INTO THE RESTROOM FOR A LONG AMOUNT OF TIME EITHER TO SHOWER OR TAKE CARE OF BUSINESS, WE LOCK THE

DOORS, WHICH CREATES TENSION LEAVING THE OTHER SEX OR GENDER OUT IN THE HALLWAY WAITING FOR US OR US WAITING FOR THEM. SO THINK ABOUT THIS WHEN YOU TAKE YOUR BREAK AFTER SITTING HERE FOR HOWEVER MANY HOURS AND YOU GO OH, YEAH, I REALLY COULD USE THAT RESTROOM BUT THE WOMEN ARE IN THERE OR THE MEN ARE IN THERE. GOSH DARN IT, THEY ALWAYS BEAT US. YOU HAVE TO WAIT FOR ALL OF THEM BECAUSE ONCE THEY GET IN THERE, THEY HAVE PROPERTY RIGHTS. THESE ARE THE THINGS WE DEAL WITH. AND I DON'T THINK YOU REALIZE WHAT IT'S LIKE, BUT I'M TRYING TO DRAW A PICTURE FOR YOU GUYS. AND I ALSO WANTED TO -- PREVIOUSLY WE'VE TALKED ABOUT RECRUITING AND HOW IMPORTANT IT IS AND HOW IMPORTANT DIVERSITY IS NOT ONLY WITH THE AUSTIN FIRE DEPARTMENT BUT FOR OUR CITY. WE WANT THE DIVERSE CROWDS IN AUSTIN. WE LOVE IT. THAT'S WHAT MAKES AUSTIN WHAT IT IS. YET WE'RE STILL BUILDING BUILDINGS WITHOUT SEPARATE FACILITIES. YEAH, WE WANT YOU TO COME, BUT NOT REALLY. YOU CAN'T USE OUR BUILDINGS. WE WANT YOU HERE, BUT YOU HAVE TO MAKE MODIFICATIONS AND ACCOMMODATE, BUT YOU CAN COME. SO THAT'S MORE WHAT WE'RE GETTING INTO AND I JUST WANT YOU GUYS TO HAVE A PICTURE OF WHAT IT'S LIKE EVERY DAY AT A FIRE STATION. I'M GOING TO CLOSE WITH ONE LAST FACT THAT I HAVE HERE. THE L.A. FIRE DEPARTMENT IN 2000 RECEIPT.....2000 RETROFITTED 73 STATIONS OVER FOUR YEARS. 73 STATIONS IN FOUR YEARS. OTHER CITIES ARE DOING IT ALL OVER. AND HERE WE ARE LEADERS, WANT YOU TO FOLLOW US, DO WHAT WE DO, AND YET WE'RE NOT -- WE'RE STILL -- TODAY WE'RE CONSIDERING IT. BUZZ BUSES..... [BUZZER SOUNDING] WE'RE NOT DOING IT, WE'RE STILL CONSIDERING. SO THANK YOU AGAIN MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM AND COUNCILMEMBERS. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. OUR LAST SPEAKER IS STEVEN TRUESDALE. WELCOME. ALSO WE HAVE A NUMBER OF FOLKS SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM AND COUNCIL. I JUST WANTED TO ALSO EMPHASIZE THAT THIS IMPROVEMENT TO... TO WORKING CONDITIONS NOT ONLY IMPACTS THE

OVER 50 WOMEN THAT WE HAVE IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT OR IN CADET CLASS NOW, BUT IT ALSO IMPROVES THE WORKING CONDITIONS OF ALL FIREFIGHTERS BECAUSE AS LISA WAS DESCRIBING, IT'S -- WE LIVE AS A FAMILY FOR 24 HOURS. AND IF YOU HAVE GROWN UP IN A SMALL HOUSEHOLD AS A CHILD, YOU EXPERIENCE THAT. BUT THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU EXPECT TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH IN YOUR WORKPLACE. YOU KNOW, YOU EXPECT TO BE ABLE TO HAVE ADEQUATE FACILITIES. AGAIN, IT IMPACTS ALL OF US AND OUR STATION LIFE AND I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR TAKING THIS ITEM UP AND DOING A THOROUGH STUDY. THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. TRUESDALE. ALSO, JAN WEST SIGNED UP, SHE IS AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS AND THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE HAVE SIGNED UP NOT WISH TO GO SPEA IN FAVOR OF THIS ITEM. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I WANT TO THANK THE FIREFIGHTERS FOR BRINGING THIS TO OUR ATTENTION AND I WAS ABLE TO TOUR ONE OF THE STATIONS AND I SAW THE CHANGING ROOM AND I ASKED WHAT DO THE WOMEN DO AND THE RESPONSE FROM A MALE FIREFIGHTER, WE JUST GET USED TO IT. I DON'T THINK IT'S REALLY FAIR FOR PEOPLE WHO MAY NOT BE USED TO IT AND TO HAVE TO BE STUCK IN THAT SITUATION. SO I REALLY SUPPORT THIS MEASURE AND I LOOK FORWARD TO HAVING MORE FEMALE FIREFIGHTERS IN THE DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS BEING PROMOTED, AND THINGS WE CAN DO LIKE FINDING CHILD CARE FOR ACCOMMODATING 24-HOUR SHIFTS AND WEEKEND SHIFTS, THINGS IN TERMS OF SEPARATE CHANGING ROOMS ARE A GOOD THING. IT'S GOOD FOR OUR CITY, IT'S GOOD FOR YOU, SO I'M HAPPY TO SUPPORT THIS MEASURE.

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM.

Dunkerley: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ FOR CALLING THIS TO MY ATTENTION. I HAVEN'T BEEN IN THE STATIONS AND I SHOULD HAVE. I JUST WANT TO TELL YOU ALL THAT I GUESS IT'S BETTER LATE THAN NEVER AND I HOPE ONCE WE IDENTIFY ALL THE THINGS WE NEED TO DO THAT WE CAN GET A PROGRAM TO GET IT DONE AS SOON AS

POSSIBLE.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: I HAVE THREE BOYS AND I UNDERSTAND THE WHOLE IDEA OF WHEN YOU NEED TO GO SO I EMPATHIZE WITH YOUR POSITION AND I'M PROUD TO SUPPORT THIS MOTION.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER QUESTIONS, COMMENTS ON ITEM 36 REGARDING OUR FIRE STATIONS. COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ. COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ MOVES APPROVAL OF ITEM NUMBER 36, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? POSITION.....MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. OKAY, COUNCIL, LET'S SEE. ITEM 31 I PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA -- BEFORE THAT, TO HELP FOLKS THAT MIGHT BE PLANNING THEIR LATE MORNING, EARLY AFTERNOON, ITEMS -- WE'RE GOING TO TAKE UP OUR FRANCHISE AGREEMENT HERE, ITEM 32. IT SHOULD BE RELATIVELY BRIEF. THEN WE'RE GOING TO FORMALLY TAKE UP OUR TAX RATE ROLL CALL DECISIONS. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF ITEMS IN CLOSED SESSION THAT WE NEED TO DISCUSS INCLUDING, NOT THE LEAST OF WHICH IS SOME LEGAL ISSUES RASHDING OUR FUTURE WATER SUPPLIES WITH THE LCRA. AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION BEFORE WE COME BACK AND TAKE UP ITEMS NUMBER 35. AND SO FOR FOLKS WHO ARE HERE WAITING FOR ITEM NUMBER 35, MY INSTINCT IS THAT WILL OCCUR IN THE EARLY AFTERNOON, YOU KNOW, WELL AFTER LUNCH, POSSIBLY AROUND, YOU KNOW, I'M SURE NO SOONER THAN 1:00 P.M. TODAY. SO IF YOU ARE HERE TO GIVE TESTIMONY ON ITEM NUMBER 35, THAT ITEM WILL BE TAKEN UP HERE IN A COUPLE OF HOURS. COUNCILMEMBER, BEFORE I TAKE UP ITEM 32, I NOTICE THAT EARLIER WE HAD TAKEN UP ITEM -- AS PART OF OUR CONSENT AGENDA, WE HAD PASSED ITEM NUMBER 61 WHICH WAS REGARDING OUR BYOB ORDINANCE. WE HAD NOTED MR. WIDERMEYER SIGNED UP WISH TO GO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION. HE WASN'T HERE AT THE TIME BUT I NOTICED HE HAS ARRIVED. YOU ARE WELCOME TO ADDRESS US NOTING WE HAVE PASSED THAT ORDINANCE AND YOU MAY BE AWARE THERE'S ONGOING WORK ABOUT IT IN THE -- MOST OF THE ORDINANCE DOESN'T TAKE EFFECT FOR 45

DAYS, BUT CHIEF MCDONALD GAVE US A BRIEF PRESENTATION ABOUT THE ORDINANCE WITHIN AND HE NOTED YOUR OPPOSITION FOR THE RECORD, BUT YOU ARE WELCOME TO TESTIFY.

OKAY, I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS. I'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT THIS THIS LAST WEEK AND I UNDERSTAND THAT AS COUNCILMEMBERS YOU ARE LOOKING AT THE GREATER GOOD OF THE COMMUNITY, AND I AGREE AND I'M IN OPPOSITION, OF COURSE, BECAUSE THIS MEASURE WILL CLOSE DOWN OUR BUSINESS. OUR BUSINESS WILL NOT BE ABLE TO OPERATE. BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND THE REASON THAT YOU WANT TO PASS IT. WHAT I'M HERE, I'M JUST HERE TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION -- IF COUNCIL CAN TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THAT THIS WAS -- IT WAS A LEGAL BUSINESS UNTIL TODAY. NOW THIS BUSINESS WILL NOT BE ABLE TO OPERATE AS AN AFTER-HOURS CLUB. THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION IS IN OPPOSITION OF GETTING A BEER AND WINE PERMIT. SO IT WILL NO LONGER BE ABLE TO BE A CLUB AT ALL. WHAT I'M ASKING IS FOR THE COUNCILMEMBERS, IF THEY COULD CONSIDER ASSISTANCE WITH MOVING MY BUSINESS, OUR BUSINESS TO A DIFFERENT LOCATION. I'M NOT ASKING FOR A HANDOUT. WE DIDN'T ACCEPT ANY CITY MONEY TO START THIS BUSINESS. WE'VE DONE EVERYTHING IN OUR POWER TO MAKE IT WORK. IT HASN'T WORKED. THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, WE HAD -- WEREN'T ABLE TO CO-EXIST. I'M JUST ASKING IF WE CAN HAVE SOME TYPE OF CONSIDERATION BECAUSE ONE THING IN THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS, I CAN COUNT ON TWO HANDS THE NUMBER OF CLUBS WE HAVE IN AUSTIN. AND, OF COURSE, I'M SURE YOU KNOW THE HISTORY OF THIS CLUB. IT'S PROBABLY ABOUT 500 PEOPLE A WEEK GOES TO THIS CLUB. SO AS OF, I THINK IT GOES INTO EFFECT AT 12:01 A.M., WE WON'T BE ABLE TO OPEN THIS WEEKEND AND THOSE PEOPLE WON'T HAVE A PLACE TO GO. OF COURSE, THEY CAN GO ON SIXTH STREET OR OTHER CLUBS, BUT THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO GO TO AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN-OWNED CLUB. I'M HOPING THAT -- I TALKED TO COUNCILMEMBER COLE. SHE TOLD ME HER OPPOSITION. I'M NOT ASKING FOR A HANDOUT. WHAT I'M SAYING IS THE CITY

SAW FIT THAT THIS BUSINESS SHOULDN'T BE IN OPERATION AT THIS LOCATION. I'M WILLING -- I MEAN WHEN WE HAVE TO, YOU KNOW, REORGANIZE AND, YOU KNOW, DO A CONVENTIONAL CLUB, CLOSING IT TOO, BUT I MEAN WE PUT MONEY, BLOOD SWEAT AND TEARS INTO THIS BUSINESS. AND IT WAS A SUCCESSFUL BUSINESS. AND A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY WENT TO THE BUSINESS. SO I'M HOPING THAT YOU CAN SEE SOME TYPE OF CONSIDERATION. I'M NOT PUTTING ANY STIPULATION. ANY HELP IS BETTER THAN NONE. THAT'S BASICALLY ALL I WANTED TO...TO SAY AND THANKS A LOT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN. APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

OKAY. AND SETTING THE FRAMEWORK ALSO ARE THE CITY COUNCIL CHARTER REQUIREMENTS WHICH STATE THAT THE CITY MAY NOT GIVE A RIGHT, MAY NOT GIVE A RIGHT OF USE TO THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY WITHOUT COUNCIL APPROVAL AND WITHOUT COMPENSATION TO THE CITY FOR THAT USE. AGAIN, THERE'S A POWER TO GRANT THE FRANCHISE, WHICH MUST INCLUDE THE CITY'S RIGHT TO PURCHASE. THERE'S APPROXIMATELY A 90 DAYTIME LINE FOR COUNCIL ACTION, INCLUDING TIME BEFORE THE FIRST AND SECOND READING AND 60 DAYS FROM THE FINAL ADOPTION ON THIRD READING. THE CITY IS ALLOWED UNDER STATE LAW TO REGULATE THE FRANCHISE AS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR LOCAL CONTROL, BUT THAT IS TEMPERED BY THE ABILITY -- BY THE LIMITATION THAT IT BE REASONABLE. AND SO WE ARE INCLUDING IN THE FRANCHISE EVERYTHING THAT ADDRESSES THE RELATION BETWEEN THE CITY AND ATMOS ENERGY. I WILL SAY ALSO THAT THERE ARE OTHER ITEMS THAT WE CAN REGULATE THE RATES. THAT IS A SEPARATE PROCESS. HERE WE ARE REGULATING THE RIGHT OF USE OF THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY. FRAN....FRANCHISE FEES MUST BE REGULATED TO THE FRANCHISE. THEY ARE TRADITIONALLY ASSESSED AS A PERCENTAGE OF GROSS REVENUES. FOR ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION, FISCAL YEAR 2007 COLLECTIONS ARE APPROXIMATELY \$470,000. AND THAT'S AN ESTIMATED BASED ON WHAT WE'VE COLLECTED SO FAR. WITH THAT INFORMATION, YOU CAN SEE THAT THIS FRANCHISE DIFFERS

SIGNIFICANTLY FROM THE TGS FRANCHISE THAT WE WERE DISCUSSING LAST YEAR AT ABOUT THIS TIME. IT IS A MUCH SMALLER FRANCHISE. IT IS LESS THAN 10 PERCENT IN ANNUAL REVENUES FROM THE TGS FRANCHISE. AND IT INCLUDES AREAS ANNEXED IN NORTH AUSTIN. AND THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY A LITTLE OVER 5300 CUSTOMERS. IN THIS CASE YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT WITH TGS WE HAD A BIG ISSUE ON THE VALUE OF TRANSPORT GAS. ATMOS ENERGY HAS BEEN PAYING THE TRANSPORT FEE SINCE 1990. WELL, NOT ATMOS ENERGY, BUT THEIR PREDECESSORS, LONE STAR GAS AND THEN TXU GAS. THE ISSUES THAT HAVE ARISEN THIS FRANCHISE NEGOTIATION WERE THERE WERE FAIRLY LIMITED. AND THE PRIMARY LIMITATION IS THAT ATMOS WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE THE FRANCHISE FEE FROM FIVE PERCENT OF GROSS REVENUES TO FOUR PERCENT OF GROSS REVENUES. ADDITIONALLY, JUST AS IN TGS, THERE HAS BEEN A QUESTION ABOUT THEIR PARTICIPATION IN GAS CONSERVATION PROGRAMS, AND WE WILL BE WORKING WITH THEM OVER THE NEXT -- OVER THE NEXT MONTH TO SPELL OUT WHAT -- WHAT TYPE OF REQUIREMENTS THEY WILL HAVE IN TERMS OF CONSERVATION PROGRAMS. WE WANTED TO BRING TO YOU WHY NOT REDUCE THE FRANCHISE FEE TO FOUR PERCENT. AND REALLY GOING BACK TO THAT REASONABLY RELATED TO THE RIGHT OF USE WHEN WE WERE DOING TGS AND WHEN WE DID LONE STAR GAS ORIGINALLY, COUNCIL DETERMINED THAT FIVE PERCENT WAS A REASONABLE COST FOR ADMINISTERING THE FRANCHISE AND FOR USE OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. THE BURDEN ON THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY, EVEN THOUGH THEY'RE SMALLER, IS THE SAME FOR THEIR PIPES AS IT IS FOR TGSA REDUCTION WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH OUR OTHER GAS UTILITY FRANCHISEES, AND BASICALLY ATMOS HAS REQUESTED THIS SIMPLY AS A DESIRE TO PAY LESS, AND THERE ARE OTHER CITY FRANCHISES WHICH HAVE A LOWER RATE. THE NEXT STEPS, TODAY IS OUR FIRST ORDINANCE READING. WE WILL GO BEFORE THE ET AND T COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE. WE'LL HAVE A SECOND READING. WE WILL COORDINATE WITH THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMMISSION, THIRD READING, AND WE NOTE THE EXPIRATION OF THE FRANCHISE AND WE ARE ANTICIPATING THAT A NEW FRANCHISE WILL BE IN EFFECT ON NOVEMBER 27TH. TODAY

WE'RE ASKING YOU TO PASS THE CITY OF AUSTIN, ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION FRANCHISE ON FIRST READING ONLY. THAT INCLUDES THE FIVE PERCENT FRANCHISE FEE CONSISTENT WITH THEIR CURRENT RATE AND OTHER FRANCHISE.EES, AND I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS FOR STAFF OR OUR OUTSIDE ATTORNEY? COMMENTS? I THOUGHT IT WAS APPROPRIATE ON THIS FIRST READING TO HAVE THIS PUBLIC PRESENTATION. WE HAVE NO CITIZENS SIGNED UP WISH TO GO ADDRESS US, BUT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT FOLKS RECOGNIZE WHAT IT IS WE'RE DOING HERE. AND YOU PROBABLY TOUCHED ON THIS EARLIER MS. WEBB, BUT SHOULD THE FRANCHISE GET APPROVED AS CURRENTLY PROPOSED, IT DOESN'T RAISE ANYBODY'S NATURAL GAS RATES. THIS IS SIMPLY THE -- THIS IS IN EFFECT MAINTAINING THE CURRENT SORT OF FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENT REGARDING THE FRANCHISE FEE WITH ATMOS FROM THEIR PREDECESSOR. SO THIS DOESN'T AFFECT CONSUMERS' PRICE OF NATURAL GAS IN ANY WAY.

AT LEAST SINCE 1990, NO.

Mayor Wynn: RIGHT. THANK YOU. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? YES, COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: MAYOR, I'D MOVE PASSAGE ON FIRST READING OF THE ATMOS FRANCHISE ORDINANCE, INCLUDING THE FIVE PERCENT FRANCHISE FEE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL TO APPROVE ITEM NUMBER 32, THIS FRANCHISE AS PRESENTED. SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SONLEITNER. FURTHER -- SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ. FURTHER COMMENTS? ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES SIX TO ZERO WITH COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken OFF THE DAIS. THANK YOU, MS. WEBB. SO COUNCIL, I THINK WE HAVE THE FORMALITY OF TAKING UP ITEM NUMBER 3 AND THEN ITS RELATED ITEM NUMBER 39. THIS IS THE STATE -- ALTHOUGH ALL OF OUR ROATS -- AS LONG AS I'VE BEEN ON THE CITY COUNCIL, ALL OF OUR VOTES ARE ALWAYS RECORDED. MANY CITY COUNCILS

APPARENTLY DON'T DO THAT. LOCAL GOVERNMENTS DON'T DO THAT AROUND THE STATE. SO A FEW SESSIONS AGO THE STATE LEGISLATURE PASSED A LAW REQUIRING THAT AS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS PASS THEIR APPROVED TAX RATE, PROPOSED MAXIMUM TAX RATE FOR THAT YEAR, THAT THERE'S A ITEMIZED OR ROLL CALL VOTE OF ITS MEMBERS. SO PERHAPS IF STAFF COULD JUST WALK US THROUGH WHAT MIGHT NEED TO OCCUR. I HAVE A LITTLE SCRIPT HERE. LET ME FOLLOW THIS. WE NOW TAKE UP AS I SAID, ITEMS NUMBER 3 AND 39. ON ITEM NUMBER 3 WE HAVE ONE RESOLUTION, TO ADOPT A MAXIMUM PROPOSED AD VALOREM TAX RATE THAT THE COUNCIL WILL CONSIDER FOR THE FISCAL YEAR '07-'08 YEAR. AND THEN WE SET THE DATE THE COUNCIL WILL ADOPT THE FISCAL YEAR 2007..... 2007-'08 AD VALOREM TAX RATE. THAT SOUND RIGHT SO FAR? THE FIRST IS THE MAXIMUM TAX RATE FOR THE COUNCIL TO CONSIDER. A MOTION TO ADOPT A MAXIMUM PROPOSED TAX RATE THAT THE COUNCIL WILL CONSIDER REQUIRES A ROLL CALL VOTE. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADOPT A PROPOSED MAXIMUM VAD VALOREM TAX RATE.

MOVE.....

Dunkerley: MOVE APPROVAL. MOISTURE MAYOR MOTION MADE BY -- MOISTURE MOISTURE MOTION MADE BY MAYOR PRO TEM. HER MOTION IS TO APPROVE THE MAXIMUM PROPOSED AD VALOREM TAX RATE THAT WE'LL CONSIDER FOR FISCAL YEAR '07-'08 IS 40.34 CENTS PER \$100 IN VALUATION. IS THERE A SECOND? SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL. SO WE HAVE A MOTION FOR COUNCIL TO CONSIDER ADOPTING THE TAX RATE DURING THE 2007-2008 BUDGET HEARINGS IN SEPTEMBER. SO PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: YES.

COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: YES.

COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: YES.

...

Mayor Wynn: SO THE MOTION TO PASS THE TAX RATE FOR COUNCIL TO CONSIDER ADOPTING FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007-'08 PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. SO THE SECOND ITEM, COUNCIL, IS FOR US TO SET A DATE FOR US TO ADOPT THE ACTUAL AD VALOREM TAX RATE. SO FOR ITEM NO. 3, WE ALSO NEED TO SET THAT DATE BY WHICH -- ON WHICH WE WILL AS A CITY COUNCIL ADOPT THE FISCAL YEAR '07-'08 AD VALOREM TAX RATE. THE PROPOSED TIMES AND DATES ARE 10:00 A.M. ON MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10th, 2007. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 11th, 2007. AND/OR WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 12TH, 2007 AT THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS HERE AT AUSTIN CITY HALL, 301 WEST SECOND STREET, AUSTIN, TEXAS, 787 '01. I WILL SPRAIN A MOTION TO SET THE TIME AND DATE AS PROPOSED TO ADOPT THE FISCAL YEAR '07-'08 AD VALOREM TAX RATE. MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM TO APPROVE THE MOTION AS PROPOSED, THOSE BEING AGAIN 10:00 A.M. MONDAY SEPTEMBER 10th, TUESDAY SEPTEMBER 11th AND/OR WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 12TH, 2007. SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN. AND I GUESS IT DOESN'T SHOW HERE, BUT DO WE NEED TO DO A ROLL CALL ON THIS?

NO.

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. SO WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE SETTING THE TIME AND DATE FOR US TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008 AD VALOREM TAX RATE. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. NOW FORMALLY ITEM NUMBER 39, THIS IS THE ACTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED TAX RATE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008 AT 6:00 P.M., THURSDAY, AUGUST 23rd, 2007, AND 6:00 P.M. ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 30TH, 2007, HERE IN THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT CITY HALL, 301 WEST SECOND STREET, AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON ITEM NUMBER 39 TO SET THE DATES AS PROPOSED FOR THE PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE PROPOSED TAX RATE FOR FISCAL YEAR '07-'08. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO APPROVE THE MOTION AS PROPOSED. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. COUNCIL, IT'S 11:30, WE STILL HAVE A COUPLE OF POTENTIAL DISCUSSION ITEMS, BUT MY PREFERENCE WOULD BE TO TAKE THOSE UP AFTER WE HAVE A COUPLE OF CLOSED SESSION DISCUSSIONS. SO WITHOUT OBJECTION WE WILL NOW GO INTO CLOSED SECTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.071 OF THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT TO DISCUSS POTENTIALLY TIM NUMBER 42, LEGAL ISSU REGARDING ANNEXATION ISSUES, INCLUDING THE STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT FOR THE LOST CREEK M.U.D. ITEM 43, LEGAL ISSUES REGARDING A PROPOSED AGREEMENT WITH THE LCRA REGARDING FUTURE WATER SUPPLIES. ITEM NUMBER 45, LEGAL ISSUES RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE WATERFRONT OVERLAY REGULATIONS. AND THE SAVE TOWN LAKE.ORG VERSUS THE CITY OF AUSTIN. ITEM NUMBER 46, LEGAL ISSUES RELATED TO THE POTENTIAL SEARCH FOR A NEW MANAGER. AND THEN WE MAY TAKE UP PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.074 OF THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, PERSONNEL MATTERS ALSO RELATED TO A SEARCH FOR A NEW CITY MANAGER, ITEM NUMBER 47. WE ARE NOW IN CLOSED SESSION. I ANTICIPATE US COMING BACK OUT AT NOON FOR OUR CITIZEN COMMUNICATION BEFORE RETURNING TO

CLOSED SESSION. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: QUER BACK IN OPEN SESSION. IN CLOSED SESSION WE TOOK UP ITEM NUMBER 43. WE HAVEN'T CONCLUDED THAT DISCUSSION. WE WILL TAKE IT UP AGAIN FOLLOWING OUR GENERAL CITIZEN COMMUNICATION. I APOLOGIZE FOR THE DELAY. IT'S NOW 12:15. WE'LL GO TO OUR CITIZEN COMMUNICATION. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS JULIA DWORSCHACK. WELCOME, JULIA. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY MARTA CO-TERRA AND EELIZABETH GONZALEZ.

GOOD AFTERNOON, I AM JULIA, DIRECTOR OF OAK HILL RESCUE. APRIL 17TH I SPOKE OUT AGAINST THE SHELTER MOVE AT AAC MEETINGS. MONDAY THE 23rd, TWO TLAC SPEAKERS TRESPASSED ON TO MY PROPERTY. MAY 24TH, I TESTIFIED AT THE HOLLY HIT HEARING WHERE DETECTIVE KAREN DUNCAN WAS PRESENT. MAY 28TH, DETECTIVE KAREN DUNCAN CALLED ME FROM MY ROAD TO DISCUSS THE 21 DOG COMPLAINT FROM THE WEEK BEFORE, WHICH WAS CLOSED THE SAME DAY IT HAD OPENED. THE SAME DAY IN JUNE I CALLED 311 TO REPORT THE TRESPASS. IN THE FOLLOWING WEEK I RECEIVED A CALL FROM TLAC OFFICER ROBLES SAYING HE HAD THE ORDINANCE I WANTED. HE SAID IT WAS CITY ORDINANCE 3-4-3, WHICH IN REALITY IS ABOUT A DOG CHASE WITH THE INTENT TO IMPOUND ON PRIVATE PROPERTY. JUNE 21st I SPOKE AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO REPORT THE SAME. JUNE SECOND, AROUND NOON I CALLED THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE TO SAY THAT TLAC HAD NOT RETURNED MY NINE OPEN ERRORS REQUESTS, WHICH WERE TURNED IN 14 BUSINESS DAYS EARLIER. LATER THAT SAME DAY AT FOUR P.M., TLAC CALLED TO SAY THE OPEN RECORDS REQUEST WERE READY TO BE PICKED UP. JULY 19TH I MET THE UPPER LEVEL CITY STAFF TO DISCUSS THE SITUATION. JULY 24TH, I SPOKE AT THE AAC MEETING AGAINST THE SHELTER MOVE. JULY 26TH BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING I WAS TOLD BY THE CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE THAT I SHOULD HAVE A DRAFT FROM LEGAL EARLY THE WEEK OF THE 30TH. JULY 26TH, I SPOKE TO CITY COUNCIL WITH UPDATES ON MY ISSUE. THE ORIGINAL INCIDENT WAS MAY 23rd. I'VE NOT RECEIVED A DRAFT FROM LEGAL, I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF THE PROBLEM. I DO NOT FEEL SAFE IN MY OWN HOME. I FEAR FOR THE SAFETY OF MY

PERSONAL AND RESCUE CATS DUE TO THE TLAC ATTITUDE THAT IF THEY WANT TO GET SOMEONE, THEY CAN MAKE IT HAPPEN. THIS IS A QUOTE. I KNOW THAT MY SILENCE IS WHAT THE PRESSURE IS MEANT TO PRODUCE. I AM ASTON..... ASTONISHED THAT IN AUSTIN, TEXAS BEING ANNA NICOLE SMITH MALL CAREGIVER AND SPEAKING OUT CAN BRING ON THE WRATH OF THE CITY AND BRING ON THE RETALIATION THAT I AM EXPERIENCE..... EXPERIENCING. THERE ARE THREE SEPARATE CITY AGENCIES INVOLVED NOW. THESE PEOPLE ARE ACTING AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY. I BELIEVE THAT THE RETRIBUTION AND RETALIATION FAK TICKS ARE OUTSIDE THE LAW AND I'M ASKING THE CITY TO MAKE THEM STOP. I WOULD AGAIN REQUEST THAT THE TEMPORARY PLACEMENT OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF THE SHELTER BE TERMINATED DUE TO THE OBVIOUS BIEW OF POWER. MIGHT NOT IS NOT AN ISOLATED CASE. I KNOW OF OTHERS WHO ARE SPEAKING OUT AGAINST THE SHELTER MOVE AND ARE BEING UNOFFICIALLY INVESTIGATED. IT IS CLEAR THEY ARE BEING TOLD WE KNOW WHERE YOU LIVE AND WE KNOW WHO COMPANION ANIMALS YOU HAVE. I FEEL I AM MAKING A VALID REQUEST OF THE CITY IN LIGHT OF THE SERIOUS NATURE OF THE RETRIBUTION. I WOULD LIKE THE CITY AGENCIES TO CEASE AND DESIST. LAST SENTENCE, I BELIEVE I AM GOING ABOUT WITH THIS PATIENCE AND WITH GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO RESOLVE THIS OUT OF COURT AND DIRECT WITH THE CITY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS MARTA. WELCOME. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY ELIZABETH GONZALEZ.

HELLO. MY NAME IS MARTA AND I AM HERE THIS MORNING IN SUPPORT OF THE 800,000-DOLLAR GRANT TO SOUTHWEST KEY FOR BOTH ITS BUILDING PROGRAM AND OTHER USES THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE FOR IT. I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A LITTLE BIT OF BACK BE GROUND BECAUSE I THINK A LOT OF US DON'T REALLY KNOW EACH OTHER FROM A LONG TIME AGO. AS A VERY YOUNG PERSON IN EL PASO, I WAS INVOLVED WITH THE KENNEDY CAMPAIGN AND ADVISING THEM ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS FOR LOW INCOME PEOPLE. WHEN I CAME TO AUSTIN, MY HUSBAND AND I CAME TO AUSTIN IN THE EARLY 20'S, THE VERY FIRST

COMMITMENTS THAT WE MADE WERE FOR CDBG-TYPE PROGRAMS FOR AFRICAN-AMERICAN AND LATINO CHILDREN, EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, HOUSING. I WORKED WITH LIEWMNARIES SUCH AS MAYOR GUS GARCIA, WITH JOHNNIE TREVINO, GONZALO BARRIENTOS. EVERYBODY THAT WAS INVOLVED IN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS. I HAVE A VERY DEEP AND BROAD BACKGROUND IN THE KIND OF CDBG PROGRAMS THAT WILL BENEFIT THE AUSTIN COMMUNITY IN PARTICULAR. AND FRANKLY I HAVE BEEN APPALLED AT THE LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE PAST AND I HAVE ALSO BEEN APPALLED AT OUR LATINO COMMUNITY THAT HAS BEEN SO PASSIVE IN CLAIMING THE KIND OF DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE NEEDED DESPERATELY AS OUR COMMUNITY HAS GROWN. AND INSTEAD OF DEVELOPING UP WAR, WE'RE DEVELOPING DOWNWARDS. I THINK THAT -- WELL, BEFORE WE BECAME INVOLVED WITH SOUTHWEST KEY, MY HUSBAND AND I VISITED ITS INSTALL LAITIONZ. OF COURSE, WE ARE VERY CLOSELY RELATED TO PEOPLE ON THEIR NATIONAL BOARD, SOME OF WHOM ARE ELECTED OFFICIALS OR WERE ELECTED OFFICIALS AND LIFELONG FRIENDS OF OURS AND ACTIVISTS IN SOCIAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS. SO I WAS IMPRESSED WITH THAT AND WE VISITED THEIR INSTALLATIONS NOT ONLY IN AUSTIN, BUT ELSEWHERE AND WE DID THIS ON OUR OWN. I THINK THE INVESTMENT OF -- ANY KIND OF INVESTMENT THAT AUSTIN DOES IN THE SOUTHWEST KEY PROGRAM WAS AN INVESTMENT THAT HAS BEEN WELL MATCHED ALREADY, NOT ONLY BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, BUT THE COUNTY HAS INVESTED IN THIS, PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS HAVE INVESTED IN THIS AND THAT'S HOW MY HUSBAND AND I BECAME INVOLVED. WE WERE INVITED TO SIT IN TO PRESENTATIONS FOR PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS TO ENCOURAGE THEM TO INVEST. THERE HAS ALSO BEEN, OF COURSE, A SIZEABLE CITY INVESTMENT, BUT I THINK THE MOST AMAZING INVESTMENT IN THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN THE COMMUNITY -- [BUZZER SOUNDS] , WHICH HAS INVESTED THOUSANDS OF HOURS OF SUPPORT FOR THIS EFFORT. I URGE YOU TO PLEASE SUPPORT THIS VERY IMPORTANT PROJECT. WE WILL SEE WONDERFUL RETURNS, I GUARANTEE YOU. AND THERE'S ENOUGH OF US INVOLVED WITH THE PROGRAM THAT WE'LL SEE TO THAT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. ELIZABETH GONZALEZ?

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC].

Mayor Wynn: THEN WE WILL NOTE HER SUPPORT FOR SOUTHWEST KEY AS WELL. WE HAVE A HANDFUL OF FOLK HERE TO PRESENT A VIDEO FROM PODER OR JUST TALK ABOUT PODER'S PROGRAMS. OUR FIRST SPEAKER WILL BE ERIKA GONZALEZ. WELCOME. AND YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY SUSANA ALMANZA.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR AND CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS ERIKA AND I WORK WITH PODER IN EAST AUSTIN. FOR THOSE OF YOU, MOST OF YOU MAY KNOW, PODER DER AN ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ORGANIZATION AND WE'RE 16 YEARS OLD. WE WORK ON VARIOUS ISSUES IN EAST AUSTIN, INCLUDING GENTRIFICATION, JUVENILE JUSTICE, TRANSPORTATION AND BASICALLY ANY ISSUE THAT THE COMMUNITY SAYS IS A CONCERN. WE ALSO HAVE A YOUTH PROGRAM CALLED PODER'S YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE AND IT'S A YOUTH LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM IN A FOR THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS HAS ADDRESSED SEVERAL ISSUES AND CONCERNS THAT THEY FEEL ARE A NECESSITY AND NEED TO BE IMPROVED. AND THIS PAST SUMMER WE HAD OUR YOUTH SUMMER PROGRAM AND WE HAD STUDENTS FROM ALL OVER AUSTIN HIGH SCHOOLS, INCLUDING MCCALLUM, AUSTIN HIGH, AKINS, JOHNSTON, TRAVIS, MARTIN MIDDLE SCHOOL, AMERICAN YOUTH WORKS AND WE ALSO HAD ENTRANTS FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO AND THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN AS WELL AS AUSTIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE. SO EVERY YEAR WE COME TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH OUR DIFFERENT RESEARCH AND RECOMMENDATIONS. WE GO OUT INTO THE COMMUNITY AND WE DO QUESTIONNAIRES, SURVEYS, AND WE HEAR FROM THE COMMUNITY AND WE BRING YOU ALL THAT INFORMATION ON THAT YOU AS CITY COUNCILMEMBERS CAN TAKE ACTION. AND WE THANK YOU -- IN THE PAST CITY COUNCILMEMBERS HAVE LISTENED TO US AND HAVE TAKEN ACTION. WE HAVE MADE IMPROVEMENTS IN THE AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT AND WE ALWAYS ADVOCATE FOR THE INCREASE OF BUDGET FOR

YOUTH SERVICES. AND THERE'S ONE THING THAT WE'RE COMING TODAY TO SAY ALSO IS THAT THE CITY OF AUSTIN IN THE 1980'S HAD AN OFFICE THAT WAS SPECIFICALLY FOR YOUTH SERVICE EMPLOYMENT AND WE FEEL THAT THAT NEEDS TO BE ESTABLISHED, AN OFFICE THAT WILL SERVE AS A HEADQUARTERS FOR ANY TYPE OF YOUTH SERVICE EMPLOYMENT THAT WAS BACK IN THE 1980'S, BEFORE THAT'S NO LONGER ANYMORE. AND WE KNOW THERE ARE SOME YOUTH EMPLOYMENT SERVICES OFFERED BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BUT WE DON'T ANY THAT THERE'S LIKE A HEADQUARTERS FOR THAT. SO IN ORDER TO BETTER SERVE THE YOUTH, EMPLOYMENT NEEDS NO EAST AUSTIN, WE FEEL THAT THIS NEEDS TO BE CREATED. AND WE ALSO HAVE A VIDEO THAT WE'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU TODAY THAT WAS CREATED BY ALVARO ALMANZA, SO YOU CAN GET A BETTER PICTURE OF WHAT THE ISSUES WERE FOR THIS PAST SUMMER. AND WE CONTINUE TO NETWORK WITH ORGANIZATIONS LIKE SOUTHWEST KEY AND WE CONTINUE TO ALSO ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS AND WE HOPE THAT YOU WILL TAKE ALL OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE PRESENTED TO YOU TODAY INTO CONSIDERATION. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: STAFF HAD SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THE YOUTH SERVICES PROGRAM OFFICE. HOW DO PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY GET INFORMATION IF A TEENAGER WANTS TO KNOW WHAT OPPORTUNITIES ARE AVAILABLE IN THE SUMMER OR NEEFN THE SEMESTER? HOW DO THEY KNOW WHAT OPPORTUNITIES ARE AVAILABLE IN THE THE CITY?

COUNCILMEMBER, YOU'RE ASKING FOR WHAT ARE THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT THE CITY OF AUSTIN PROVIDES?

...

Kim: WHERE DOES ONE GO. IS THERE A SINGLE POINT OF CONTACT? IS THERE A PHONE NUMBER? HOW IS THAT HANDLED NOW?

THE YOUTH EMPLOYMENT SERVICES IS DONE OUT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND WE HAVE A PROGRAM,

IT'S A LONG-STANDING PROGRAM. WE DO IT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE COUNTY. AND I WOULD HAVE TO CHECK CZECH AND SEE WHERE THE SINGLE POINT OF COUNTY IS. WE HAVE A COUNTY -- MY THOUGHT IS YOU CAN GET IT ON THE WEB PAGE, BUT I WOULD HAVE TO CONFIRM THAT AND SEE IF YOU CAN DIRECTLY GET IT THAT WAY.

Kim: I AGREE. IT'S SOMETIMES HARD TO FIND OUT THE OPPORTUNITIES. I GET ASKED THAT AND IT SEEMS LIKE WE HAVE TO CHECK WITH ALL THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENT HEADS AND IT'S CONFUSING. IF THEY CAN USE THEIR TEMPORARY HIRE MONEY OR IF THEY HAVE SOMETHING SPECIFIC. WE DON'T HAVE A FORMAL SUMMER INTERN PROGRAM, BUT WE DO HAVE SUMMER INTERNS.

WE ACTUALLY DO HAVE A FORMAL YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM. YOU FUND IT EVERY YEAR IN THE PROGRAM. THE INTERN PROGRAM IS REALLY DPRIRCHT.....DIFFERENT FROM THE SUMMER EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM.

Kim: IT'S NOT CLEAR WHERE THEY GET INFORMATION ON THAT. THERE ARE PEOPLE GETTING INTERNSHIPS NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH THAT PROGRAM, BUT THEY ARE GETTING INTERNSHIPS FROM THE CITY. I THINK IF WE CAN GET INFORMATION AND MAKE IT SIMPLE FOR PEOPLE TO FIND, THAT WOULD HELP PEOPLE OUT AS THEY'RE PLANNING THEIR ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR IN TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT.

LET ME DO TWO THINGS FOR YOU. AS A BUDGET QUESTION I'LL GET YOU INFORMATION ON THE SUMMER YOUTH PROGRAM AS OPPOSED TO THE SUMMER INTERNSHIP -- THE INTERNSHIP PROGRAM. THE INTERNSHIP PROGRAM IS FOR COLLEGE GRADUATES. WE DO MASTERS AND UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS. THE SUMMER YOUTH PROGRAM IS FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS, BE AND THAT IS DONE THROUGH REFERRALS OF OUR NONPROFITS AND IT IS A VERY FORMAL BUDGET PROGRAM. I'LL GET YOU THAT INFORMATION. I'LL ALSO CHECK AND MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE A CLEAR, EASY ACCESS THROUGH OUR WEB PAGE. AND I'LL GHEAT ANSWER FOR YOU ALSO THROUGH THE BUDGET PROCESS.

..

Kim: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. SO I GUESS WE'LL SEE OUR VIDEO NOW. AND IT LOOKS LIKE SUSANA AND LIBRADO ALMANZA HAVE DONATED THEIR TIME SO WE CAN SEE THE VIDEO. SO WE'LL SET THE CLOCK FOR SIX MINUTES AND WATCH THE VIDEO.

PODER IN ENGLISH MEANS ESPECIALLY.....EMPOWERMENT OF THE PEOPLE. PODER STANDS FOR PEOPLE ORGANIZED IN DEFENSE OF EARTH AND HER RESOURCES. PODER IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ORGANIZATION THAT SEEKS TO EMPOWER OUR COMMUNITY THROUGH EDUCATION, ADVOCACY AND ACTION. IN 1995 PODER CREATED THE YOUNG SCOALERS FOR JUSTICE. PODER'S YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE, KNOWN AS THE YSJ, IS A YOUTH LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. THE YSJ PROGRAM IS DEDICATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS OF COLOR TO ADDRESS SOCIAL JUSTICE ISSUES AFFECTING THEM AND TO ENSURE GENDER, RACIAL AND RESOURCE EQUITY. PODER'S LEADERSHIP APPRECIATES THE EXPERIENCE AND WISDOM ELDERS BRING TO COMMUNITY EFFORTS WHILE PROVIDING FOR THE EDUCATION AND NURTURING OF THE YOUTH WHO WILL BECOME THE FUTURE GENERATION OF LEADERS. COMMUNITY REGENERATION KEEPS PEOPLE ON THE PATH TO SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE. THE YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE SERVE AS A SOURCE OF SUPPORT AND INSPIRATION FOR EMERGENT LEADERSHIP AND FOR TACKLING A VARIETY OF ISSUES. THIS SUMMER THE YSJ ATTENDED THE PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR THE SELECTION OF THE NEW CHIEF OF POLICE FOR THE CITY OF AUSTIN. BUT BEFORE ATTENDING THE COMMUNITY FORUM, THE MEMBERS REVIEWED THE JOB DESCRIPTIONS OF EACH CANDIDATE AND HELD A DISCUSSION. AT THE FORUM, YSJ MEMBERS WERE IMPRESSED WITH THE NEWLY SELECTED CHIEF OF POLICE, ART ACEVEDO.

THE YSJ PARTICIPATED AND LED WORKSHOPS ON HISTORY OF RACISM AND POOR LAND USE ZONING FOR EAST AUSTIN, IMMIGRATION, ECONOMICS, JUVENILE JUSTICE AND WLORL

EMPOWERMENT. JUDGE JOHN VASQUEZ SCUSD THE NEED FOR ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS FOR INCARCERATION OF YOUTH. THE SCREENING AND GROUP DISCUSSION OF HIP HOPS BEYOND BEATS AND RHYMES WERE HELD AT THE RECREATION CENTER. THIS ADDRESSED HOW SEXISM AND STEREOTYPES ARE PROMOTED WITHIN THE HIP HOP INDUSTRY. THE SCEKD FORUM WAS HELD AT THE BOOKER T. WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATION BUILDING. THE FORUM, FROM SCHOOLS TO PRISON PIPELINE ADDRESSED HOW YOUTH OF COLOR ARE TRACKED INTO THE JUVENILE SYSTEM FROM THE SCHOOL SYSTEM AND HOW THE PROCESS CONTINUES TO PRISON. VERONICA GARCIA WITH ACLU, KC CARTER WITH HIP HOP AGAINST POLICE BRUTALITY. AND SUSANA ALMANZA BOARD MEMBER AND THE YSJ PRESENTED AT THE FORUM. THERE WAS AN ART INSTRUCTOR FOR THE YSJ PROGRAM. YSJ MEMBERS LEARNED ABOUT INNER EXPRESSION AND MOVING THEM ON TO CON VASES. -- CANVASSES. THE YSJ HELD A VIGIL FOR DANIEL ROCHA AND KEVIN BROWN, TWO YOUTH WHO WERE KILLED BY AUSTIN POLICE. DANIEL ROCHA WAS SHOT IN THE BACK AND DIED AT THE SCENE ON JUNE 9TH, 2005. KEVIN BROWN WAS SHOT IN THE BACK ON JUNE THIRD, 2007, BY OFFICER MICHAEL OLSON.

THE YSJ ALSO LEARNED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF BANKING. THE YSJ RECEIVED NOMPLS SAVINGS AND CHECKING ACCOUNTS. MELANIE DIGGS GAVE A WORKSHOP ON SURVIVAL SKILLS FOR YOUTH. PART OF THIS WORKSHOP WAS PUTTING TOGETHER A JOB RESUME. ON JUNE 23rd, WORLD REFUGEE DAY, THE YOUNG SCOARLS FOR JUSTICE PARTICIPATED IN AN ACTIVITY TO SHUT DOWN THE HUTTO DETENTION CENTER. THE HUTTO DETENTION CENTER WAS A PRISON SITE FOR MEN, IT CURRENTLY HAS CHILDREN, WOMEN AND MEN INCARCERATED. ONE OF THE MAJOR CHANTS WAS LEAVE NO CHILD BEHIND BARS. THE YSJ'S EDUCATION INCLUDES THE HISTORY OF ENVIRONMENTAL RACISM IN EAST AUSTIN. THE YSJ LEARNED THAT IN 1928 THE CITY OF AUSTIN ADOPTED THE MASTER PLAN THAT AUTHORIZED ALL UNDESIRABLE INDUSTRY TO BE LOCATED EAST OF I-35 AND DESIGNATED THE RELOCATION OF ALL PEOPLE OF COLOR TO EAST AUSTIN. A 1996 CITY OF AUSTIN LAND USE STUDY FOUND THAT 73 PERCENT OF ALL

INDUSTRIAL ZONING WAS IN EAST AUSTIN. PODER MEMBERS WERE INVOLVED IN THREE EAST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD DISTRICT PLANS. OVER 700 PROPERTIES WERE REZONED IN THESE AREAS. WHILE MANY FACILITIES HAVE BEEN CLOSED AND RELOCATED, EAST AUSTIN STILL HAS SEVERAL INDUSTRIES THAT USE HAZARDOUS CHEMICALS IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND NEAR SCHOOLS.

AS PART OF OUR COMMUNITY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES, THE YSJ PARTICIPATED IN A DOOR TO DOOR COMMUNITY SURVEY. THE YSJ CREATED AN INFORMATIONAL BROCHURE AND QUESTIONNAIRES REGARDING THE PURE CASTING FACILITY. THE YSJ PRESENTED THE FINDINGS TO THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL. THE FACILITY IS IN A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND ACROSS THE STREET FROM ZAVALA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. AS YOUTH, WE FEEL THAT PURE CASTING SHOULD BE MOVED OUT OF THE EAST AUSTIN AND THAT AFFORDABLE HOUSING SHOULD BE BUILT IN ITS PLACE.

SEVERAL YSJ REPRESENTATIVES ATTENDED THE U.S. SOCIAL FORUM IN ATLANTA. THERE THE YSJ SPOKE ABOUT ZERO TOLERANCE, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, YOUTH OF COLOR LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT AND THE VOICES OF WOMEN OF COLOR IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE MOVEMENT. YSJ MEMBERS ALSO PARTICIPATED IN INDIGENOUS CEREMONIES SUCH AS THE WATER CEREMONY LED BY THE INDIGENOUS ENVIRONMENT A. AL NETWORK.

AS YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE, WE ALL FEEL THAT EVERYONE DESERVES THE RIGHT TO LIVE IN A CLEAN, SAFE ENVIRONMENT. WE HONOR THE SACREDNESS OF HUMAN LIFE AND THE ENVIRONMENT. [MUSIC PLAYING]

Mayor Wynn: VERY WELL DONE. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS LETICIA FONSECA.

HI, MAY NAME IS LETICI A. LAST SUMMER WE HELD A YOUTH SERVICE FORUM IN ORDER TO GATHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE YOUTH NEEDS CONCERNING SERVICE PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN. THE YSJ FOCUS ON YOUTH SERVICES AND AUSTIN. THESE INCLUDE AOUT EMPLOYMENT EDUCATION, PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT, ARTS AND CULTURE AND THE AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT. IN

2002 THE YSJ TRAVELED TO SEVEN RECREATION CENTERS AND SIX SCHOOLS THAT WERE PART OF THE SUMMER TEEN RECREATION ACADEMY PROGRAM. THE YSJ SURVEYED OVER 200 YOUTH AND 13 PARK DIRECTORS. THE YSJ MADE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS SUCH AS THE HIRING OF FEMALE PARK DIRECTORS AND INCREASE IN THE PARK AND RECREATION OF FEMALE PARK RECREATION CENTER BUDGET. BECAUSE OF THE RESEARCH AND THE ADVOCACY, WE RECEIVED A PROCLAMATION CERTIFICATE OF CONGRATULATIONS IN JULY OF 2003 FOR THE WORK TO IMPROVE THE AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION SUMMER PROGRAM. BECAUSE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THE PARK DEPARTMENT HIRED EQUAL GENDER PARKS DIRECTORS IN THE SUMMER OF 2004 AND 2005. PODER'S YSJ STILL DID NOT SEE AN INCREASE IN THE PARKS AND RECREATION BUDGET, BUT WE THANK THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR MAKING THE RECENT INCREASE IN THE 2006 BUDGET. EVEN THOUGH THE BUDGET HAS BEEN INCREASED, WE ARE STILL ADVOCATING THE CONTINUED INCREASE OF PARK AND RECREATION BUDGET. ANOTHER RECOMMENDATION THAT WE ARE STILL STRESSING IS YOUTH EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES. MORE SOME EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS ARE NEEDED IN SCHOOLS AT LOCAL CITY OFFICES OR WITH NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS THAT WORK IN THE YOUTH COMMUNITY. ANOTHER RECOMMENDATION IS TO IMPROVE THE OUTREACH AND ACCESSIBILITY OF CULTURE AND ART EVENTS FOR YOUTH IN AUSTIN. ADDITIONALLY PODER'S YSJ WITH HAPPY WITH THE SELECTION OF THE POLICE CHIEF. WE CONTINUE TO STRESS THAT THE POLICE OFFICERS NEED TO CREATE A POSITIVE PRESENCE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND BE MORE INVOLVED IN COMMUNITY PROGRAMS. YSJ CONTINUES TO RECOMMEND THE IMPROVEMENTS OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES OF THE AUSTIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT. OUR SCHOOLS SHOULD HAVE COLLEGE PREP SERVICES AND COLLEGE CENTERS. THERE NEED TO BE MORE FUNDING FOR THESE TWO THINGS. MORE AND BETTER OUTREACH FOR BILINGUAL IS NEEDED. THESE ARE SOME OF THE CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE YOUTH OF PODER. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND WE HOPE THAT YOU TAKE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS INTO CONSIDERATION. THANK YOU. [

APPLAUSE]

THANK YOU. CARMEN LLANES WILL BE THE FINAL PODER SPEAKER.

GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS CARMEN. AND I JUST COMPLETED AN INTERNSHIP WITH PEOPLE ORGANIZED IN DEFENSE OF EARTH AND HER RESOURCES. THIS IS THE SECOND TIME THAT I HAVE WORKED OVER THE SUMMER WITH PODER'S YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE. THE FIRST TIME WAS IN 2005. AND I JUST WANT TO STRESS FROM WHAT I'VE PERSONALLY SEEN IN WORKING WITH THE YOUTH, WHY I THINK THIS PROGRAM IS SO IMPORTANT AND ONE REASON IS THE VERY IMPORTANT DIALOGUE THAT IS SET UP. FIRST BETWEEN ADULT ORGANIZERS AND COMMUNITY LEADERS IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND THE YOUTH OF THE COMMUNITY BECAUSE THIS PROVIDES BOTH A MENTORING OPPORTUNITY FOR THE YOUTH AND ALSO INFORMATION FOR THE ORGANIZERS TO SEE WHAT'S REALLY BEING -- WHAT'S HAPPENING IN BETWEEN THE YOUTH AND THEIR COMMUNITY. SECOND IS BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE YOUTH. THE YOUNG PEOPLE CAN SEE HOW THE CITY PLANNING TAKES PLACE AND HOW DEVELOPMENT IS ORGANIZED IN OUR COMMUNITY AND CITY PLANNERS AND OFFICIALS LIKE YOURSELVES CAN SEE WHAT IS GOING ON FROM THE YOUTH'S PERSPECTIVE IN STHIR COMMUNITY. THE OTHER THING I WOULD LIKE TO STRESS IS THAT IN 2005 THE YSJ PROGRAM WAS SIX WEEKS LONG AND THIS TIME IT WAS ONLY FOUR WEEKS LONG. AND THAT'S BECAUSE OF FUNDING REASONS. AND WHILE THIS IS AN AMAZING GROUP AND THEY GOT SO MUCH OUT OF THIS FOUR WEEK BE PROGRAM, IT REALLY BECOMES CLEAR THAT AFTER TWO OR THREE WEEKS IN THE YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE PROGRAM IS WHEN THE YOUTH BECOMES VERY COMFORTABLE AND LESS SHY AND MORE ACTIVE AND YOU SEE THAT SORT OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE YOUTH. SOME OF THEM ARE SIBLINGS AND SOME ARE FAMILY AND SOME OF US KNOW EACH OTHER, BUT SOME OF THEM DON'T AND WE COME FROM ALL OVER AUSTIN. AND SORREL IT'S IN THOSE FIRST THREE WEEKS THAT EVERYONE BECOMES VERY COMFORTABLE AND I SEE THE MOST IMPROVEMENT IN THE PROGRAM AFTER THAT TIME AND SO I THINK THAT HAVING THAT SIX-WEEK PROGRAM

MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE. FIEBLLY I'D LIKE TO ASK THE CITY COUNCIL TO CONSIDER SUPPORTING THE PROGRAM BY SPONSORING A YOUTH. AS THE CITY HAS DONE BEFORE WITH CITY DEPARTMENTS, FOR EXAMPLE, IN YOUTH EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AS WE'RE DISCUSSING IN THE PARKS AND REKZ DEPARTMENT AND OTHER AREAS OF THE CITY AND I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER THE POSSIBILITY OF SPONSORING A YOUTH IN THE NONPROFIT SECTOR BECAUSE THESE AREOUT OF THE COMMUNITY WHO ARE BECOMING MORE EDUCATED ABOUT THEIR CITY, ABOUT THE WAY THINGS HAPPEN, AND THEY'RE BECOMING COMMUNITY LEADERS. SO I THINK IT'S A VERY VALUABLE PROGRAM AND I'D LIKE TO YOU CONSIDER THAT. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. THE NEXT SPEAKER IS SAL CAVOZOS. AND WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY CAROL ANNE ROSE KENNEDY. WELCOME.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR, COUNCIL. I'M SPEAKING HERE, I'M ON THE ADVISORY BOARD OF SOUTHWEST KEY. I'VE BEEN THERE SINCE THE BEGINNING. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO THANK Y'ALL FOR THE -- TO BE ABLE TO SPEAK BEFORE YOU. FIRST OF AWCIALTION I WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT SOUTHWEST KEY SINCE DAY ONE COMMITTED THEMSELVES TO EAST AUSTIN. THE FIRST THING WAS THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE BUILDING THAT WE ASKED THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE BUILDERS WOULD BE MINORITY, AND THEY FOLLOWED THROUGH. WE COULDN'T GET THE 60% WE WERE ASKING, BUT WE LOOKED THROUGHOUT THE CITY AND THE MAJORITY OF THE CONSTRUCTION WORKERS WERE MINORITY OWNED BUSINESSES, WHICH HELPED THE CITY IN THAT ASPECT. THE FACT THAT SOUTHWEST KEY HAS THEIR HEADQUARTERS HERE, THEY HAVE IS ANYWAY, BUT BY MOVING IS T. TO EAST AUSTIN WILL GIVE AUSTIN THE PRIDE OF SAYING CORPORATE AUSTIN IS INTERESTED IN EAST AUSTIN. AND WILL BENEFIT THE COMMUNITY BY BEING THERE. NUMBER TWO, THE FACILITY WILL BE USED PRIMARILY FOR EDUCATION, BESIDES HAVING THE CORPORATE OFFICE. WE'LL BE ABLE TO EDUCATE PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING TO LEARN ENGLISH, FOR EXAMPLE, THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE HAS A LOT OF PLACE WHERE'S PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO LEARN ENGLISH, TRYING TO STUDY

TO BECOME CITIZENS, AND BY HAVING A FACILITY WHERE THEY CAN COME, THAT WILL HELP US A LOT. BESIDES THAT, THE YOUTH WILL HAVE A PLACE TO COME FOR TUTORING, WE'LL HAVE A COMPUTER CENTER THERE WHERE THEY WILL LEARN -- ALTHOUGH MOST OF THE KIDS ARE BETTER COMPUTER WISE. BUT ACTUALLY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM WILL BE FOR US OLD FOLKS WHO ONLY KNOW HOW TO DO E-MAIL. BUT ANYHOW, THE THING IS IT WILL BENEFIT THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE. BESIDES BRINGING EMPLOYMENT TO THE AREA, IT WILL BE ABLE TO EXPAND ITSELF BY OFFERING CLASSES FOR PEOPLE LIKE A.C.C. WHO MANY TIMES NEED ADDITIONAL CLASSROOMS OR SPACE OR PLACES TO GO FOR EDUCATION. THE HIRING OF TEACHERS, THE HIRING OF INDIVIDUALS THAT WILL BE WORKING IN THAT AREA. BESIDES THAT WE'LL HAVE SOME DAY CARE CENTERS, WE'LL HAVE OTHER TUTORING FOR OUR STUDENTS WHO ARE FAILING OUR SCHOOLS. AND THEY NEED HELP. THEY NEED ADDITIONAL HELP. AND THIS IS WHAT SOUTHWEST KEY WILL BE ABLE TO BRING TO THE COMMUNITY. THE INVESTMENT THAT HAS BEEN MADE ALREADY IS LARGE, BUT AN ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT OF \$800,000 WILL GUARANTEE THAT IT WILL BRING MORE TO THE COMMUNITY. BECAUSE ONCE WE GET PEOPLE COMING, WORKING AND PARTICIPATING IN EAST AUSTIN, THEY'LL FEEL COMFORTABLE THAT THERE IS A PLACE FOR THEM TO COME. SO SOUTHWEST KEY IS ONE INDICATION TO OUR COMMUNITY, AND I THINK WILL NOT ONLY BRING AN ADVANTAGE OF A LOT OF OUR PEOPLE IN EAST AUSTIN WHO DON'T HAVE IT, BUT THE PRIDE AND JOY OF SAYING CORPORATE AMERICA IS WITH US. SO I WOULD URGE YOU PLEASE TO FIND A WAY TO HELP SOUTHWEST KEY TO FINISH OUT THEIR PROJECT. AND WE INVITE EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU TO COME THE DAY WE OPEN OUR DOORS. AND I WANT TO THANK Y'ALL AGAIN. AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CONSIDERATION. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: OUR FINAL SPEAKER IS CAROL ANNE ROSE KENNEDY.

WELCOME BACK, COUNCIL. TODAY I HAVE A SONG WITH A SPEECH TO FOLLOW AT ANOTHER SPACE AND TIME CALLED PEEING IN REPUBLICAN POOLS. (music) I USED TO WORK AT I.R.S. AMONG THE BEST WHO SERVED. AND THEN MY BOSS

SAID, KENNEDY, YOU'RE GETTING ON MY NERVE. (music) IN 1991 I LOOKED THROUGH PILES OF MAIL THAT CAME INTO THE TEXAS SHOP THROUGH WIND AND FIRE AND HAIL. (music) BY BIRD, BY PLANE, BY SUPER MAN -- MISSPELLED WORD THERE. IT'S OKAY. (music) OR ON FOOT SO JOYFULLY. BY GOAT, BY ROTE OR ON BICYCLE AND ACROSS THE SIGNING SEA. (music) I EARMARKED RED MARKED TAX RETURNS WITH DOG BITES, PEE AND WINE, BUT THE ONES FROM THE POPES AND THE PRESIDENTS SMELLED VERY BAD OF SWINE. (music) BUT I WORE MY SUIT WITH HOSE AND HEELS, BY HAIR THE LATEST STYLE. AND I WALKED REAL FINE AND I TALKED REAL STRAIGHT, BUT I PLASTERED ON MY SMILE. (music) AND SOON I GOT TO MOVE DOWNTOWN ON THE HILL NEAR THE CAPITOL. HOW PROUD I WAS, BUT HUMBLLED WHEN I FACED THE ALBINO BULL. SHH. ... IT. (music) IN THE OKAY OK BOMB AND 911 RLS I LOVED WITHOUT A SCRATCH, BUT SOON I FOUND THAT THE ONE TO FEAR WAS RIGHT BEHIND MY ASS. SHE CRITICIZED BY MESSY DESK, THE FOUL PILES ON MY FLOOR. MY OVERLOADED VOICE MAIL BOX, MY UNREAD E-MAIL CHORE. (music) AND THEN IT WAS MY TONE OF VOICE, THE COLOR OF MY EYES. AND THEN MY RESPIRATION RATE COULD BE I'M TWICE HER SIZE. (music) THE MORAL TO HER STORY IS DON'T GIVE YOUR TIME AND LIFE TO FEDERAL SHENANIGANS UNLESS YOU HAVE A WIFE. (music) I GAVE MY TIME AND LIFE TO Y'ALL, MY MONEY AND MY FUN, AND NOW I HAVE GREAT NEWS FOR Y'ALL FROM YOUR UNCLE SAM I'VE RUN. [BUZZER SOUNDS] THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL, THAT CONCLUDES OUR GENERAL CITIZEN COMMUNICATION. AT THIS TIME WE'LL GO BACK INTO CLOSED SESSION. WE HAD -- IN CLOSED SESSION EARLIER AS ANNOUNCED PRIOR TO THAT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.071, WE WERE IN CLOSED SESSION TO TAKE UP LEGAL ISSUES REGARDING POTENTIALLY ITEM 42, THE LOST CREEK ANNEXATION ISSUES, ITEM 43, PROPOSED AGREEMENT WITH THE LCRA REGARDING LONG-TERM WATER SUPPLIES, ITEM 45, LEGAL ISSUES REGARDING THE WATERFRONT OVERLAY. 46, ISSUES RELATE TO GO THE SEARCH FOR A NEW CITY MANAGER. AND POTENTIALLY SECTION 551.071 PERSONNEL MATTERS RELATED TO THE SEARCH FOR A NEW CITY MANAGER. WE ARE BACK IN

CLOSED SESSION. I ANTICIPATE US BEING THERE FOR MOST OF THE EARLY AFTERNOON, AND WE SHOULD BE BACK BY MID AFTERNOON TO TAKE UP A COUPLE OF DISCUSSION ITEMS PRIOR TO OUR 2:00 O'CLOCK BRIEFING. THANK YOU. WE ARE IN CLOSED SESSION. YOU YOU YOU YOU

Mayor Wynn: WE ARE OUT OF CLOSED SESSION. IN EXECUTIVE SESSION WE TOOK UP ITEMS 42, 43 AND 45 NOVMENT DECISIONS WERE MADE. THOSE WERE ALL LEGAL CONSULTATION WITH OUR ATTORNEY. WE DID NOT AND I DON'T ANTICIPATE US TAKING UP ITEMS 46 OR 47.

> NOW BACK IN OPEN SESSION. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF DISCUSSION ITEMS LEFT OVERFROM THIS MORNING BEFORE WE THEN STILL GO TO OUR BUDGET PRESENTATION. AND A QUICK AHFC MEETING HERE IN A FEW MINUTE. LET'S SEE, ITEM NUMBER 35 WAS AN ITEM FROM COUNCIL. COUNCILMEMBERS MCCRACKEN, MARTINEZ AND KIM REGARDING A RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO DETERMINE THE POSSIBILITY OF A REGIONAL WATER TREATMENT PLANT. PERHAPS IF I COULD ASK ONE OF THE COUNCILMEMBERS -- COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: THANKS, MAYOR. THIS ITEM IS ON A BROAD POLICY LEVEL. IT'S JUST ABOUT -- FOR ME IT'S ABOUT EXPLORING EVERY ALTERNATIVE POSSIBLE. WE'RE FINDING NEW INFORMATION AND SPENDING A LOT OF MONEY AS IT RELATES TO BULL CREEK. AND THIS WAS AN OPTION THAT WE THOUGHT WE COULD PURSUE AND NOT DELAY WHAT'S GOING ON AT BULL CREEK IN ANY WAY, BUT AT LEAST REACH OUT TO THESE COMMUNITIES AND GET A FORMAL RESPONSE, NOT JUST SOME, YOU KNOW, WORD FROM STAFF SAYING WE LOOKED INTO THIS, AND IT'S NOT FEASIBLE. IT VERY WELL MAY NOT BE FEASIBLE. THOSE COMMUNITIES HAVE THEIR OWN INTERESTS, CONCERNS AND COMMITMENTS, AND I CERTAINLY RESPECT THAT. BUT ONE OF THE THING THAT'S BEING TALKED ABOUT IS -- AT LEAST ONE OF THE FACTS AROUND THIS ISSUE WAS THAT WHEN WE APPROACHED THE COUNTY AND WHEN WE ASKED THEM FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE BCCP TO ALLOW US TO BUILD ON CORTANA, THE DECIDING VOTE CAME FROM COMMISSIONER ECKHARDT. AND IN HER LETTER TO COUNCIL SHE SIMPLY STATED THAT AT THIS TIME SHE

COULDN'T SUPPORT IT BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T FEEL LIKE WE AS A CITY HAD EXHAUSTED EVERY AVAILABLE OPTION. THAT'S SIMPLY WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS EXHAUST EVERY AVAILABLE OPTION. IF WE HAPPEN TO GO BACK TO THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND ASK THEM TO REVISIT, I THINK THAT A DENIAL LETTER OR A LETTER FROM THESE COMMUNITIES SAYING THAT IT REALLY ISN'T FEASIBLE ONLY BOLSTERS THAT POSITION THAT WE REALLY NEED THE COMMISSION STORIES TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT THIS. WE DID GET A LETTER THIS MORNING FROM CHRIS LIPPE, AND HE WAS SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF CEDAR PARK, LEANDER AND ROUND ROCK. AND HE CERTAINLY LINED OUT THE COMMITMENTS THAT THEY'VE MADE AND THE DIRECTION THAT THEY'RE HEADING. AND WHILE IT REALLY DOESN'T SEEM LIKE IT IS A FEASIBLE OPTION FOR US, YONT US TO -- I DON'T WANT US TO DENY THE OPPORTUNITY OF EXPLORING EVERYTHING AND BUILDING UP THE EVIDENCE THAT WE HAVE EXPLORED EVERY OPTION. SO WITH THAT I WILL STILL MOVE THAT WE APPROVE THIS ITEM SO THAT WE CAN EXPLORE EVERY AVAILABLE OPTION AND THEN POTENTIALLY HAVE THAT AS EVIDENCE THAT WE'VE DONE SO.

Mayor Wynn: WELL, I WILL SAY THAT WE DO HAVE A HANDFUL OF CITIZENS WHO WANTED TO ADDRESS US ABOUT THIS ITEM, BUT I'LL ACCEPT THAT AS A MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 35 AND A SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM.
COUNCILMEMBER MCCrackEN.

McCracken: YEAH. I WANT TO -- I HAVE THE SAME VIEW AS COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ. WE HAVE -- IT'S BECOME CLEAR AS ALL THESE FACTS CONVERGE THAT WE DO NEED TO LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES. WE FOUND THE THREE INCH TEST BORE WERE DRY AT THE SPRING AND WE HAVE TO RELY ON AN EXPERIMENTAL TEST FEATURE FOR THIS SITE. UNLIKE THE GREEN WATER TREATMENT CENTER WHERE WE'LL TURN OVER AN EXCAVATED SITE WHERE THE WATER TANKS USED TO SIT, THIS SITE AT BULL CREEK WILL REQUIRE US GOING DOWN TO 10 FEET. THE TANKS WILL BE ABOVE GROUND AND WE NEED TO DO EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN FEATURES TO INJECT RUNOFF AFTER IT'S TREATED BACK INTO THE KARST FEATURES AND THE COST HAS INCREASED BY \$30 MILLION. THERE ARE SOME ENDANGERED

SPECIES THINGS GOING FORWARD. ALL THIS INFORMATION HAS COME TO US IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS. SO WE NEED TO LOOK AT SOME OPTIONS. WE HAVE THREE CLEAR ALTERNATIVES THAT I AND I BELIEVE THE OTHER MEMBERS BELIEVE THAT WE SHOULD PURSUE. ONE IS TO LOOK AT PRODUCING THE ULTIMATE SITE OF THE PLANT AND SEEING WHICH OF THE SITES BECOME AVAILABLE AT THAT POINT. AN ALTERNATIVE SITE IN THE REGION, SUCH AS THE LUCAS TRACT OR THE SCHLUMBERGER SITE. THE SECOND WOULD BE TO START OFF WITH EXPANDING YOU WILL RICK FIRST OR MAYBE REASSESSING WHETHER WE GO TO THE SON OR BAWRT OF GREEN PLANT FIRST. WE WANT TO HAVE A REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP WITH CEDAR PARK, LEANDER AND ROUND ROCK. ONE OF THESE WOULD REQUIRE COUNCIL DIRECTION BEFORE STAFF CAN DO THAT AND THAT'S THE REGIONAL SITE. SO WE CAN INDIVIDUALLY, LIKE I HAVE, AND I BELIEVE OTHER MEMBERS HAVE, ASKED RUDY GARZA AND THE CITY MANAGER TO GIVE THIS INFORMATION SUCH AS WHAT OTHER SITES ARE AVAILABLE THAT IF WE MADE THE PLANT SMALLER OUT WEST, SAY LIMITED THE ULTIMATE SIZE TO 150 OR 200 MGD, COULD A DIFFERENT TRACT SUCH AS THE LUCAS TRACT, FOR INSTANCE, BECOME ONE THAT BECOMES A VIABLE SITE? WE CAN ASK MANAGEMENT TO DO THAT WITHOUT COUNCIL DIRECTION. WE CAN ALSO ASK MANAGEMENT TO GIVE US DIRECTION ON LOOKING AT ULRICH AS THE FIRST THING WE DO. BUT BEFORE WE CAN ASK MANAGEMENT TO GO NEGOTIATE WITH OUR REGIONAL PARTNERS WE'D HAVE TO GIVE COUNCIL DIRECTION TO DO THAT, ONE OR TWO MEMBERS OR EVEN THREE CAN'T ASK MANAGEMENT TO GO DO THAT. THIS IS CLEARLY THE MOST LONG SHOT OPTION OF THE THREE OR FOUR ALTERNATIVES. IT APPEARS AT THE MOMENT BASED ON WHAT WE KNOW NOW THAT EITHER EXPANDING ULRICH FIRST OR GOING TO A DIFFERENT SITE IN THE REGION WITH A SMALLER ULTIMATE PLANT ARE MORE VIABLE ALTERNATIVES, BUT THAT SAID, IT IS AN EXPRESSION I THINK OF CONFIDENCE OF THIS COUNCIL AND OF THESE STRONG RELATIONSHIPS WE HAVE WITH THESE REGIONAL COMMUNITIES, CEDAR PARK, LEANDER AND ROUND ROCK THAT, WE'VE COME TO GO ASK THAT WE EXPLORE THE LOCATION. I THINK IT'S A VERY POSITIVE THING AND I KNOW THAT A LOT OF OUR COMMUNICATION WATER AND

WASTEWATER OUR REGIONAL PARTNERS THEY'VE SAID THE SAME THING, THAT IT A REFLECTION OF THE STRONG WORKING RELATIONSHIPS THAT WE WOULD EVEN BRING THIS UP AT ALL.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER, I'LL JUST SAY, AND COUNCIL, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THERE MIGHT BE SOME POTENTIAL AMENDMENTS OR ADDITIONAL DIRECTION. IT MIGHT BEHOOVE TO US GET SOME OF THE CITIZEN TESTIMONY NOW.

Leffingwell: THERE IS A MOTION. DID WE HAVE A SECOND?

Mayor Wynn: YES, WE HAVE A MOTION AND IS SECOND ON THE TABLE.

Leffingwell: WE'LL TAKE PUBLIC TESTIMONY NOW?

...

Mayor Wynn: YES, SIR, THAT'S MY INSTINCT. SO WITHOUT OBJECTION WE'LL GO TO FOLKS WHO HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK. I PRAISHT EVERYBODY'S PATIENCE ON THIS ITEM. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS LISA SANCHEZ. WELCOME, MA'AM. AND LET'S SEE, IS MARY SANCHEZ HERE? NO? HOW ABOUT DID LINDA OR VICTOR HANG AROUND? OKAY. SO LISA, YOU HAVE UP TO SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT. WELCOME.

CAN SOMEBODY GET LISA AN EASEL FOR HER MAP? RUDY, THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: AND/OR USE THE SKY CAM.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL AND MAYOR. MY NAME IS LISA SANCHEZ, AS I'VE MENTIONED. I'M HERE TODAY AS A FOURTH GENERATION CITY RESIDENT AND I CAME WITH MY FAMILY AND MY FRIENDS IN ORDER TO TALK ABOUT SOMETHING THAT I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT. AND SO TODAY I AM HERE TO TALK ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL, AND I HOPE -- ONCE AGAIN, OUR NEIGHBORS TO THE WE ARE TRYING TO BLOCK THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW WATER TREATMENT PLANT. AND TODAY SOME CITY COUNCILMEMBERS APPEAR TO BE FOLDING TO THESE

UNBELIEVABLE AND PURELY SELF-SERVING DEMANDS. THEIR OBJECTIONS ARE CLEARLY AND DECEIVINGLY DISGUISED AS POTENTIAL CATASTROPHIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. THEIR OBJECTIONS ARE ACTUALLY NO MORE THAN EXCUSES FOR WHAT THEY CONSIDER AN UNDESIRABLE LOCATION FOR THE NEW PLANT. THEY DON'T WANT THIS PLANT IN THEIR BACKYARD. THERE ARE ALSO THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE THEIR OWN PERSONAL AGENDAS THAT ONLY WANT TO DISAGREE WITH THIS CITY. DEVELOPMENT IS OCCURRING AT AN EVER INCREASING RATE. THIS IS NOT NEWS TO ANYONE. REGARDLESS OF THIS DESIRE -- EXCUSE ME. REGARDLESS OF THE DESIRE OF THIS AND ANY OTHER CITY COUNCIL TO CONTROL, LIMIT AND RESTRICT DEVELOPMENT, IT WILL CONTINUE TO OCCUR EVEN OUTSIDE THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE. THE SO-CALLED ENVIRONMENTALISTS DON'T WANT TO STOP THE NEW PLANT, THEY JUST WANT TO STOP WHERE IT IS TO BE BUILT. THESE INDIVIDUALS HAVE SOME MISGUIDED BELIEFS THAT THEIR AREA DESERVES OR IS ENTITLED TO MORE STRINGENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS THAN ANY OTHER PART OF THE CITY. AS A FOURTH GENERATION RESIDENT WHO HAS LIVED MY ENTIRE LIFE ON THE EAST SIDE, I ASSURE YOU ALONG WITH MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS, WE ALL CONSIDER THE EAST SIDE OF AUSTIN AND EAST OF 35 JUST AS IMPORTANT AS THE EDWARDS AQUIFER AND ITS RECHARGE ZONE. THE CENTRAL AREAS OF TOWN CONTAIN THE CENTRAL AND EAST AREAS OF TOWN CONTAIN ALL THE WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS. AND JUST BECAUSE THEY ARE ALL LOCATED IN THIS AREA OF TOWN DOES NOT GIVE THE GREEN LIGHT TO PLACE ALL THE INFRASTRUCTURE IN THIS REGION. AS YOU ALL REMEMBER, WHEN THE MAYOR DEMANDED THAT THE CITY STAFF FIND A NEW LOCATION IN THE EAST END OF TOWN FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF GREEN WATER TREATMENT PLANT, THE CITIZENS, ESPECIALLY THOSE FROM THE EAST, STRONGLY OPPOSED THIS SUGGESTION. AS ANY FIFTH GRADER CAN TELL YOU, IT IS EASIER TO LET WATER FLOW DOWNHILL THAN TRY TO PUSH IT UPHILL. THE LOGICAL LOCATION FOR THE NEW WATER TREATMENT PLANT IS IN LAKE TRAVIS. IT IS AT A MUCH HIGHER ELEVATION. AND THIS INFORMATION AND VOLUMES OF MORE HAS BEEN REPEATEDLY DEMONSTRATED TO YOU ALL. THE CITY NEEDS A NEW

WATER SOURCE, AND WITH THE -- WITH THE WATER FROM LAKE TRAVIS, WE CAN GET A GREATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WATER THAN WE HAVE CURRENTLY. ON MY MAP IT CLEARLY SHOWS THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE HIGHEST WATER WASTERS IN THE RESIDENTIAL -- LIVE WEST OF I-35 AND WEST OF MOPAC. HOWEVER, INDIVIDUALS ARE DETERMINED BY APPLYING POLITICAL PRESSURE AND CREATING ENVIRONMENTAL PROP PROPAGANDA TO BUILD A NEW WATER PLANT AT ANYPLACE BUT THE AREA ALREADY IN QUESTION. BASICALLY THEY WANT IT OUT OF SIGHT AND OUT OF MIND. SO LONG AS THE CITY COUNCIL, ESPECIALLY THE MAYOR, CONTINUE TO ENCOURAGE HIGHER DENSITY DEVELOPMENT, SO LONG AS THE CITY COUNCIL CONTINUES TO ANNEX NEW AREAS INTO OUR CITY, SO LONG AS THE CITY EXTENDS THEIR SERVICE AREA, SO LONG AS WE CONTINUE TO PROVIDE WHOLESALE WATER TO OTHER AREAS AND SO LONG AS WE CONTINUE TO GIVE TAX BREAKS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT, THERE WILL BE AN EVER INCREASING DEMAND FOR MORE WATER. AND I CAN TELL YOU, AS ANY FIFTH GRADER CAN, THE MORE WATER YOU SELL, THE MORE YOU'RE GOING TO NEED. CONSERVATION OF WATER A VERY IMPORTANT PRACTICE, ONLY GETS THE WASTE NOT, WANT NOT PHILOSOPHY OUT TO THE PUBLIC AND IT ENCOURAGES THE RESPONSIBLE USE OF VERY VALUABLE RESOURCE. IT CANNOT AND WILL NOT PROVIDE AN INDEFINITE WATER SUPPLY TO ALL THE NEW CITY CUSTOMERS FOR THE NEXT 50 YEARS. DON'TING GULLIBLE IN THINKING THAT IT CAN. WITH REGARDS TO SOME OF THE CITY COUNCILMEMBERS WHO THINK THAT AN ININTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WILL BE THE ANSWER FOR OUR PROBLEM ARE BEING UNREALISTIC. A SMALL CITY, M.U.D. OR ANY OTHER UTILITY DISTRICT WILL NOT HAVE THE SAME GOALS, EXPECTATIONS AND COMMITMENTS AS WE DO. IF BY SOME CHANCE OF FATE THEY DO AGREE, IT WILL MOST LIKELY NOT BE IN OUR BEST INTEREST. GETTING PEOPLE FROM DIFFERENT CITIES TO AGREE ON ANY ONE TASK WILL BE NEAR IMPOSSIBLE. WE CAN'T EVEN GET THE CITY STAFF TO AGREE ON WHAT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITY. IS WE HAVE HAD NUMEROUS INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN SUCCESSFUL. WHY ENCOURAGE THIS SUPERFICIAL PLOY TO FURTHER DELAY AND STONEWALL OUR NEW WATER TREATMENT PLANT. YOU MAY BELIEVE

THAT THE REGIONAL PLAN IS CHEAPER AND LESS ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE. [BUZZER SOUNDS] BUT REMEMBER THAT A PLANT BUILT MUCH FURTHER AWAY REQUIRES LARGE TRANSMISSION PIPES AND MUST CROSS THE SAME AREAS THAT THE WATER TREATMENT PLANT WOULD. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME IN ALLOWING ME TO EXPRESS THE FEELINGS OF MANY CITIZENS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. SANCHEZ. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS BRAD ROCKWELL. I SAW HIM EARLIER. HE SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK FOR THIS ITEM. AS HAS JEFF MONEY DI. HE SIGNED UP WISH TO GO SPEAK IN FAVOR. BILL BUNCH, WHO I STILL SEE WITH US. WELCOME BACK, BILL.

THANK YOU, BILL BUNCH FOR SAVE OUR SPRINGS. I WANT TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE MEASURE AND THANK COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ, MCCRACKEN AND KIM FOR RESPONDING IT. OF COURSE WE VERY MUCH SUPPORT FINDING AN ALTERNATIVE TO WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4 IN BULL CREEK, AND I COMMEND YOU FOR RESPONDING TO THE NEW INFORMATION THAT YOUR STAFF HAS BEEN GENERATING THE LAST FEW MONTHS TO GET YOUR ATTENTION AND REALIZE THAT WE REALLY CANNOT BUILD THIS PLANT IN THIS LOCATION WITHOUT VERY SEVERE CONSEQUENCES FOR THE SPRINGS AND UPPER BULL CREEK AS WELL AS THE ENDANGERED SUPPOSE CEASE THAT LIVE THERE. I HOPE YOU WILL MAKE CLEAR THAT IN LOOKING FOR ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE YOU, THAT THE STAFF CAN TAKE THE TIME THAT IT NEEDS TO REALLY DO THAT IN A FULL AND FAIR WAY. BECAUSE OF THE CONSERVATION MEASURES THAT Y'ALL APPROVED THIS MORNING, YOUR OWN WATER UTILITY NUMBERS MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THERE IS NO EMERGENCY FOR BUILDING THIS PLANT. AND THAT YOU CAN TAKE THE TIME ANOTHER YEAR, TWO YEARS, EVEN THREE OR FOUR YEARS TO FIGURE OUT WHAT IS THE NEXT BEST MOVE FOR OUR WATER INVESTMENT DOLLARS. I THINK IT'S ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT NOW THAT WE KNOW FROM REPORTING THAT BUILDING THIS PLANT IS GOING TO DRIVE UP OUR WATER RATES 30%, I BELIEVE, IS WHAT THEY SAID, ISSUING THAT KIND OF LARGE SCALE DEBT TO PAY FOR THIS HUGE PLANT. WHEN WE DON'T NEED IT, BECAUSE WE CAN INVEST A FRACTION OF THE DOLLARS IN CONSERVATION AND POSTPONE THE NEED

FOR IT. IN LOOKING AT COOPERATION WITH THE OTHER CITIES, ONE OPTION THAT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE TO THE EXTENT YOU'RE CONCERNED ABOUT NEEDING AT LEAST A LITTLE WATER IN THE FAR NORTHWEST SEGMENT OF OUR SERVICE AREA, YOU COULD BUY A LITTLE BIT FROM THEM. IF IN FACT THAT'S A NEED. BUT WHEN WE STARTED DOWN THE PATH OF WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4 IN 1984, IT WAS ASSUMED THAT IF SERVICE AREA WAS CEDAR PARK, LEANDER AND ROUND ROCK, AND ALL OF THAT SERVICE HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY BY BRUSHY CREEK AND THE OTHER UTILITY. AND SO VIRTUALLY ALL OF THE WATER THAT WOULD COME FROM THIS PLANT WOULDN'T EVEN BE USED IN THE NORTHWEST SEGMENT. AND TO THE EXTENT THERE'S A SMALL FRACTION THAT WOULD STILL BE NEEDED UP THERE, IT MAKES SENSE TO EXPLORE TYING IN AND BUYING A LITTLE BIT FROM THOSE OTHER FOLKS WHO ARE UP THERE. SO I HOPE YOU'LL AT LEAST LOOK AT IF THA FOR ONE POTENTIAL ELEMENT OF REGIONAL COOPERATION. I THINK ALSO YOU SHOULD BE LOOKING AT GROUNDWATER AS A TRULY ALTERNATIVE WATER SUPPLY RATHER THAN SOLE SOURCE DEPENDENCE ON THE COL COG RIVER. AND OF COURSE, EXPLOITING CONSERVATION AS A REAL RESOURCE THAT IT IS. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. BUNCH. WELCOME, MR. CLARK. YOU ALSO HAVE THREE MINUTES AND WILL BE FOLLOWED BY SAM FAISON, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY MADDIE ADAMS.

GOOD AFTERNOON, COLIN LARK.....CLARK ALSO WITH SAVE OUR SPRINGS AND I'LL TRY TO BRIEFLY ECHO SOME OF THE POINTS BILL MADE AND PUT UP ON THE SCREEN HERE A SLIDE FROM THE WATER CONSERVATION TASKFORCE, THE CITY'S TASKFORCE THAT PRODUCED SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT Y'ALL APPROVED THIS MORNING. AND I'D LIKE TO JUST GO THROUGH THIS GRAPH WITH YOU. THIS IS A CHART OF THE CITY'S DATA SHOWING HISTORIC PEAK DAYS. AND THAT RED LINE WAS THE TREND LINE OF OUR PEAK DAY USE GOING OUT TO THE YEAR 2020. AND THE ONE PERCENT REDUCTION OFF PEAK DAY THAT THE TASKFORCE RECOMMENDED AND THAT Y'ALL ARE MOVING TOWARDS IMPLEMENTING, THAT IS THE PINK LINE UNDERNEATH THE RED LINE. AND IF THAT TREND

CONTINUES OUT BEYOND THE 10-YEAR HORIZON THAT Y'ALL SET, YOU'LL SEE WHERE OUR PEAK DAY USE ON THE HOT SUMMER MONTHS ENDS UP IN THE YEAR 2019. AND THERE'S A GREATER THAN 10 PERCENT BUFFER BETWEEN OUR PROJECTED PEAK DAY USE IN THE YEAR 2019 AND THE SYSTEM CAPACITY IF YOU TAKE GREEN OFFLINE. SO IF WE JUST HAVE DAVIS AND ULRICH, OUR TWO MAIN WATER TREATMENT PLANTS WHICH ARE IN SOME OF THE MOST EXTENSIVE REAL ESTATE IN THE COUNTY, WE HAVE 25 -- 285 MILLION GALLONS A DAY OF CAPACITY. SO THIS IS YOUR OWN -- YOUR OWN CITY'S STAFF INFORMATION, AND IT GIVES PROOF TO THE POINT THAT THERE IS NO EMERGENCY, WE CAN TAKE TIME TO FIND A SITE OTHER THAN THE BULL CREEK SITE AND POSSIBLY NOT NEED A TREATMENT PLANT AT ALL FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS IF WE GET EVEN MORE AGGRESSIVE ON CONSERVATION AND WE'LL CERTAINLY BE PARTICIPATING IN THE CITIZENS CONSERVE BEVATION TASKFORCE THAT WAS MENTIONED THIS MORNING AND LOOKING AT WAYS THAT THE CITY CAN REDUCE OUR USE. AND AS A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES, LA, LOS ANGELES, WAS ABLE TO ADD THREE-QUARTERS OF A MILLION PEOPLE TO THEIR WATER SYSTEM OVER 20 YEARS WITHOUT EXPANDING THEIR CAPACITY. AND THEY DID THAT THROUGH CONSERVATION. SO EVEN AS THE SYSTEM GREW IN TERMS OF NUMBER OF USERS, EVERYBODY USED A LITTLE LESS AND THE WATER USE REMAINED FLAT. THE CITY OF SEATTLE HAD A VERY SIMILAR SITUATION WHERE THEIR POPULATION WAS GROWING, BUT THEY WERE ABLE TO DELAY THE NEED FOR EXPENSIVE INFRASTRUCTURE THROUGH CONSERVATION. AND THIS IS A CASE WHERE WE CAN LEAD -- WE CAN BE A LEADER IN CONSERVATION AND WE CAN SAVE OUR RATE..... RATEPAYERS MONEY. THE TREATMENT PLANT WOULD REQUIRE ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF DEBT, AND THAT MEANS RATES GO UP. SO LET'S SPEND A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY ON CONSERVATION AND SAVE OUR RATEPAYERS A LOT OF MONEY ON THEIR MONTHLY BILLS. THANK YOU. [BUZZER SOUNDS]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. CLARK. SAM FAISON, WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY MADDIE ADAMS.

MAYOR, COUNCIL. I'M SAM FAISON. I'M A MEMBER OF THE

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF TRAVIS AUDUBON SOCIETY. AND WE HEARTILY ENDORSE THIS PROPOSAL AS SOMETHING THAT'S REASONABLE AND PRUDENT TO DO. SO I'M NOT GOING TO TAKE A LOT OF YOUR TIME, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO JUST ENCOURAGE YOU IN YOUR WISDOM TO ENDORSE THIS AS SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE DONE AND EXPLORED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

..

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, SAM. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL?

Leffingwell: A YEAR AGO WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT POSSIBLY SWITCHING THE WATER TREATMENT PLANT TO THE CORTANA SITE, I BELIEVE THE AUDUBON SOCIETY, WE ALL WENT OUT THERE TOGETHER AND LOOKED AT THE CORTANA SITE AND THE AUDUBON SOCIETY AGREED TO SUPPORT CORTANA OVER BULL CREEK, IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING, YES.

Leffingwell: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. WELCOME, MADDIE. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.

GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS MADDIE ADAMS. I WANT TO COMMEND THE SPONSORS OF THIS RESOLUTION AND TO CONVEY MY WHOLE SUPPORT OF THIS ADOPTION. THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME THAT AUSTIN HAS OPENED ITS ARM TO WORK WITH THESE THREE CITIES IN AN EFFORT TO PERFORM TRUE, REGIONAL PLANNING. I AM HOPEFUL THAT ELECTED OFFICIALS OF CEDAR PARK, ROUND ROCK AND LEANDER WILL SHOW STATESMANSHIP AND COME TO THE TABLE AND WITH OPEN MINDS AND HAVE AN OPEN DISCUSSION. WITH THE EXPLOSIVE GROWTH IN CENTRAL TEXAS, CITIES THAT WERE ONCE MILES APART NOW SHARE COMMON BORDERS. WE CAN NO LONGER PRETEND THAT THE ACTIONS THAT ONE COMMUNITY TAKES ONLY AFFECT THAT DISTRICT. THE PROVISION AND DISTRIBUTION OF WATER IS CERTAINLY ONE OF THE MOST BASIC NEEDS AND CONCERNS AND CHALLENGES THAT WE ALL PLAN FOR IN

THE FUTURE. THE REALITY IS THAT CENTRAL TEXAS DOESN'T HAVE AN INFINITE SUPPLY OF WATER, SO IT'S TAUNT. THAT WE ALL -- IT'S TANT MONTH THAT WE COME TO THE TABLE AND SHARE THE SOLUTIONS AND FIND A WAY WE CAN WORK TOGETHER TO PROTECT THE LINLTED AMOUNT OF WATER WE SHARE. IN FACT, I CHALLENGE THEM TO DO SO. MEANWHILE, I WOULD ENCOURAGE AUSTIN TO CONTINUE ITS EFFORTS TOWARDS REGIONAL PLANNING WITH THE MANY OTHER CENTRAL TEXAS COMMUNITIES WHO RELY UPON THE COMMON WATER SOURCES. I ENCOURAGE YOU THAT TO FINALIZE YOUR CONSIDERATION TO WORK WITH ROUND ROCK, CEDAR PARK AND LEANDER THROUGH WHATEVER MEANS YOU SEE FIT AND I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS JEFF JACK, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY NICOLE MILLER, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY JUDY GRACIE. I HAVE THREE MINUTES. WELCOME.

MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS, I'M JEFF JACK SPEAKING JUST FOR MY SU TODAY, BUT THE FIRST SPEAKER, MS. SANCHEZ, MADE TWO POINTS THAT I THOUGHT WERE IMPORTANT. SHE SHOWED YOU A MAP AND ON THAT MAP SHE SHOWED YOU WHERE THE GREATEST WATER YIEWRS WERE. INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, SHE POINTED OUT THAT IT WAS WEST OF MOPAC. IN OTHER WORDS, IN THE SERVICE AREA OR THE AREA OF TOWN WHERE THIS WATER TREATMENT PLANT WOULD GO. SO MAYBE A LITTLE EXTRA CONSERVATION THAN THAT AREA MIGHT HAVE SOME VERY GOOD RETURN ON INVESTMENT. THE OTHER COMMENT SHE MADE HAD TO DO WITH THE FACT THAT THERE WASN'T EQUALITY WITH CITY STAFF ABOUT WHICH DIRECTION WE SHOULD BE GOING. THE AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCILS RECENTLY DELIVERED TO YOU A RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE MEMBERSHIP ASKING THIS COUNCIL TO COME TOGETHER WITHIN THE NEXT 90 DAYS AND BEGIN TO BRING THE COMMUNITY TOGETHER IN DEVELOPING A PROCESS TO LOOK AT GROWTH MBILITY. MANAGEMENT. I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE IS WE DON'T HAVE THAT SHARED VISION AND CONSENSUS OF DIRECTION TO GO. THERE'S CONCERNS ABOUT BUILDING A WATER TREATMENT PLANT ON THE EAST SIDE, THERE'S CONCERNS

ABOUT BUILDING A WATER TREATMENT PLANT ON THE WEST SIDE. IT INCREASES THE TAXPAYERS -- THE RATEPAYERS' EXPENSE. WE DON'T SEEM TO HAVE A COHESIVE POLICY WITH REGARD TO WHERE WE'RE GOING. AS A COMMUNITY. AND SO THIS RESOLUTION THAT COUNCILMEMBERS MARTINEZ AND KIM AND MCCrackEN HAVE PUT BEFORE YOU TODAY IS VERY WELCOME IN ASKING US TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT WHAT OUR REAL ALTERNATIVES ARE. I THINK THE INFORMATION THAT S.O.S. HAS PROVIDED YOU HAS SHOWN YOU THAT THIS IS NOT AN EMERGENCY THAT HAS TO BE DECIDED IMMEDIATELY. WE HAVE TIME AS A COMMUNITY TO WORK ON FINDING OUT THE BEST SOLUTION. BUT LET'S DO IT WITHIN THE CONSTRUCT OF AN OVERALL GROWTH MANAGEMENT STRATEGY SO THAT THE COMMUNITY CAN COME BEHIND THE SELECTION OF THIS SITE AND THE PRIORITY ABOUT BUILDING THE SITE. SO AGAIN, I SUPPORT THIS RESOLUTION. I HOPE THAT THE OPTIONS THAT COUNCILMEMBER MCCrackEN HAS LAID OUT FOR YOU GET THOROUGH STUDY AND THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY COME TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY TO SUPPORT THIS. THANK YOU.

..

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. JACK. NICOLE MILLER? YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES AND WILL BE FOLLOWED BY JUDY GRACIE.

MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS NICOLE MILLER. I LIVE OFF TRAIL'S END ROAD IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS. I AM A CONCERNED CITIZEN FROM THAT AREA AND WOULD LIKE TO ENCOURAGE RESPONSIBLE GROWTH AND STRICT CONSERVATION IN HIGH USAGE AREAS. LAKE TRAVIS AND ITS SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES GREATLY CONTRIBUTE TO THE \$2.8 BILLION TOURIST INDUSTRY HERE. THERE IS NO BENEFIT TO A DRY LAKE. IF THERE IS A WAY TO WORK TOGETHER REGIONALLY, RESPONSIBLY IN FAVOR OF FAVORABLE GROWTH AND RESPONSIBLE STEWARDSHIP, I WOULD ENCOURAGE A LET'S WORK TOGETHER ATTITUDE. WITH ALL OF THE OTHER COMMUNITIES THAT GET THEIR DRINKING WATER FROM A DROUGHT-SUSCEPTIBLE LAKE, WE DEFINITELY NEED TO HAVE A REGIONAL LOOK AT THIS IDEA. I'D LIKE TO SAY ONE THING OFF THE CUFF. YOU GUYS HAVE

PROPERTY IN CEDAR PARK THAT HAS THE CAPABILITIES OF AT LEAST 4,000-ACRE FEET OF STORAGE. THERE WOULD BE SOME IMPROVEMENTS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE MADE TO THAT. CURRENTLY IT'S USED AS A QUARRY. BUT I KNOW CEDAR PARK HAS HAD SOME ISSUES WITH YOU GUYS AND WHAT YOU WANT TO DO WITH YOUR WATER TREATMENT PLANTS. IT WOULD BE A NICE TIME TO LOOK AT THAT AS AN ALTERNATIVE SITE POTENTIALLY FOR THEIR WATER TREATMENT FACILITY. THEIR WATER TREATMENT FACILITY FOR THE BCRUA IS PLAND FOR AN ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREA WITH KARSTIC FEATURES. THOSE FEATURES HAVE ALL BEEN BLASTED TWICE A DAY FOR THE PAST 20 YEAR. SO ANYWAY, JUST TO SEE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME JUDY GRACIE. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY JACK SCHMIDT.

I'M JUDY GRACIE. I LIVE IN VOLENTE AND I'VE LIVED AT LAKE TRAVIS FOR 25 YEARS. I WAS VERY SHOCKED TO HEAR ABOUT THESE TWO INTAKES, ESPECIALLY ONE GOING DOWN THE STREET FROM ME. AND I BECAME INVOLVED IN THE OPEN RECORDS.S TRYING TO FIND ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CEDAR PARK, ROUND ROCK, LEANDER WATER PROJECT. I FEEL THAT THERE ARE MANY OPTIONS THAT SHOULD BE PURSUED, MANY ANSWERS THAT SHOULD BE PURSUED. FROM MANY AVENUES, FROM THE LCRA. WHAT IS THE WATER SUPPLY THAT THEY ARE SELLING? WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN A DROUGHT OF RECORD? IS THIS A RELIABLE SOURCE OF WATER? WHY ARE THESE TWO INTAKES GOING DOWN TO THE LOWEST LEVEL OF LAKE TRAVIS? HOW MUCH WATER IS IN LAKE TRAVIS AT THOSE LEVELS? WHAT ABOUT THE OTHER INTAKES ON LAKE TRAVIS? LIKE LAGO VISTA, WHICH IS AT 620, TRAVIS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT 17 IS AT 580. CEDAR PARK AND LEANDER HAVE TWO INTAKES AT SANDY CREEK, WHICH CAN BE MOVED TO 580. THEIR DESIRE TO HAVE A TREATMENT PLANT AND DEEP WATER TO THEIR EXISTING TREATMENT PLANTS IS NOT A NECESSITY. THEY HAVE DEEP ENOUGH WATER TO BE THERE. THEY CAN JOIN WITH YOU IN A CENTRAL TREATMENT PLANT AND ONE INTAKE FACILITY FOR LAKE TRAVIS. BUT THERE ARE THE OTHER ISSUES OF WHAT IS THE ECONOMIC AND -- WHY IS THERE NOT AN ECONOMIC

AND AN ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY BEING DONE ON THE EFFECTS OF THESE TWO PROPOSED INTAKES AT THIS TIME? SO BASICALLY I'M HERE TO SUPPORT THIS RESOLUTION. IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THESE FOUR CITIES TO COME TOGETHER AND DISCUSS A REGIONAL SOLUTION. THIS IS THE TIME FOR ALL TO EVALUATE WITH UNBIASED MINDS NEW POSSIBILITIES AND TO EXPLORE A BETTER SOLUTION FOR LAKE TRAVIS AND ALL OF ITS COMMUNITIES. THANK YOU. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

... SO THAT EVERY COMMUNITY'S NEEDS ARE ADEQUATELY MET LONG INTO THE FUTURE. IN GIVING YOURSELF TIME TO CONSIDER BEING A PART OF THIS GROUP EFFORT, I THINK IT'S IMPERATIVE YOU NOT SIGN THE AUSTIN LCRA AGREEMENT UNTIL THIS DECISION HAS BEEN MADE. DOING SO WILL PUT YOU IN A BOX AND TIE YOUR HANDS. DON'T LET THE MONEY YOU'VE ALREADY SPENT ON WATER BE A DETERMINING FACTOR IN THIS ISSUE. MAKE THE DECISION TO JOIN WITH BCRUA OR NOT PRIMARY AS YOUR DECISION. AND IF THAT MEANS DELAYING THE LCRA AGREEMENT A LITTLE LONGER, EVEN UNDER SOME KIND OF A PENALTY, BEFORE YOU MAKE THAT DECISION, THEN THAT'S THE CORRECT THING TO DO. THE DECISIONS YOU MAKE NOW WILL AFFECT EVERYONE IN CENTRAL TEXAS FOR DECADES TO COME. AND YOUR LEGACY AS CITY COUNCILMEMBERS OF AUSTIN DEPENDS ON HOW YOUR DECISIONS AFFECT THE FUTURE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR EVERYONE IN THE AREA. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO SPEND THE 30 DAYS STUDYING THIS ISSUE AND DOING WHAT'S OF BENEFIT TO EVERYONE, NOT JUST AUSTIN. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. SMITH. WELCOME, MR. BAILEY.

HOWDY, Y'ALL. I'M ROY WHALEY, VICE CHAIR OF THE LOCAL SIERRA CLUB AND I WANT TO THANK YOU AND ALL THE PREVIOUS SPEAKERS, MOST OF THE PREVIOUS SPEAKERS, SORRY. I LOVE FOLLOWING GOOD SPEAKERS BECAUSE THEY MAKE IT EASY FOR ME TO JUST GET UP HERE AND BE THE SIMPLE MAN I AM. SO I'M GLAD I'M HERE TODAY AND HAVE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY, THREE MINUTES INSTEAD OF TWO MINUTES, TO TALK ABOUT WATER TREATMENT PLANT NUMBER 4. AND ONCE AGAIN HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SAY JUST LIKE ROY GUERRERO PARK, IT'S THE WRONG PLACE.

IT'S THE WRONG PLACE TO PUT A WATER TREATMENT PLANT IF WE HAVE TO HAVE A WATER TREATMENT PLANT. AND I'M NOT HERE TO ARGUE THAT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER TODAY, BUT I'M VERY PROUD OF OUR CITY AND OUR CONSERVATION EFFORTS THAT WE'VE MADE AND I BELIEVE IN THEM VERY STRONGLY. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT WE CAN PUT A WATER TREATMENT PLANT ON BULL CREEK AND PRESERVE THE ENVIRONMENT AT THE SAME TIME. I JUST LOOK AT THAT AND I SAY BULL CREEK IS NOT THE RIGHT PLACE TO PUT A WATER TREATMENT PLANT. AND I KNOW THAT WE'VE GOT SOME MONEY INTO IT, COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ SAID TO CONTINUE WITH WHAT WE'RE DOING AT THAT SITE WHILE WE STUDY WORKING WITH THE OTHERS. I SAY STOP PRODUCTION RIGHT NOW. I KNOW THAT WE'VE GOT SOME MONEY INTO SITE PREP OUT THERE, 100,000 OR SO. AT THE RISK OF SEEMING CHEEKY PERHAPS WE CAN LOOK AT THAT AS A FORGIVABLE LOAN. AND MONEY BETTER NOT SPENT FOR US TO CONTINUE SPENDING MONEY THERE, I SAY STOP NOW. ALSO, WE COULD USE THIS AS A TEMPLATE OF WORKING WITH OTHER COMMUNITIES BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO APPROACH BASICALLY THE SAME SORT OF PROBLEM ALONG 130. LET'S WORK WELL WITH CEDAR PARK AND LEANDER AND THEN WORK WITH THE COMMUNITIES TO THE EAST AND USE TRAVIS COUNTY TO DO WHAT WE NEED TO DO IN REGIONAL PLANNING THERE. I THINK THAT WE HAVE TO TAKE A REGIONAL PLANNING APPROACH. ONCE AGAIN, IT'S THE WRONG PLACE. AND I APPRECIATE THAT Y'ALL HAVE COME BACK TO THIS ISSUE AND YOU ARE LOOKING AT IT WITH FRESH EYES AND OPEN MINDS AND I HOPE YOU CONTINUE TO DO SO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, ROY. AND FOR THE RECORD, LET'S SEE, MAYOR GAY MAXWELL, MARRY AROUND.....ARNOLD AND MAE PHASEN SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK NOT IN FAVOR OF THIS ITEM.

A LITTLE OVER A YEAR AGO THE COUNCIL DECIDED THAT THE BEST PLACE FOR A WATER TREATMENT PLANT WAS ON LAKE TRAVIS WITH ITS DEEP INTAKE. THERE WERE MANY GOOD REASONS FOR DOING THAT. HUGE ENERGY SAVINGS, THEREFORE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS SAVINGS OVER \$100 MILLION IN LONG-TERM COST SAVINGS. SO WE DECIDED

TO GO THAT WAY. WE ONLY HAD TWO SITES AVAILABLE. ONE WAS CA. ARTANA AND THE OTHER THE BULL CREEK SITE. THE COUNCIL EXPRESSED AN INTEREST TO GO TO CARTANA, WORKED HARD TO GET ALL PARTIES INVOLVED TO RECOMMEND THAT AND GO THE OUR PARTNER IN THE BCP TRAVIS COUNTY AND PERSUADE THEM TO JOIN US IN AN AMENDMENT THAT WOULD HAVE FACILITATED THAT. THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN. SO WE REVERTED TO OUR ONLY CHOICE WHICH WAS THE BULL CREEK SITE. WE NOW THERE WERE ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES THERE. WE KNEW WE WERE GOING TO HAVE TO SPEND EXTRA MONEY TO PROTECT BULL CREEK. BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE ALWAYS SAID WE WON'T GO ON FROM THERE. I DON'T THINK WE'VE REALLY REACHED THAT POINT YET WHERE WE KNOW THAT WE CAN'T PROTECT BULL CREEK ANYMORE, BUT THERE ARE TROUBLESOME SIGNS. AND WE SUSPECT VERY STRONGLY AND ACTUALLY SUPPORT LISTING OF THE JOLLYVILLE SALAMANDER AS AN ENDANGERED SPECIES. THAT WILL REQUIRE-ADDITIONAL WORK AND TIME TO COMPLETE THE PLANT TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS. WE KNOW THERE'S A PROBLEM WITH UNDERGROUND WATER DISRUPTING APPROXIMATELY 25% ACCORDING THE THE LATEST PLANS FLOW INTO BULL CREEK. WE DON'T KNOW IF THAT WOULD ENDANGER THE SALAMANDER OR NOT. I THINK THAT WE DO HAVE A GOOD WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM IN PLACE THAT GIVES US A LITTLE BIT OF ROOM, ROOM TO WORK, ROOM TO POSSIBLY STUDY ALTERNATIVES. SO I'M GOING TO PROPOSE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION TO COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ'S, BUT I DO WANT TO THANK HIM FOR BRINGING THIS ISSUE FORWARD AND SHOWING THAT WE ARE ALL CONCERNED ABOUT PROTECTING BULL CREEK AND THE ENVIRONMENT. SO MY SUBSTITUTE MOTION IS THE FOLLOWING: I PROPOSE A DELAY IN CONSTRUCTION OF WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4 OF NOT MORE THAN ONE YEAR. AT THE SAME TIME, WE'LL GO FORWARD WITH AN ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCILMEMBER LA ACTIVE IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND ALL THE OTHER STEPS NECESSARY TO ACCOUNT FOR THE PROBABLE LISTING OF THE JOLLYVILLE SALAMANDER. WE WILL DO A FULL REVIEW AND REEXAMINATION OF SITE COLLECTION CRITERIA FOR A LAKE TRAVIS WATER INTAKE SUPPLIED WATER TREATMENT PLANT. WE'LL DO A REEXAMINATION OF PREVIOUSLY

REVIEWED SITES, PARTICULARLY CARTANA, AND EXAMINATION OF NEW SITES IN LIGHT OF POSSIBLE NEW SITE SELECTION CRITERIA. AND WE WILL ACCELERATE AND ENHANCE OUR CONSERVATION PROGRAM TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE AN ADD QUALITY SUPPLY OF WATER FOR THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN IN THE MEANTIME. THAT'S MY MOTION.

Mayor Wynn: SO WE HAVE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AND A SECOND OF THAT SUBSTITUTE BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM. COMMENTS ON THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION? COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: WE'VE SEEN THESE PROBLEMS JUST GROWING MORE ENORMOUS WITH TIME AND IT'S CLEAR THAT STARTING ANY KIND OF CONSTRUCTION WOULD BE TO A POINT WHERE WE COULD END UP CAUSING IRREVERSIBLE DAMAGE TO A VERY FRAGILE ECOSYSTEM. SO BRINGING FORWARD AN ITEM ABOUT LOOKING AT A REGIONAL SOLUTION WAS THE ONLY WAY I SAW AS POSSIBLE TO REALLY STOP PROGRESS ON THE BULL CREEK SITE. AND SO I'M OPEN TO THIS AMENDMENT AND I SUPPORT IT BECAUSE IT WILL GIVE SOME TIME TO JUST TAKE A BREATH AND THINK ABOUT WHAT THIS IS GOING TO COST TO US, TO THE ENVIRONMENT, TO OUR RATEPAYERS, AND JUST TO THE FUTURE OF AUSTIN IN TERMS OF WATER SECURITY FOR OUR REGION. SO I THINK THIS IS A GOOD IDEA THAT WE TAKE SOME TIME AND EXHAUST ALL POSSIBLE OPTIONS, HAVE THE STAFF COME BACK TO US WITH THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEN LET'S SEE WHAT WE CAN DO FROM THERE.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS FALLS UNDER LEGAL ADVICE. I JUST WANTED TO ASK FOR CLARIFICATION PURPOSES IS THIS A SUBSTITUTE MOTION OR AMENDMENTS TO THE ORIGINAL? BECAUSE I AGREE WITH ALL OF THEM.

WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN TURN IT ON. THIS IS A SUBSTITUTE MOTION, BUT LET ME ADD AT THIS POINT, ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDERS NORMALLY REQUIRES TWO VOTES WHEN SOMEONE PROPOSES A SUBSTITUTE MOTION. THE FIRST VOTE IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THAT MOTION

WILL REPLACE THE MAIN MOTION. THEN IF THAT IS SUCCESSFUL, THEN THERE'S A SECOND VOTE ON THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION AS THE MAIN MOTION. HAVING SAID THAT, SINCE I'VE BEEN WITH THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL HAS, BY CONSENSUS, TREATED A SUBSTITUTE MOTION WITH ONLY ONE VOTE. IN ESSENCE, IT'S A COMBINED VOTE THAT WHEN COUNCIL VOTES ON WHAT IT REFERS TO AS A SUBSTITUTE MOTION, AND IT'S A VOTE AFFIRMATIVELY, IT IS A VOTE THAT THAT SUBSTITUTES FOR THE MAIN MOTION AND APPROVES THE SUBSTITUTE AS THE MAIN MOTION.

Mayor Wynn: FAIR ENOUGH. AS CHAIR, IT JUST SEEMS TO ME WE COULD HAVE TAKEN THIS EITHER WAY I THINK WOULD HAVE BEEN FINE FROM A -- YOU KNOW, FROM A PARLIAMENTARY STANDPOINT, AND I TRUST OBVIOUSLY IF THIS SUBSTITUTE MOTION PASSES, AND THAT IS DELAYING THE ACTUAL HARD CONSTRUCTION OF WATER TREATMENT PLANT NUMBER 4 ON THE BULL CREEK SITE FOR NO MORE THAN ONE YEAR, THAT, OF COURSE, GIVES CITY STAFF, CITY MANAGER PLENTY OF TIME TO EASILY PURSUE WHAT LITTLE OPPORTUNITIES THERE MAY BE ON THIS REGIONAL WATER TREATMENT PLANT THAT'S PART OF THE ORIGINAL ITEM FROM COUNCIL. SO IT SEEMS TO ME THE NET EFFECT WOULD BE THE SAME AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT IN A SENSE IN THAT THIS CLEARLY ALSO BUYS TIME FOR WHATEVER POTENTIAL REGIONAL ANALYSIS THERE MAY BE - - MAY BE UNDERTAKEN. COUNCILMEMBER McCracken.

McCracken: A QUESTION BECAUSE THIS MORNING WE HAD AN AMENDMENT TO CHANGE THE HEARING DATE TO OCTOBER 11th..... OCTOBER 11th, I THINK IT WAS, FOR THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. MY SENSE OF THIS IS THAT WOULD MAKE THAT PUBLIC HEARING UNNECESSARY. IS THAT CORRECT?

[INAUDIBLE]

McCracken: YEAH, THEN I -- I GUESS ON OCTOBER 11th..... OCTOBER 11th, I GUESS -- WE CAN EITHER VOTE, YOU KNOW, TO -- I GUESS WE WOULD NEED TO HAVE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER THEN AFTER THIS VOTE, AND I WOULD CERTAINLY -- I THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA THAT WE MOVE

TO RECONSIDER AFTER THIS ITEM AND JUST, YOU KNOW, PUT OFF THE VOTE ON THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A YEAR AS WELL BECAUSE THAT WOULD NOT SEEM TO MAKE ANY SENSE TO TRY TO MOVE THAT THROUGH BASED ON -- WHICH I THINK IS A GREAT IDEA. AND THEN I GUESS AS PART OF THIS MOTION I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT -- INFORMATION WE NEED TO SEE AS WE LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO STAFF TO PRESENT TO US AN ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE TRACTS IN THAT REGION INCLUDING THE LUCAS TRACT, THE NEAL TRACT AND THE SLUMBER JAY TRACT. ONE OF THE CHALLENGES WE FACE IS WE ARE GIVEN TWO CHOICES OF TWAKTS BECAUSE THOSE WERE TWO TRACTS THAT COULD OKAY DATA 300 MILLION-GALLON PER DAY PLANT. BUT WE NEED TO I BELIEVE ACTUALLY LOOK AT THE INPUTS THAT HAVE FACTORED INTO THE CHOICES WE HAD AND SAY, OKAY, WHAT SIZE PLANT COULD THE LUCAS TRACT ACCOMMODATE. WHAT SAYS PLANT COULD THE NEAL TRACT ACCOMMODATE. WHAT SIZE PLANT COULD THE SLUMBERJAY PLANT ACCOMMODATE. I WANT US TO GET LOCKED INTO THIS ONLY BEING CARTANA OR BULL CREEK AGAIN. I THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT THAT. ALONG WITH THAT, IN ADDITION TO LOOKING AT EXPANSION CAPACITIES FOR ULRICH, I THINK WE ALSO, OF COURSE, NEED TO LOOK AT EXPANSION OPPORTUNITIES FOR DAVIS. IT LOOKS LIKE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A RANGE OF OPTIONS THAT EMERGE FROM THIS AND IT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL AS THE POLICY MAKERS TO KNOW THE COST AND BENEFITS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE FULL MENU OF OPPORTUNITIES INCLUDING EXPANDING OUR TWO CURRENT WATER TREATMENT PLANTS, GOING TO A DIFFERENT SITE IN THIS AREA, PARTNERING WITH OUR REGIONAL PARTNERS IN LEANDER, ROUND ROCK AND CEDAR PARK, STARTING OFF DOWNSTREAM CLOSER TO SH 130. I THINK WE HAVE WISELY TAKING A STEP BACK TO REEXAMINE THE OPPORTUNITIES AND I WOULD ASK THAT THE ANALYSIS WE GET FROM STAFF INCLUDE THOSE. THEN IN OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THE COUNTY THAT WE DO ASK FOR THEIR ASSISTANCE BECAUSE THEY'VE DONE A LOT OF WORK IN LOOKING AT THE LUCAS, NEAL AND SLUMBERJAY TRACTS. I THINK THAT WOULD BE A POSITIVE STEP FORWARD IN OUR PARTNERSHIP WITH TRAVIS COUNTY ON...AS WELL IN THAT WE ARE LOOKING TO EXPAND

PARTNERSHIPS AND DO THE RIGHT THING.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: THAT IS ACTUALLY CONTAINED IN THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION I MADE WHICH CALLS FOR EXAMINATION OF NEW SITES IN LIGHT OF ANY NEW SITE SELECTION CRITERIA. AND I BELIEVE IT WAS A SUBSTITUTE MOTION, WHICH WOULD NOT INCLUDE THE INITIATIVE FOR THE REGIONAL PARTICIPATION. THAT WAS NOT IN MY SUBSTITUTE MOTION.

Mayor Wynn: UNDERSTOOD.

McCracken: MAYOR, THE ONLY THING I WOULD ASK ON THAT ONE, IT -- IF IT'S LOOKING AT ALTERNATIVES -- I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT CLOSING THE DOOR ON ALTERNATIVES ON THE FRONT END. LIKE WE HEARD ONE OF THE SPEAKERS TALK ABOUT MAYBE CEDAR PARK WOULD LOOK AT IT DIFFERENTLY. IT DIDN'T SOUND LIKE IT WOULD FORECLOSE THAT. GRANTED THIS IS THE LONGEST SHOT OF ALL. IT WAS NOT A -- IT MIGHT -- IT MIGHT PROVE IN THE DISCUSSIONS THAT SOME KIND OF REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP MAYBE IN A DIFFERENT LOCATIONS EMERGES AS THE BEST OPTION.

Leffingwell: WELL, THE REASON I DIDN'T -- EXCUSE ME. THE REASON I DIDN'T INCLUDE IT IS BECAUSE I THINK WE ALREADY KNOW IT'S NOT A VIABLE OPTION. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE TAKEN THE DIALOGUE, THAT'S FINE. BUT WE KNOW IT'S COST PROHIBITIVE. OVER \$100 MILLION MORE IN COSTS. WE ALSO KNOW THAT THEY INDICATED BY LETTER THAT WE ALL RECEIVED THIS MORNING THAT THEY ARE REALLY NOT INTERESTED. NOW, THERE COULD BE PARTS OF A REGIONAL EFFORT THAT WE COULD DO, AND I THINK WE'VE CONSISTENTLY OFFERED TO SHARE OUR INTAKE WITH THEM. AND I WOULD WELCOME LEAVING THAT ON THE TABLE. THAT HAS BEEN ON THE TABLE, BUT THEY HAVE TO MAKE UP THEIR MIND ABOUT THAT PRETTY SOON IF THEY WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THAT BECAUSE OF DESIGN PROBLEMS.

McCracken: I AGREE. I'M SORRY, I JUST DON'T WANT US TO

FORECLOSE MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL OPPORTUNITIES OF PARTNERSHIP AND I DIDN'T THINK THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION FORECLOSED THAT AND THAT WAS CERTAINLY THE SPIRIT IN WHICH WE BROUGHT FORWARD THIS MOTION OR THIS ITEM ORIGINALLY.

Leffingwell: NOT FORECLOSING ANYTHING. AGREED.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I THINK THE DOOR IS STILL OPEN FOR THESE ENTITIES TO KNOW SINCE WE'RE EXPLORING OPTIONS THEY CAN ALWAYS COME TO US. EVEN THOUGH IT MAY BE A HIGHER COST WHEN WE SPLIT THAT FOUR WAYS, IT MAY END UP BEING MORE COUNCILMEMBER McCRACKEN FOR THE CITY. SO I DON'T WANT TO RULE IT OUT COMPLETELY BUT WE CAN STILL MAINTAIN THE DIALOGUE. ONE THING WE NEED TO STRESS TO THESE OTHER ENTITIES IS THAT IN AUSTIN WE REALLY CARE ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS AND WE'RE GOING TO DO THIS RESPONSIBLY AND THAT WILL REQUIRE GOING THROUGH OUR ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD. AND I DON'T KNOW IF THEY ARE OPEN TO THAT. SO AS WE EXPLORE THE DISCUSSION AND CONTINUE THE DISCUSSION WITH THEM, WE'LL HAVE TO BE VERY CLEAR THAT IN AUSTIN WE WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S DONE SAFELY AND IT'S DONE -- IT'S FEASIBLE, OF COURSE, FINANCIALLY BUT ALSO THAT IT'S GOING TO MEET BEST PRACTICES IN TERMS OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.

Mayor Wynn: WE HAVE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE. AGAIN, IT'S WELL STATED BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, BUT ESSENTIALLY DELAYING HARD CONSTRUCTION OF WATER TREATMENT PLANT NUMBER 4 ON THE BULL CREEK SITE FOR NO MORE THAN ONE YEAR WHILE CONTINUING ALL OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS AND POTENTIAL [INAUDIBLE].

[INAUDIBLE]

Mayor Wynn: WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADDRESS US? YOUR SIGN-UP SHEET JUST SAID YOU HAD QUESTIONS, BUT WELCOME.

THANK YOU, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. I'M HERE REPRESENTING THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD TODAY. I'M THE VICE CHAIR AND OUR CHAIR COULD NOT BE HERE. AND I JUST REALLY -- I REALLY APPRECIATE AND OUR BOARD REALLY APPRECIATES THE FACT THAT YOU ALL ARE BEING VERY THOUGHTFUL IN RECONSIDERING ALL OF THESE OPTIONS. AND I SEE A LITTLE TUG-OF-WAR BETWEEN DIFFERENT IDEAS ABOUT HOW TO GO FORWARD, BUT I REALLY SEE A LOT OF UNANIMITY AND A LOT OF ENERGY AND MOTIVATION BEHIND RECONSIDERING THIS. SO HOWEVER IT COMES OUT, MY HOPE IS THAT THERE WILL BE UNANIMOUS SUPPORT ON THE COUNCIL TO LOOK AT OTHER OPTIONS, AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD HAS BEEN VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THIS WHOLE PROJECT, AS YOU WELL KNOW, AND WE'RE JUST REALLY, REALLY APPRECIATIVE THAT YOU ALL HAVE STOPPED AND STARTED TO, YOU KNOW, CONSIDER OTHER OPTIONS, EVEN THOUGH WE KNOW IT WILL COST MONEY, WE KNOW THAT IT WILL TAKE TIME, BUT WE ARE ALSO AWARE OF THE LARGE COST OVERRUNS THAT HAVE ALREADY OCCURRED AND WILL CONTINUE TO OCCUR AS WE TRY TO PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT AT THE BULL CREEK SITE. SO THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR THOUGHTFULNESS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. MAXIMUM..... MARKS WE..... MAXWELL. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: I APPRECIATE THAT AND I THINK YOU KNOW CARTANA REMAINS THE BEST ALTERNATIVE TO ACCOMPLISH ALL OF OUR OBJECTIVES WHICH INCLUDE ENSURING A GOOD WATER SUPPLY FOR FUTURE GROWTH IN THIS CITY. AND SO I WOULD ASK YOU AS AN INFLUENTIAL CITIZEN, YOU AND OTHERS TO HELP US IN THIS EFFORT AS WE GO FORWARD TO TRY TO FIND THE BEST ALTERNATIVE SITE. IF NOT THAT, ANOTHER ONE.

WE ARE HERE, WE'RE STANDING READY TO HELP YOU IN ANY WAY WE CAN. WE'VE BEEN DEALING WITH THIS FOR TWO AND A HALF YEARS AT THE BOARD. AND I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO SLOW DOWN. I DON'T THINK WE'LL STOP. SO WE WOULD BE GLAD TO HELP YOU. IF YOU RECALL, I WAS THE ONE WHO SAID SOMETHING ABOUT A FIELD TRIP TO CARTANA LAST YEAR. SO -- AND YOU TOOK ME UP ON IT SO FAST IT MADE MY HEAD SPIN, BUT I WAS GLAD. THAT WAS A

GOOD THING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Leffingwell: THANK YOU AND THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO IN THE FUTURE.

ABSOLUTELY. I'M RIGHT HERE. I'M NOT GOING ANYWHERE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. MAXWELL. FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION? COUNCILMEMBER McCRACKEN.

McCracken: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE TO CONGRATULATE THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD BECAUSE THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD I THINK HAS REALLY DEMONSTRATED THE BENEFIT OF OUR BOARD AND COMMISSION SYSTEM. YOU ALL HAVE BEENTONNING..... -- THE INFORMATION IS BECAUSE OF YOUR VIGOROUS OVERSIGHT AND IT'S MADE A BIG DIFFERENCE AND I REALLY APPRECIATE WHAT YOU ALL HAVE DONE AND CONGRATULATE YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO RECOGNIZE SOME OF THE COMMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE EARLIER ABOUT THE DIFFICULTY OF SELECTING A SITE AND WHAT WE'VE ALL BEEN THROUGH. WE KNEW THAT THIS WAS GOING TO BE AN ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE SITE AND I DON'T THINK ANY OF THE COUNCILMEMBERS HAD IT AS THEIR FIRST CHOICE. AND WE KNOW THAT WE'VE BEEN THROUGH ISSUES, THE SPEAKER EARLIER TALKED ABOUT BUILDING A PLANT IN EAST AUSTIN AND HOW MANY IN THAT COMMUNITY DOES NOT WANT A PLANT. SO JUST LIKE COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL ENCOURAGED MS. MAXWELL TO COME TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY ON A REALLY TOUGH DECISION, BECAUSE WE DO NOT WANT TO DISTURB THE ENVIRONMENT, BUT WE HAVE TO HAVE WATER. AND FOR EVERYBODY TO RECOGNIZE THAT AND HELP US AS WE STRUGGLE TO MAKE A DECISION.

Mayor Wynn: AGAIN, FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: JUST REAL BRIEFLY, MAYOR, WE HAD A SPEAKER

SPEAK EARLIER ABOUT WHAT APPEARS TO BE AN ATTEMPT TO SHIFT THE FOCUS AWAY FROM WESTERN TRAVIS COUNTY AND POTENTIALLY MOVE TO EASTERN -- THE EASTERN PART OF AUSTIN. I WANT TO JUST STATE FOR THE RECORD THAT I EMPHATICALLY OPPOSE THAT AND WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT, YOU KNOW, OUR DRINKING WATER SUPPLY COMING FROM LAKE TRAVIS AND WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4 BEING BUILT IN THE WESTERN PART OF TRAVIS COUNTY. THAT IS NOT IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM WHAT PROMPTED THIS, BUT WHAT DID PROMPT THIS IS MAKING THE RIGHT POLICY DECISIONS AS IT RELATES TO ALL OF THE FACTORS INVOLVED. WE -- I THINK WE ARE ALL AFFIRMED UP HERE THAT WE BELIEVE THAT WESTERN TRAVIS COUNTY AND A WATER SUPPLY FROM LAKE TRAVIS IS THE BEST POLICY DECISION. NOW WE JUST NEED TO FIGURE OUT WHERE THAT PLANT GOES.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.
[APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL, WITHOUT OBJECTION, I WOULD LIKE TO HOLD OFF ON ITEM 37 FOR A LITTLE WHILE LONGER IN THAT WE HAVE STIFF ODD THE BUDGET PRESENTATION FOR ALMOST TWO HOURS AND STAFF HAS A LOT OF INFORMATION THEY NEED TO TRY TO GET OUT TO THE CITIZENS SO WE CAN THEN HAVE SOME GOOD PUBLIC FEEDBACK HERE HOPEFULLY LATER THIS EVENING AND THROUGHOUT THE MONTH. SO WITH THAT, WE WELCOME STAFF BUDGET PRESENTATION, ITEM NUMBER 48. WELCOME ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER.

AND BERT, BEFORE YOU GET STARTED AND WHILE WE'RE LETTING THE ROOM CLEAR FOR A MINUTE, COUNCIL, IF YOU'LL REMEMBER, WE ACTUALLY HAVE GOT OUR CERTIFIED TAX ROLL IN NOW AND OUR TAX RATE AT THE ROLLBACK RATE IS A DIFFERENT RATE. JUST A.. A A QUICK REMINDER OUR CURRENT TAX RATE IS 41.26. WE PROPOSED AT 41.20. NOW THAT THE CERTIFIED TAX ROLL IS IN, IT IS ALMOST -- A LITTLE LESS THAN A PENNY LOWER THAN OUR CURRENT RATE AT 40.34. AND THAT DOES LEAVE SOME DOLLARS, UNALLOCATED DOLLARS THAT THERE WILL BE

SOME DECISIONS THAT HAVE TO BE MADE WHEN WE GET TO BUDGET ADOPTION ON HOW THAT IS HANDLED. AND SO WITH THAT, BERT IS GOING TO BE OUR FIRST UP WITH HIS CADRE OF DEPARTMENTS DOING THE DEPARTMENTAL PRESENTATIONS IN PREPARATION FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING TONIGHT. BERT.

THANK YOU, CITY MANAGER. MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL, GOOD AFTERNOON. WE ARE PLEASED TO PRESENT TO YOU THE PROPOSED 2007-2008 BUDGET FOR THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENTS. THESE CONSIST OF THE PARKS AND REC DEPARTMENT, THE LIBRARY, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT, THE DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND SOLID WASTE SERVICES. BEFORE WE BEGIN, I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU A SHORT OVERVIEW OF EACH OF THE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGETS. AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE SLIDE, ALL THE COMMUNITY SERVICE DEPARTMENTS HAVE A PROPOSED INCREASE IN THEIR BUDGET. THESE DOLLARS ARE GOING TO ACCOMPLISH TWO SPECIFIC THINGS. THIS MONEY WILL HELP TO ADDRESS THE EFFECTS OF THE LARGE POPULATION GROWTH THAT AUSTIN HAS EXPERIENCED RECENTLY. IT WILL ALSO BE USED IN OUR CONTINUING EFFORT TO REINVEST IN OUR ORGANIZATION AND STRENGTHEN OUR INTERNAL SUPPORT. SOME OF THE EXCITING HIGHLIGHTS FOR NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET FOR EACH DEPARTMENT INCLUDE THE NEXT CONSTRUCTION PHASE OF OUR MUCH ANTICIPATED MEXICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL CENTER, AN INCREASE IN LIBRARY MATERIALS FUNDING FOR BOOKS, PERIODICALS AND PROCESSING COSTS, INCREASE IN GRAFFITI ABATEMENT, ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES, AND FUNDING FOR HOMELESS SERVICES. INVESTMENTS IN OUR SHARED EQUITY HOMEOWNERSHIP AND OUR INCENTIVE PROGRAMS, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW MATERIALS RECOVERY FACILITY AND THE CLOSURE OF FM 812 TYPE 4 LANDFILL. EMPLOYEES ARE THE HEART OF OUR CITY OPERATIONS AND PLAY A CRITICAL PART IN OUR SUCCESS IN THE COMMUNITY. EACH DEPARTMENT IS PROPOSING TO ADD NEW POSITIONS TO ENSURE CONTINUING INVESTMENT BACK IN OUR CRITICAL WORKFORCE. PARKS WILL BE ADDING 36.5 EMPLOYEES TO THEIR DEPARTMENT. 15 OF THESE POSITIONS WILL BE IN THE AREA OF FIELD

OPERATIONS TO AID IN BEAUTIFYING AND MAINTAINING OUR PARKS AND TRAIL SYSTEM. LIBRARY WILL BE ADDING EIGHT POSITIONS, THE MAJORITY OF WHICH WILL BE IN SECURITY. HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES WILL ADD EIGHT ADDITIONAL F.T.E.S TO THEIR DEPARTMENT. A SANITARIAN DEDICATED TO THE DOWNTOWN AREA AND TWO F.T.E.S TO THE GRAFFITI ABATEMENT TEAM IN OUR CONTINUING EFFORTS TO IMPROVE OUR RESPONSE TIMES AND COORDINATE ADDITIONAL RESOURCES TO COMBAT THIS PROBLEM. HOUSING WILL ADD FIVE MORE POSITIONS TO AID IN THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS. AND SOLID WASTE SERVICES WILL ADD 22 POSITIONS. FIVE OF THE POSITIONS WILL BE INVESTIGATORS IN OUR CODE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION AND SIX WILL BE ADDED TO OUR LITTER ABATEMENT TEAM. DURING EACH BRIEFING, THE DIRECTORS WILL SHARE INFORMATION THE CITY RECEIVED FROM THE RESULTS OF OUR CITIZEN SURVEYS AS IT PERTAINS TO THEIR DEPARTMENT. CITIZEN INPUT, AS YOU WELL KNOW, IS EXTREMELY VALUABLE AND IS IMPORTANT IN THE BUDGET PROCESS BECAUSE IT HELPS US TO ANALYZE WHERE INVESTMENT MAY NEED TO OCCUR IN OUR OVERALL SERVICES OR HOW PAST INVESTMENTS HAVE IMPACTED THE WAY WE DO BUSINESS. IN ADDITION, EACH DIRECTOR WILL GO OVER THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS YEAR'S PROPOSED BUDGET AND WILL WALK YOU THROUGH WHAT WE VIEW AS STRATEGIC ADS THAT ENHANCES OUR CITY'S MISSION AND VALUES. WE APPRECIATE THE CITY COUNCIL'S CONTINUED COMMITMENT AND SUPPORT OF OUR COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT AND LOOK FORWARD TO ANOTHER GREAT YEAR WE CAN ALL BE PROUD OF. WITH THAT LET ME TURN IT OVER TO MR. WARREN STRUES, DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION, TO BEGIN OUR PRESENTATIONS THIS AFTERNOON.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. WELCOME.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCIL, CITY MANAGER, GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M WARREN STRUES, DIRECTOR OF THE AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. BEFORE I GET STARTED I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THE PERSONAL PRIVILEGE TO SAY THANK YOU TO OUR FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT TEAM. WE LOST OUR FINANCIAL MANAGER SOMETIME BACK IN THE YEAR AND I HAVE ASKED

KERRY WILL KINSON TO STEP UP AND SHE'S DONE A GREAT JOB. I'M PLEASED TO SAY THAT WE ALSO HAVE A NEW FINANCIAL MANAGER, MS. ANGELA MEANS, AND WE'RE PLEASED TO HAVE THEM BOTH ON BOARD. THE WAY I WOULD LIKE TO GET STARTED IS TO BASICALLY LET'S BEGIN THE BUDGET PRESENTATION, IF WE MAY, IF WE CAN TAKE THAT SLIDE UP TO THE NEXT ONE. THANK YOU. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT HOW THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT DID IN WHAT I CONSIDER THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF OUR PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND THAT'S OUR CITIZEN SURVEYS. WE ARE CERTAINLY PRETTY PLEASED WITH OUR ANNUAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES AS NOTED IN THE CITIZEN SURVEYS. WE HAVE MADE SOME RECENT REINVESTMENTS IN THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS IN THE WORKFORCE. MORE ATTENTION TO PROGRAMS AND CUSTOMER SERVICE AND SOME ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES AS WELL. AND I THINK THEY APPEAR TO BE MAKING SOME POSITIVE IMPACTS AS NOTED BY SOME OF THESE NUMBERS AND I'LL TAKE JUST A MOMENT TO GO THROUGH THEM. AUSTIN NATURE AND SCIENCE CENTER AND ALL OF OUR PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE THAT WE OFFER OVER THERE, OR SCORE CARD SHOWS A 96.7 RATING OR 2% INCREASE IN CUSTOMER SATISFACTION. NEW SUMMER PROGRAMS, ANOTHER IMPORTANT ONE, SHOWS AN INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR AT 93.8%, A 1.3% INCREASE IN SATISFACTION IN OUR YOUTH SUMMER PROGRAMS. WE DO SHOW A SMALL DROP IN THE QUALITY OF OUR PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS. ABOUT 2/10 OF A PERCENT. WE DID HAVE AN INCREASE IN OUR SENIOR PROGRAMS AND OUR FROO TRANSMISSIONAL PROGRAMS -- NUTRITIONAL PROGRAMS, 1.8% INCREASE. AND THE ONE THAT I'M PROBABLY MOST PROUD OF IS THE FACT I THINK WE TURNED THE CORNER THIS YEAR IN THE APPEARANCE OF OUR PARK GROUNDS. FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS, AS I RECALL, WE SAW A SLIDE IN CITIZEN SATISFACTION. AND THIS YEAR IS THE FIRST TIME IN A NUMBER OF YEARS THAT WE'VE TURNED THAT AROUND AND WE'RE SEEING A POSITIVE RESPONSE ON OUR CITIZEN SURVEY RESULTS. A QUICK BRIEFING ON OUR BUDGET FACTS REGARDING GENERAL FUND OF THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. WE DO HAVE A GENERAL REVENUE BUDGET IN THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. IN THIS CURRENT YEAR WE HAVE A \$3.2

MILLION FORECAST. IN 2008, NEXT YEAR, WE'RE FORECASTING A 20% INCREASE. WE THINK THAT WITH CUSTOMER AND PARK USE DEMAND THAT WE'LL GO FROM 3.2 TO 3.8. OR A 20% INCREASE IN OUR GENERAL FUND REVENUES. I DO NEED TO NOTE THAT THIS GENERAL FUND REVENUE IS VERY, VERY WEATHER CONTINGENT. THIS YEAR WE ARE EXPECTING A SHARP FALL DUE TO THE LAST EIGHT WEEKS OF JUNE AND JULY BEING VERY WET AND MOST OF OUR REVENUES ARE OUTDOOR ORIENTED AND THEREFORE IS GOING TO HAVE A MAJOR IMPACT ON REVENUE PRODUCTION. EXPENDITURES IN THE GENERAL FUND THIS YEAR IS 31.7 MILLION FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. NEXT YEAR WE'RE PROPOSING A 35.8 MILLION. A \$4.1 MILLION DIFFERENCE OR 13%. TOTAL PROPOSED F.T.E.S FOR NEXT YEAR, 471 AND A HALF F.T.E.S. OR 32 AND A HALF F.T.E.S MORE FOR NEXT YEAR. AND I'LL ELABORATE QUICKLY ON THOSE. NEW FACILITIES, 6 F.T.E.S. THAT IS A NUMBER OF STAFF MEMBERS TO COMPLETE OUR STAFFING FOR BOTH THE TURNER-ROBERTS RECREATION CENTER AND THE NEW GUS GARCIA RECREATION CENTER COMING ON BOARD. CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, FOUR SETS.....F.T.E.S. FOUR NEW F.T.E.S TO ADMINISTER THAT AND I'LL TALK MORE ABOUT THAT IN DETAIL. AND THEN IMPORTANTLY THE NEW CORE SERVICE INVESTMENTS. 22.5 F.T.E.S, AND AGAIN, WE'LL VISIT ON THOSE IN A MOMENT. OUR ONE TIME CAPITAL EQUIPMENT, \$325,500. THE NORTH OF THE ONE-TIME CAPITAL WILL BE FOR EQUIPMENT AND FURNITURE FOR THE TURNER CENTER AND GARCIA CENTER. NOT ONLY DO WE HAVE A GENERAL FUND, BUT WE ALSO MANAGE AND OPERATE THREE ENTERPRISE FUNDS IN THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. OBVIOUSLY OUR LARGEST ENTERPRISE IS OUR GOLF ENTERPRISE FUND AT \$5.2 MILLION. PROPOSED FULL-TIME POSITIONS 42. OUR SECOND LARGEST IS OUR RECREATION ENTERPRISE FUND. THIS FUND BASICALLY CONSISTS OF OUR VERY POPULAR LEARN TO SWIM PROGRAMS, OUR AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS AT OUR RECREATION CENTERS, OUR SUMMER DAY CAMP PROGRAMS, OUR CULTURAL PROGRAMS AT THE DAUGHERTY AND OTHER MUSEUMS AND OUR NATURE CENTER. PROPOSED F.T.E.S 39.25. ALSO AN EXPENDITURE OF 3.8 MILLION. AND FINALLY OUR SOFTBALL ENTERPRISE FUND. THAT IS OUR ADULT SPORTS AND SOFTBALL

PROGRAM THAT WE RUN AT THE CRAIGS AND HAVENS BALL FIELD, APPROXIMATELY A \$1 MILLION EXPENDITURE, 9.5 FULL-TIME POSITIONS. COUNCIL, I WOULD LIKE -- THIS SLIDE IS TO SHOW OUR COMMITMENT TO OUR NEW CORE SERVICE INVESTMENTS TO REINVEST IN OUR PARKS, OUR BUILDINGS AND OUR FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE PARK SYSTEM. I HAVE SAID A NUMBER OF TIMES THAT I THINK IT'S VERY CRITICAL THAT WE CONTINUE OUR SHIFT FROM A REACTIVE TO A PROACTIVE PREVENT IT MAINTENANCE APPROACH. AND THIS RECOMMENDATION WILL BEGIN TO ALLOW US TO DO THAT. WE'RE ASKING FOR SEVEN F.T.E.S FOR BUILDING AND FACILITY MAINTENANCE, FULL-TIME POSITIONS, TO PROVIDE SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE TO OVER ONE MILLION SQUARE FEET OF PARKS AND RECREATION BUILDINGS. SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE WILL INCLUDE MAINTENANCE TO OUR ROOFS AND TO OUR HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS TO OUR ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS TO OUR PLUMBING SYSTEMS, FLOORS AND OTHER NEEDED REQUIRED MAINTENANCE. ALSO WE ARE SHIFTING FROM A REACTIVE TO A PROACTIVE PROGRAM IN OUR TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROGRAM. WE'RE ASKING FOR FOUR FULL-TIME POSITIONS COMMITTED TO OUR HEAVIEST USED TRAILS. PREDOMINANTLY OUR TRAIL ALONG THE LADY BIRD LAKE PARK AND ALSO SHOAL CREEK TRAIL AND ALSO BARTON CREEK TRAIL. THIS CORE SERVICE INVESTMENT WILL INCLUDE BOTH STORM DAMAGE AFTER MANY OF OUR HEAVY RAINS TO REPAIR EROSION TO SCHEDULING AN ANNUAL TRAIL RESURFACING PROGRAM THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO BEGIN SOON. AND THEN FINALLY ON OUR NEW CORE SERVICE INVESTMENTS IN OUR PARK MAINTENANCE, WE'RE RECOMMENDING FOUR TRAINED AND CERTIFIED FULL-TIME POSITIONS IN PLAYGROUND INSPECTION AND REPAIRS TO OVER 110 PLAY STRUCTURES THROUGHOUT OUR PARK SEASONAL. THESE REPAIRS WILL ALLOW US TO INSPECT AND REPLACE DAMAGED SLIDES, SWINGS, PLAY APPARATUS, AND SAFETY SURFACES TO ENSURE THAT OUR YOUTH ARE SAFE WHEN USING OUR PLAYGROUNDS. AND CONTINUING OUR NEW CORE SERVICE ENHANCEMENTS, WE'RE ASKING FOR THREE FULL-TIME POSITIONS TO RESTORE PAST BUDGET REDUCTIONS IN THIS AREA. THESE NEW POSITIONS WILL HELP US ACHIEVE MORE OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY IN OUR PERSONNEL DIVISIONS

AND OUR FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION. DUTIES WILL INCLUDE OBVIOUSLY INCREASED OPERATION AND EFFICIENCY IN PAYROLL AND HIRING, ACCOUNTING, BUDGET MONITORING, CASH REPORTING AND PURCHASING. ALSO THE 2006 BOND RAM AND CONSERVATION SERVICES, WE'RE ASKING FOR THREE POSITIONS PROPOSED TO ASSIST IN THE IMPLEMENTATION AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT OF THE APPROVED NOVEMBER BOND ELECTION. AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT THOSE SHORTLY AND I'LL GO THROUGH OUR C.I.P. SCHEDULE. ALSO ASKING ONE POSITION TO COORDINATING CITY EFFORTS AND BECOME CERTIFIED AS A NATIONAL WILDLIFE COMMUNITY. COUNCIL, IT'S ALWAYS A PLEASURE TO REFLECT ON NEW FACILITIES AND PARKS THAT WE'RE BRINGING ON BOARD. THE FIRST NEW FACILITY IS OUR NEW PARK ADJACENT TO THE LAKE. WE'LL BE OPENING AUGUST 18th..... AUGUST 18th. WE'RE LOOKING AT A SOFT OPENING OF OUR NEW PARK SOMETIME AROUND AUGUST 18th AND WE'RE PLANNING -- RIGHT NOW IT'S TENTATIVE, LOOKING AT POSSIBLY AUGUST 29th FOR A HIGHLIGHT DEDICATION OF OUR NEW PARK. MEXICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL CENTER. EXCITING BECAUSE THE SOFT OPENING, AS YOU KNOW, HAS ALREADY OCCURRED IN JUNE OF THIS YEAR WITH THE GRAND OPENING SCHEDULED FOR THE SAYS DIES SEIS WEEKEND. BOTH THE TURNER CENTER AND THE GARCIA CENTER ARE SCHEDULED TO OPEN IN DECEMBER. WE HAD PLANNED FOR A NOVEMBER OPENING, WE DID HAVE SLIPPAGE IN OUR SCHEDULE DUE TO RAIN, BUT WE ARE SHOOTING FOR DECEMBER FOR BOTH OF THOSE TO OPEN. THE MUCH ANTICIPATED NEW AUSTIN TENNIS CENTER IS SCHEDULED TO BREAK GROUND IN NOVEMBER. WE HAVE JUST RECEIVED THE BIDS AND RIGHT NOW WE'RE IN REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION OF THOSE BIDS AND ARE IN HOPES OF COMING TO COUNCIL IN SEPTEMBER FOR A BID AWARDDANCE. AND FINALLY THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR THE ROY G. GUERRERO COLORADO RIVER PARK PHASE 2 IS OUT TORE BID AND WE EXPECT TO AWARD THE BID AND SCHEDULE GROUND BREAKING BY THE FIRST OF THE YEAR 2008. AS I INDICATED, I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE JUST A MOMENT AND GO THROUGH OUR C.I.P. SCHEDULE JUST TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA WHAT WE HAVE PLANNED FOR NEXT YEAR IN OUR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. FIRST ON THE LIST, WE'RE ASKING FOR APPROPRIATION OF \$500,000

FOR BARTON SPRINGS POOL. WE ARE NEARING THE COMPLETION OF THE BARTON SPRINGS POOL MASTER PLAN AND WE ARE SCHEDULING SOON FOR THE COUNCIL FOR A BRIEFING TO YOU HOPEFULLY BY THE END OF AUGUST TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON THAT BARTON SPRINGS MASTER PLAN. APPROPRIATING \$4.5 MILLION FOR NEXT YEAR FOR THE MEXICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL CENTER. AS WE ALL KNOW, DESIGN IS CURRENTLY UNDERWAY ON THE NEXT PHASE OF THE MEXICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL CENTER AND THIS FUNDING IS BEING APPROPRIATED TO BEGIN THAT CONSTRUCTION ONCE DESIGN IS COMPLETED. AS A COUNCIL GOAL, WE'RE LOOKING AT \$100,000 FOR OUR BOARDWALK STUDY. THIS BOARDWALK STUDY WILL BE INTEGRATED WITH THE STUDY THAT IS ONGOING RIGHT NOW BY THE TOWN LAKE TRAIL FOUNDATION AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING THAT DESIGN FOR OUR NEW BOARDWALK IN THE FUTURE. 250,000 APPROPRIATED FOR THE HIKE AND BIKE LIGHTING. ANOTHER COUNCIL GOAL. THE FUNDING WILL ALLOW US TO CONTINUE TO IMPROVE SAFETY LIGHTING ALONG THE TRAIL SURROUNDING LADY BIRD LAKE. WE'VE FINALIZED DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION IS BEGINNING THIS WEEK THE FIRST PHASE OF THE LIGHTING THAT WE HAD TALKED ABOUT LAST YEAR AND SHOULD HAVE THAT IN PLACE WITHIN THE NEXT 60 DAYS. \$900,000 IS PLANNED FOR OUR TRAILS. IMPROVEMENTS ARE UNDERWAY ON MANY PARTS OF THE HIKE AND BIKE TRAIL ALONG LADY BIRD LAKE. WE'RE INCLUDING NOT ONLY THE LAKE -- THE TRAIL AROUND THE LAKE BUT ALSO SHOAL CREEK AND BARTON CREEK WITH THOSE IMPROVEMENTS. 197,000 FOR SPARKY PARK. WE ARE APPROPRIATING THIS FUNDING TO BEGIN NEW PARK DEVELOPMENT OF THE OLD GROOM SUBSTATION DECOMMISSIONED AND DEDICATED AS NEIGHBORHOOD PARK. 1.8 MILLION POOL IMPROVEMENTS AND RENOVATIONS. THIS IS BADLY NEEDED FOR APPROPRIATIONS TO BEGIN RENOVATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS TO A VARIETY OF OUR PUBLIC SWIMMING POOLS. RENOVATIONS TO INCLUDE REPLACEMENTS OF OUR FILTER SYSTEMS AND BACKWASH SYSTEMS THAT COMPLY WITH BOTH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATIONS AND WILL ALSO BEGIN A.D.A. IMPROVEMENTS. \$207,000 TO CONTINUE OUR GOLF COURSE IMMATERIAL.....COURSE IMPROVEMENTS. WE ARE FINALIZING AT JIMMY CLAY AND

THAT'S PROBABLY GOING TO BE ONE OF OUR SHOWCASE AND ALSO WE'LL BE CONSTRUCTING IMPROVEMENTS AT THE MORRIS WILLIAMS GOLF COURSE AS WELL. 6.7 MILLION IS BEING APPROPRIATED TO BEGIN MAKING CRITICAL CONVENIENT..... RENOVATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS TO MANY OF OUR PARK FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY. SOME OF THOSE ARE NORTHWEST RECREATION CENTER EXPANSION, OUR DITTMAR RECREATION CENTER EXPANSION, ROSEWOOD RECREATION CENTER RENOVATION, SOUTH AUSTIN RECREATION CENTER, AND ALSO THE RENOVATION TO THE CHESTNUT HOUSE. 650,000 IS BEING ASKED AND APPROPRIATED FOR OUR PLAYSAPES FOR NEXT YEAR. WE INTEND TO RETIRE FIVE OF OUR PLAY STRUCTURES AND REPLACE FIVE OF OUR OLD PLAY STRUCTURES IN OUR PARKS THAT HAVE MET THEIR LIFE EXPECTANCY. \$4 MILLION FOR PARK LAND ACQUISITION. THIS FUNDING, AS WE'VE SPOKE ABOUT BEFORE; NEED TO DO CONTINUE OUR IN-FIELD NEIGHBORHOOD PARK AXIS AND WELL AS GREENWAY AND DESIGNATION PARKS. AND 1.6 MILLION FOR PARK LAND DEDICATION. WE'RE RECOMMENDING THIS APPROPRIATION TO CONTINUE ACQUISITION, NEW PARK DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS TO MANY OF OUR PARKS WITHIN THE SERVICE AREA OF THOSE NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS. COUNCIL, IN FINALIZING THIS, I WANT TO KIND OF GIVE YOU THE SCORE CARD AS WE DO EACH YEAR TO GIVE US AN UNDERSTANDING HOW WE'RE DOING COMPARED TO MANY OF OUR OTHER CITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. THIS FIRST SLIDE BASICALLY SHOWS THE NUMBER OF FULL-TIME POSITIONS PER 100 ACRES OF PARK LAND. IN FISCAL YEAR 2006. AND I'LL JUST BRIEFLY INDICATE THAT AS YOU CAN SEE, OKLAHOMA CITY IS 1.2 F.T.E.S OR FULL-TIME POSITIONS FOR ABOUT 22,000 ACRES OF PARK LAND. WE ARE IN AUSTIN 2.1 F.T.E.S FOR -- AND REMEMBER, THIS IS NOT JUST PUBLIC PARK LAND, THIS IS ALSO BCP PROPERTY AS WELL SO YOU CAN SEE THE NUMBER OF PARK LANDS, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ACREAGE OF PARK LAND. WE'RE AT 2.1. PHOENIX, ARIZONA, PROBABLY THE CLOSEST COMPARISON AT THIS POINT PER ACRES OF PARK LAND IS AT 3.1. AND THEN YOU CAN SEE AS THE SCALE CONTINUES UP. MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PROBABLY AROUND 12,000, 13,000 ACRES, AND THEY DO HAVE 12.7 F.T.E.S PER

100. AND THEN FINALLY, COUNCIL, THE COMMUNITY SCORE CARD. THIS IS THE OPERATION OF THE MAINTENANCE SPENDING PER CAPITA. THIS IS ONE THAT I USE QUITE OFTEN. AS YOU CAN SEE, THE THREE OR THE FOUR TEXAS CITIES ARE PRETTY WELL BUNCHED UP CLOSELY. YOU HAVE AUSTIN, ARLINGTON AT 45.73, OKLAHOMA CITY AND DALLAS. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT LAST YEAR AT THIS TIME WE WERE ABOUT 44.50 PER CAPITA SO WE HAVE SHOWN AN INCREASE IN THAT AREA. THAT CONFERENCE.....CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: SOMETHING CAME UP LAST YEAR. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENS TO SOME OF OUR LEAGUE PLAYERS IS THAT OBVIOUSLY THEY PAY TO BE IN A LEAGUE. WHEN COME DOWN ON THE WEEKENDS AND TRY TO PARK TO FIELDS AND ZILKER PARK AND OTHER AREAS WHERE WE CHARGE FOR PARKING, THEY FEEL IT'S DOUBLE TAXING. WHY ARE WE PAYING FOR OUR LEAGUE FEES AS A TEAM AND THEN WE CAN'T PARK IN THE PARKS THAT WERE ALLOWED TO PLAY ON. ARE WE LOOKING AT CREATING A PERMIT SYSTEM WHERE IF YOU ARE AN OFFICIAL LEAGUE PLAYER THAT'S PAID YOUR DUES THROUGH YOUR TEAM THAT YOU CAN PARK NEAR THE FIELD WHERE YOU PLAY.

I'M REMEMBERING THAT CONVERSATION. I THINK YOU ARE REFERRING TO NOT SOFTBALL, OUR LEAGUE PLAY IN THAT RESPECT, YOU ARE TALKING PRIMARILY ABOUT SOCCER PLAY AT ZILKER. COUNCILMEMBER, WE HAVE NOT INITIATED THAT INITIATIVE. WE HAVE NOT PUT THAT INTO PLACE. IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE CAN CERTAINLY CONSIDER. NOW, HOWEVER, IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT WE DO HAVE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR PARKING AT ZILKER AND THAT WOULD BE A MAJOR REVENUE IMPACT WITH RESPECT TO OUR ENTRY FEES. SO THAT'S SOMETHING TO KEEP IN MIND, BUT WE DO NOT PUT THAT INTO EFFECT.

Martinez: CAN WE DO SOME PHYSICAL ANALYSIS AND SEE WHAT IT MIGHT COST?

ABSOLUTELY.

Martinez: SEE HOW MUCH IT WOULD HAVE AN IMPACT. I THINK FOR THOSE PLAYERS I WANT TO TRY TO BE CONTRACT ATE OF THAT.

ONE OF THE THINGS, AND IT MAY NOT BE THE FISCAL IMPACT AS IT WAS LAST YEAR. WE PUT THE NEW ONION CREEK ON BOARD WHICH IS TAKING A LOT OF IMPACT OFF ZILKER WHICH IS WHAT WE WERE HOPING FOR. SO THE FISCAL IMPACT MAY NOT BE AS GREAT FOR THE LEAGUES WE CURRENTLY HAVE AT ZILKER. BUT WE'LL GET THAT INFORMATION TO YOU.

WHY DON'T WE PUT THAT THROUGH AS A BUDGET QUESTION SO WE CAN GET IT BACK TO HIM.

WE SURE WILL. I MIGHT ADD ALSO, AND I FAILED TO MENTION THIS, ON OUR SOFTBALL, OUR DISCUSSIONS LAST YEAR ON SOFTBALL, WE DID DROP THOSE FEES DOWN, AND RIGHT NOW OUR PHILOSOPHY IS WORKING. LEAGUE NUMBERS ARE GOING UP AS WE WENT DOWN ON THE FEES AND I'M HAPPY TO SAY RIGHT NOW OUR FINANCIAL FORECAST SHOWS WE'RE GOING TO BREAK EVEN IN SOFTBALL, WHICH I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT.

SOMETIMES THAT'S A HIGH BAR.

THAT'S A HIGH BAR.

YES.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS HAD OUR PARKS DIRECTOR? I APPRECIATE IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET YOU SHOW THE \$100,000 LINE ITEM FOR THE BOARDWALK AND I CONSIDER THAT AS A PLACE HOLDER NUMBER IN THAT, AS YOU MENTIONED, I THINK S.E.C. PLANNING IS DOING THE MAJOR INVESTMENT ON BEHALF OF THE TRAIL FOUNDATION, AND THE CONVENTIONAL WISDOM IS SORT OF THE DESIGN AND ENGINEERING PHASE OF WHAT COULD BE A MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT THERE AT THE TRAIL COULD -- JUST THE DESIGN ENGINEERING PHASE COULD BE A COUPLE MILLION BUCKS.

IT COULD.

Mayor Wynn: AND I THINK THERE'S THE RECOGNITION THAT A PROJECT OF THAT SCALE AND SCOPE AND VISIBILITY, FRANKLY, WITH THE PASSING OF MRS. JOHNSON AND RAISING THE AWARENESS ABOUT FINISHING THE TRAIL, THE LACK OF TECHNICAL ACCESSIBILITY FOR MUCH OF THE EXISTING TRAIL, I THINK THERE'S A BIG OPPORTUNITY FOR NOT ONLY CITY BUT A LOT OF PRIVATE AND MAYBE EVEN STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT MONEYS INVOLVED AS WELL. SO I LIKE A SMALL NUMBER IN THE SCHEME OF THINGS, 100,000, BUT I LIKE THE FACT IT'S THERE BECAUSE I CONSIDER THAT A PLACE HOLDER WHILE WE REGAIN MOMENTUM ON IMPROVEMENT FOR THE TRAIL.

ONE OF THE THINGS WE CAN DO TOO IS GET A BETTER ENGINEER WHAT THE DESIGN AND ENGINEERING COSTS MIGHT BE CLOSER AS WELL.

Mayor Wynn: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? THANK YOU, WARREN.

YES, SIR.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME, MS. BRANCH.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR WYNN, MAYOR PRO TEM DUNKERLEY AND CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS. YOU WILL FIND THE LIBRARY'S BUDGET IN VOLUME 1 AND THE SCOOT'S I HAVE.....EXECUTIVE DOCUMENTS PAGE 125 THROUGH 136. THE FOLLOWING ARE THE CITIZEN SURVEY RESULTS FOR THE LIBRARY. AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THIS CHART, CITIZENS ARE HAPPY WITH OUR YOUTH PROGRAMS, 94.4% SATISFACTION RATE, AND THE AUSTIN HISTORY CENTER SERVICES, 97.2% RATE. BUT THEY WOULD LIKE MORE MATERIALS AND COMPUTERS. 86.4 AND 87% RESPECTIVELY. LIBRARIES 2007-2008 BUDGET TOTALS \$23.5 MILLION AND 11.2% INCREASE OF \$2.5 MILLION. WE ARE PROPOSING AN INCREASE OF EIGHT NEW F.T.E.S, FIVE TO CONVERT TEMPORARY SECURITY GUARDS TO REGULAR POSITIONS, AND THREE FOR CORE SERVICE INVESTMENTS, AND I'LL EX... EXPAND ON THOSE IN JUST A MINUTE. ONE-TIME CRITICAL ITEMS INCLUDE 148,000 FOR REPLACEMENT OF AGING AND

OBSOLETE TELEPHONE SYSTEMS AND 24,000 FOR LIGHT-DUTY HYBRID VEHICLE TO BE USED BY OUR MAINTENANCE WORKERS TO CARRY OUT DAILY BRANCH INSPECTIONS OF OUR 22 FACILITIES. THE ADDITION OF \$473,000 TO THE CURRENT MATERIALS BUDGET OF \$1.3 MILLION WILL BRING A MATERIALS BUDGET BACK UP TO THE 2002 FUNDING LEVEL OF 1.8 MILLION. WE ARE ALSO ADDING \$51,563 FOR DATABASES WHICH WILL BRING US UP TO THE 2001 FUNDING LEVEL, AND \$42,000 FOR PERIODICALS WHICH WILL BRING US UP TO THE 1998 FUNDING LEVELS. THE LIBRARY HAS SEEN A CONTINUED INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF SECURITY INCIDENTS AT OUR 22 LOCATIONS. TO DATE OVER 1,000 INCIDENTS, 300,000 FOR THE ENTIRE YEAR OF 2006. WE ARE PROPOSING THAT THE FIVE TEMPORARY SECURITY GUARD POSITIONS WHICH WE HAD DURING THE CURRENT YEAR BE CONVERTED TO REGULAR POSITIONS. WE'VE FOUND THAT WE HAVE A LOT OF TURNOVER IN OUR TEMPORARY POSITIONS, AND THIS WOULD -- THE CONVERSION WOULD PROVIDE FOR MUCH NEEDED STABILITY IN THE SECURITY PROGRAM. THE ADDITION OF A MAINTENANCE WORKER WILL ENABLE TO US BETTER KEEP UP WITH THE INCREASING NUMBER OF WORK ORDERS TO MAINTAIN THE STEADILY INCREASING SQUARE FOOTAGE OF OUR 22 FACILITIES. THE ADDITION OF A WEB MASTER WILL ALLOW US TO SERVE THE INCREASING NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS USING THE LIBRARY THROUGH OUR WEBSITE. THE NUMBER OF HITS HAS GROWN BY 110% SINCE 2001. IN 2006-2007, WE HAD 15 MILLION HITS ON OUR WEBSITE, AND AS OF JUNE 2007 WE HAVE ALREADY HAD 14 MILLION HITS, SO WE'RE INCREASING THIS YEAR AGAIN. WE ARE VERY EXCITED ABOUT BEGINNING WORK ON OUR NEW CENTRAL LIBRARY. OUR PROPOSED C.I.P. PROJECTS INCLUDE \$1 MILLION TO BEGIN WORK ON A NEW CENTRAL LIBRARY. AND ALTHOUGH THERE ARE NO ALLOCATIONS THIS YEAR SPECIFIC TO THE TWIN OKLAHOMAS..... OAKS AND THE NORTH VILLAGE BRANCHES, BOTH PROJECTS WILL BE UNDER CONSTRUCTION IN 2008 WHICH WILL COMPLETE THE 1998 BOND PROJECTS. HERE WE HAVE THE LIBRARY'S COMMUNITY SCORE CARD. AUSTIN HAS ONE OF THE HIGHEST NUMBER OF REGISTERED BORROWERS AS A PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION WHEN COMPARED TO OTHER CITIES THAT REPORT TO ICMA WITH 64.4%. AUSTIN HAS A

RELATIVELY HIGH NUMBER OF VISITS PER CAPITA WHEN COMPARED TO OTHER CITIES THAT REPORT TO ICMA WITH 4.6 VISITS PER CAPITA. COUNCIL, THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, BUT I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE. DUNG THANK YOU VERY.....

Dunkerley: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, BRENDA. WE'RE EXCITED LOOKING FORWARD TO A NEW LIBRARY. DAVID LOWERY WITH THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. HOLD IT JUST A MOMENT. WE NEED ONE MORE FOR A QUORUM. I THINK SOME OF US HEARD SOME OF DAVID'S PRESENTATION YESTERDAY AT THE HEALTH AND -- SUBCOMMITTEE, SO ... I DON'T THINK THEY WERE RUNNING AWAY FROM YOU, DAVID.

IT CERTAINLY WASN'T ANYTHING I SAID, MAYOR PRO TEM.
[LAUGHTER]

Dunkerley: YOU CAN GO FORWARD NOW.

THANK YOU. YOU CAN FIND THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES BUDGET ON PAGES 44 THROUGH 87 OF VOLUME 1. THE MISSION OF THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT IS TO WORK IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COMMUNITY TO PROMOTE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELL-BEING. AND THE ROLE OF PUBLIC HEALTH IS TO PROMOTE COMMUNITY-WIDE WELLNESS, PREVENT DISEASE AND PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY FROM INFECTIOUS DISEASES, ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS AND EPIDEMICS, AND YOU WILL SEE THIS REFLECTED IN THIS BUDGET. THE FIRST SLIDE DEPICTS THE RESULT FROM CITIZEN SURVEY AND IN GENERAL WE CONTINUE TO DO QUITE WELL IN TERMS OF SATISFACTION AND THOSE INDIVIDUALS RESPONDING IN TERMS OF -- ARE VERY HIGH IN TERMS OF THEIR SATISFACTION OF SERVICES. AND THIS IS A VERY BROAD SURVEY COMMUNITY-WIDE AND IN MANY RESPECTS IS REFLECTING PERCEPTION IN TERMS OF THE COMMUNITY. GENERALLY WE'RE IN THE 75 TO 91 PLUS RANGE. AND AS YOU CAN SEE HERE, PRETTY CONSISTENT FROM LAST YEAR TO THIS YEAR. I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT, HOWEVER, IN THE ANIMAL SERVICES PROGRAM, WE SHOW AN INCREASE OF 2.7% IN TERMS OF OVERALL SATISFACTION. IN ADDITION,

WE CONDUCT SURVEYS WITHIN OUR SPECIFIC PROGRAMS FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHO ACTUALLY UTILIZE SERVICES, AND I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU A LITTLE FEEDBACK ASSOCIATED WITH THAT. FOR EXAMPLE, IN OUR SHOTS FOR TOTS PROGRAM, SATISFACTION RATING WAS 97%. 100% IN OUR REFUGEE HEALTH PROGRAM. 96% IN THE FAMILY HEALTH CLIENTS. AND 90% IN TERMS OF SOCIAL WORK CLIENTS. NEXT SLIDE -- EXCUSE ME. IN TERMS OF THE BUDGET CHANGES, AS YOU CAN SEE, THE GENERAL FUND REVENUE INCREASES BY 10.9%. AND THIS IS DRIVEN PRIMARILY BY MEDICAID REIMBURSEMENT WHICH WE HAVE NOW IMPLEMENTED IN OUR IMMUNIZATION PROGRAM AND INCREASED VOLUME IN OUR VITAL RECORDS AREA, BIRTH AND DEATH CERTIFICATES. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIONS IN THIS PROPOSED BUDGET IS 475 FULL-TIME POSITIONS. WE ARE PROPOSING TO ADD TWO POSITIONS IN OUR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM PRIMARILY RELATED TO FOOD INSPECTIONS, AND THIS IS A POSITION -- ONE POSITION FUNDED BY INTERLOCALS THAT WE HAVE WITH EIGHT MUNICIPALITIES IN TRAVIS COUNTY WHERE WE PROVIDE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND IS FULLY FUNDED AND SUPPORTED BY THE FUNDING WITHIN THOSE INTERLOCALS WHICH PROVIDES A FIXED PRICE FOR CAPACITY ASSOCIATED WITH ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. WE ALSO ARE PROPOSING TO ADD ONE POSITION IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM FOCUSING ON DOWNTOWN INSPECTIONS. ALSO WE'RE PROPOSING TWO ADDITIONAL POSITIONS RELATED TO TECHNOLOGY ENHANCEMENTS. ONE IS THE AMANDA PROJECT WHICH PROVIDES FOR IMPROVED TECHNOLOGY AND SUPPORT FOR OUR FIELD STAFF IN ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND THE [INAUDIBLE] PROJECT WHICH WILL PROVIDE FOR ADDITIONAL TECHNOLOGY PARTICULARLY IMAGING PROJECT FOR THE VITAL RECORDS PROGRAM. CONSISTENT WITH THE CONTINUING ENHANCEMENT OF THE GRAFFITI PROGRAM, THIS BUDGET INCLUDES TWO ADDITIONAL POSITIONS. ONE FOR IMPROVED DISPATCHING AND COORDINATION OF OUR RESPONSE CAPABILITIES AND ONE TO COORDINATE VOLUNTEERS TO WORK WITH NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS AND OTHERS BOTH TO IMPROVE THE REPORTING OF GRAFFITI AND ALSO MOBILIZE SUPPORT FOR CLEANING UP GRAFFITI. AND I MIGHT POINT OUT THAT FROM

FISCAL YEAR 2006 TO 2007 WE HAVE REDUCED THE OVERALL RESPONSE TIME FOR GRAFFITI CLEANUPS FROM AN AVERAGE OF 12 DAYS TO 6 DAYS. AND OUR GOAL IS TO BE ABLE TO RESPOND TO ALL COMPLAINTS WITHIN 48 HOURS, AND WE WERE AT 50% DURING THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR AND WE'RE PROJECTING TO IMPROVE THAT TO 65% IN FISCAL YEAR 2008. THE PROPOSED BUDGET ALSO INCLUDES AN ADDITIONAL POSITION FOR THE ANIMAL CONTROL PROGRAM AND IT'S RELATED TOO THE NEW ANTI-CHAINING ORDINANCE AS WELL AS OUR ORDINANCES THAT HAVE BEEN ADOPTED IN RECENT YEARS WHICH IS REFLECTED IN INCREASED DEMAND AND WORKLOAD. AND THEN THE LAST COUPLE OF ITEMS ARE MORE SORT OF HOUSE KEEPING IN TERMS OF TRANSFERRING A POSITION OF A GRANT FROM GENERAL FUND AND ELIMINATING TWO POSITIONS FROM THE COUNTY REIMBURSEMENT FUND DUE TO FACT RESPONSIBILITY FOR MANAGING THE COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICE CONTRACTS HAS BEEN TRANSFERRED OVER TO TRAVIS COUNTY. IN TERMS OF EQUIPMENT ITEMS, IT INCLUDES TWO VEHICLES, ONE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND ONE FOR ANIMAL CONTROL. I WOULD ALSO POINT OUT THAT THIS BUDGET INCLUDES A 3.5% INCREASE FOR OUR SOCIAL SERVICES CONTRACTS WHICH EQUATES TO \$438,000, AND THAT BRINGS THE TOTAL FOR SOCIAL SERVICE CONTRACTS TO APPROXIMATELY \$17 MILLION FOR 57 AGENCIES IN OUR COMMUNITY. AND FINALLY I WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT THIS BUDGET ALSO INCLUDES AN INCREASE OF \$55,000 FOR LOW COST SPAY AND NEUTER SERVICES ALONG WITH MICROCHIPPING. THIS WILL -- THIS INCLUDES A \$35,000 INCREASE IN SPAY AND NEUTER SERVICES WHICH INCREASES THAT PROGRAM TO A TOTAL OF \$170,000 PER YEAR. AND IT ALSO INCLUDES A \$20,000 INCREASE IN MICROCHIPPING WHICH RESULTS IN A TOTAL OF \$50,000 FOR THE MIKE CRO CHIPPING ACTIVITIES WHICH EE KUWAIT CITY TO \$220,000 IN PREVENT IT RELATED SERVICES. AND YOU ALSO APPROVED THIS PAST YEAR A HEALTH EDUCATOR IN ANIMAL SERVICES TO FOCUS ON PREVENTION AND RESPONSIBLE PET OWNERSHIP. THE POINT HERE BEING THAT WE ARE INVESTING HEAVILY IN PREVENTION AND THIS ULTIMATELY RESULTS IN FEWER INTAKES TO THE SHELTER WHICH ULTIMATELY IMPACTS THE EUTHANASIA RATING EUTHANASIA RATE AND THIS IS

RELATED TO THE PREVIOUS NO KILL MILLENNIUM ISSUE. THE NEXT SLIDE IS JUST SOME PICTURES TO DEPICT THE RANGE OF SERVICES WITHIN THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. THE UPPER LEFT HAND CORNER IS A LARGE EVENT. THE PECAN STREET FESTIVAL. WE HAVE NEARLY 700 OF THOSE MAJOR EVENTS EVERY YEAR IN THE CITY AND OUR DEPARTMENT IS INVOLVED IN LOOKING AT AND ASSURING FOOD SAFETY AND ADDRESSING OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ISSUES RELATED TO THOSE LARGE SCALE EVENTS. IN ADDITION WE HAVE ABOUT 5,000 FOOD ESTABLISHMENTS THAT WE'RE RESPONSIBLE FOR REGULATING IN THE COMMUNITY. THIS PROGRAM IS WITHIN OUR PUBLIC HEALTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DIVISION UNDER LEADERSHIP OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR SHANNON JONES. THE PICTURE IN THE MIDDLE DEPICTS OUR ANIMAL SERVICES PROGRAM WHERE WE PROVIDE ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES AS WELL AS CARING FOR ANIMALS IN OUR SHELTER. LAST YEAR WE HAD OVER 25,000 ANIMALS THAT WE CARED FOR IN OUR SHELTER AND THAT'S WITHIN OUR ADMINISTRATIVE AND ANIMAL SERVICES DIVISION UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF BRENDA PULLIAM. THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND CORNER IS THE DRIVE-THROUGH FLU CLINIC WHICH IS ONE OF OUR MANY IMMUNIZATION PROGRAMS. IT'S BEEN HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL. LAST YEAR WE VACCINATED OVER 9,000 PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY FOR FLU. THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND CORNER IS THE MARATHON KIDS PROGRAM WHICH IS ONE EXAMPLE OF WHOLE RANGE OF HEALTH PROMOTION AND WELLNESS ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT. THIS ONE BEING WITHIN OUR STEPS TO A HEALTHIER AUSTIN GRANT-FUNDED PROGRAM. WE PROVIDE A SUBSIDY TO THE MARATHON KIDS PROGRAM OF ABOUT \$43,000 PER YEAR. AND THIS PROGRAM IS WITHIN THE MEDICAL DIRECTOR'S DIVISION WHICH IS LED BY DR. VALDEZ. IN THE LOWER LEFT-HAND CORNER IS THE DAY LABOR PROGRAM AND WE NOW, AS YOU KNOW, HAVE TWO DAY LABOR SITES. WE'VE HAD A GREAT DEAL OF SUCCESS. THIS PROVIDES A SAFE, ORGANIZED STRUCTURE IN WHICH EMPLOYERS AND LABORERS CAN CONDUCT THEIR BUSINESS AND PROVIDE A GREAT DEAL OF SERVICE TO THE RESIDENTS OF AUSTIN. AND THIS IS PART OF OUR WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM WHICH IS WITHIN OUR HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION LED BY VINCE CABALLAS.

JUST A COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS. THIS SLIDE DEPICTS THE GROWING COMMUNITY NEEDS, AND AS YOU CAN SEE THEY ARE VERY I.. I.. CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE'VE RECOMMENDED IN THE PROGRAM AS FAR AS PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS. A COUPLE I WANT TO MENTION THAT I DIDN'T PREVIOUSLY, INCREASED FUNDING FOR HOMELESS SERVICES. WE'VE ADDED \$202,000 FOR VARIOUS HOMELESS SHELTER-RELATED PROGRAMS. THE LARGEST BEING 122,000 FOR THE SALVATION ARMY, WHICH IS A MAJOR PROVIDER OF SHELTER SERVICES IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THIS FUNDING WOULD PROVIDE FOR FIVE NIGHT SUPERVISORS TO PROVIDE FOR SUPPORT AND SECURITY WITHIN THE SALVATION ARMY FACILITY. AND WE ALSO HAVE SOME SLIGHT INCREASES AS REQUIRED WITH OUR CONTRACTS FOR THE AUSTIN RESOURCE CENTER FOR THE HOMELESS AND FOR THE WOMEN AND CHILDREN'S SHELTER FOR THE HOMELESS. AND THEN I ALSO WANTED TO MENTION THE PILOT PROGRAM FOR IMMUNIZATIONS. AS I REFERENCED EARLIER, WE'VE BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN GETTING QUITE A BIT OF REVENUE THROUGH THE MEDICAID PROGRAM ASSOCIATED WITH IMMUNIZATIONS, AND WE'RE GOING TO REDIRECT THOSE RESOURCES TO PILOT A PROGRAM FOR IMMUNIZATIONS PARTICULARLY FOCUSED ON ADULTS. THE NEXT COUPLE OF SLIDES ARE COMMUNITY SCORE CARD-RELATED SLIDES. THIS ONE BEING THE DAY LABORER PROGRAM. THE TOP LINE, THE RED LINE DEPICTS THE MONTHLY NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS COMING TO THE DAY LABOR PROGRAM, AND THE LOWER LINE, THE BLUE LINE, DEPICTS THE ACTUAL PLACEMENTS WITHIN THE DAY LABOR PROGRAM. WHAT WE WANT TO SEE HERE AND WE'RE ACTUALLY EXPERIENCING IS A CLOSING OF THE GAP BETWEEN THOSE TWO LINES WHICH REFLECT A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE COMING TO THE DAY LABOR PROGRAM, SUCCESSFULLY BEING EMPLOYED. AND IN FACT OUR PLACEMENT RIGHT NOW IS ABOUT 60%, AND WE AVERAGE ABOUT 3600 WORKERS PER MONTH COMING TO OUR DAY LABOR SITE. LAST YEAR WE HAD OVER 25,000 PLACEMENTS. AND OUR SECOND DAY LABOR SITE WHICH HAS BEEN OPERATING SINCE MID-JULY IS PLACING INDIVIDUALS AT A RATE OF ABOUT 50%. THE FINAL COMMUNITY SCORE CARD SLIDE IS RELATED TO OUR FOOD ESTABLISHMENTS AND OUR INSPECTION PROGRAM. WE'VE

BEEN MOVING TOWARD INCREASED INSPECTIONS BECAUSE OUR GOAL HERE IS TO HAVE A ROUTINE INSPECTION OF EVERY FOOD ESTABLISHMENT ON THE AVERAGE OF TWO TIMES PER YEAR. IN 2006 WE AVERAGED ABOUT 1.6, BUT THROUGH THE ENHANCEMENTS THAT WE'VE IMPLEMENTED THE LAST TWO YEARS AND WHAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING GOING INTO FISCAL YEAR 2008, WE ANTICIPATE WE WILL HAVE OVER 10,000 INSPECTIONS FOR THOSE 5,000 FOOD ESTABLISHMENTS, WHICH WILL GET US TO OUR GOAL OF AN AVERAGE OF TWO PER YEAR. AND MAYOR, THAT CONCLUDES MY BUDGET PRESENTATION.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS? COMMENTS?
COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: DAVID, YESTERDAY DURING THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING, I ASKED YOU A QUESTION ABOUT OUR TURN-AROUND TIME FOR INSPECTIONS. LIKE IF WE GET A COMPLAINT ON A FOOD ESTABLISHMENT, WHAT KIND OF TURN-AROUND TIME ARE WE LOOKING AT IN INSPECTING? I WAS WONDERING IF YOU COULD PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION.

YES, I HAVE. THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ. AND THIS WILL ALSO BE IN YOUR BUDGET QUESTIONS. YOU WILL GET FORMAL RESPONSE AS WELL. OUR RESPONSE TIME IS REALLY DRIVEN BY THE SEVERITY OF THE COMPLAINT OR THE CONCERN INVOLVED. FOR EXAMPLE, IF IT IS A FOOD-BORNE ILLNESS-RELATED COMPLAINT OR SEWAGE RELATED, FOR EXAMPLE, WE RESPOND WITHIN 24 HOURS. ON THE OTHER HANDLED, IF IT'S A MORE GENERAL COMPLAINT ABOUT GENERAL SANITATION OR FOOD HANDLING PRACTICES OR THAT SORT OF THING, THEN IT'S WITHIN THREE WORKING DAYS. AND IN ADDITION TO THAT, AS I MENTIONED, WE HAVE A SCORING SYSTEM THAT WE UTILIZE FOR ROUTINE INSPECTIONS. AND IF IN FACT THE SCORES ARE BELOW 49 ON A SCALE OF 100, THERE'S AN IMMEDIATE SUSPENSION OF PERMIT AND THE PROBLEMS NEED TO BE ADDRESSED WITHIN 48 HOURS. IF THE SCORE IS BETWEEN 50 AND 70, WITH 70 AS BASICALLY THE FAIL LEVEL, THEN THERE'S A REINSPECTION WITHIN 10 DAYS. SO AS YOU CAN SEE, IT DEPENDS ON THE TYPE OF CONCERN OR COMPLAINT AND THE POTENTIAL RISK AND SEVERITY

INVOLVED.

Martinez: THANKS, MAYOR.

I KNOW THAT WE HAVE HEALTH INSPECTORS THAT GO TO RESTAURANTS AND FOLLOW THE STATE GUIDELINES ON RESTAURANTS; IS THAT CORRECT? WE DOESN'T CREATE OUR OWN IN TERMS OF FOOD SAFETY AND HANDLING?

RIGHT. WELL, THERE ARE LOCAL ORDINANCES THAT ARE ADOPTED, BUT FOR THE MOST PART THEY ARE REFLECTIVE OF THE STATE REQUIREMENTS OR GUIDELINES. OF COURSE, AS A LOCAL JURISDICTION, WE CAN CHOOSE TO BE MORE STRINGENT BUT NOT LESS STRINGENT. BUT OFFHAND, I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE ANY SPECIFIC EXAMPLES WHERE THERE'S A DRAMATIC DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF THE STATE STANDARDS. IS THAT FAIR ENOUGH, SHANNON? SINCE SHANNON IS ACKNOWLEDGING THERE ARE STANDARDS PRETTY MUCH MIRROR THE STATE STANDARDS.

Cole: ONE OF THE TIMES HAD TO DO WITH A CERTAIN TYPE OF TRAINING CERTIFICATE FOR CONVENIENCE STORE OWNERS AND EMPLOYEES THAT THERE BE KIND OF A MORE MODIFIED CERTIFICATE OF TRAINING FOR THOSE THAT HANDLE LIKE HOT DOGS AND I GUESS THE NACHOS OR THOSE KIND OF THINGS. CAN YOU TELL ME MORE ABOUT WHAT YOU HAVE IN MIND FOR EXPLORING FOR THOSE CONVENIENCE STORE OWNERS AND THEIR EMPLOYEES?

WELL, A COUPLE OF THINGS TO KEEP IN MIND. IF THESE ARE TOTALLY PRE-PACKAGED PRODUCTS AND THERE'S NOT, YOU KNOW, ANY FOOD HANDLING INVOLVED, PER SE, THEN THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE A FOOD PERMIT. BUT ONCE YOU GET INTO THE PROCESS OF ANY KIND OF FOOD HANDLING, PREPARATION OF FOOD, TEMPERATURE CONTROLS, EVEN ICE AND SO FORTH, NOW YOU HAVE A FOOD PRODUCT WHERE THERE IS THE POTENTIAL FOR SOME RISK INVOLVED, AND SO THEN THE PERMITTING PROCESS KICKS IN. WHAT WE HAVE CURRENTLY IS A REQUIREMENT FOR A FOOD MANAGER CERTIFICATE, AND THERE'S A STANDARD ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE FOR THAT IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNT OF TRAINING INVOLVED AND SO FORTH. HOWEVER, WHAT IS BEING CONSIDERED,

AND WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT OVER THIS YEEX YEAR, IS THE POSSIBILITY OF A CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR FOOD HANDLERS WRITTEN THE TRAINING WOULD NOT -- WHEREIN THE TRAINING WOULD NOT BE AS EXPENSIVE, THE COST NOT AS GREAT, AND IN FACT THE STATE IS IN THE PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING STATEWIDE PROCESSES FOR FOOD HANDLER TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION. SO AS WE LOOK AT THAT, WE MAY REVISIT WHAT WE'RE REQUIRING IN TERMS OF FOOD MANAGER CERTIFICATES, YOU KNOW, BY SITE FOR EACH PARTICULAR LOCATION AND LOOK AT HOW IF WE HAVE A FOOD HANDLER CERTIFICATION PROCESS AND THE FOOD HANDLERS ARE IN FACT CERTIFIED, THAT MIGHT GIVE US SOME FLEXIBILITY TO LOOK AT SOME OTHER OPTIONS AT THE FOOD MANAGER LEVEL.

Cole: AND I THINK PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THAT EVEN THOUGH IT'S A CONVENIENCE STORE, THERE'S STILL RISK FOR BACTERIA.

ABSOLUTELY.

Cole: I KNOW MARVIN ZIND LE. R, HIS FAMOUS LINE SLIME IN THE ICE MACHINE BECAUSE OF THE RISK TO PEOPLE COMING IN CONTACT WITH THAT ICE. SO I APPRECIATE YOUR LOOKING AT THE FOOD HANDLERS TRAINING AND CERTIFICATE SINCE NOT ALL EMPLOYEES NECESSARILY HAVE TO BE A FOOD MANAGER. IN TERMS OF THE TRAINING THEY GET. BUT WE STILL NEED TO HAVE SAFETY GUIDELINES, HEALTH AND SAFETY GUIDELINES FOR THOSE. I AGREE WITH THAT. ANOTHER ISSUE THAT'S BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION IS THAT RESTAURANTS ARE SELLING SUSHI, THEY HAVE TO HAVE THEIR RICE AT A CERTAIN pH LEVEL. IF THEY ARE MAKING IT IN THE AFTERNOON AND HAVING IT THROUGHOUT THE NIGHT AS THEY ARE MAKING SUSHI AND LAST CALL MY BE 10:00 P.M., IT'S FOR A NUMBER OF HOURS. ONE OF THE RESTAURANTS WAS REQUIRED TO GET A pH METER, HE COULDN'T FIND IT AT A RESTAURANT SUPPLY STORE. IS THAT SOMETHING WE'RE REQUIRING ALL THE RESTAURANTS WHAT THAT HAVE THIS SPECIAL RICE TO HAVE A pH METER? IS THAT SOMETHING THAT OTHER CITIES ARE REQUIRING? I WAS WONDERING IF YOU CAN LOOK INTO THAT FOR ME.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER KIM, OFFHAND I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION, BUT WE CAN CERTAINLY GET THAT INFORMATION BACK TO YOU AS WELL AS SHARE WHAT'S REQUIRED OF OTHER CITIES IN THAT REGARD AS WELL.

Cole: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: LET'S SEE. TWO NEW F.T.E.S FOR GRAFFITI ABATEMENT. HOW MANY BEFORE?

THE ADDITIONAL TWO WILL BRING US TO FIVE POSITIONS. THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY COURT ALSO HAS A TEAM LEADER IN THEIR BUDGET THAT YOU APPROVED LAST YEAR AND THIS PROPOSED BUDGET INCLUDES ANOTHER POSITION WITHIN COMMUNITY COURT WHERE THEY HAVE A COUPLE OF TEAMS THAT WOULD BE GOING OUT AND USING PEOPLE TO HELP CLEAN UP GRAFFITI AS PART OF THE RESTITUTION PROCESS. SO WITH THIS IT WOULD GO FROM THREE TO FIVE WITHIN THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. COMMUNITY COURT ONE TO TWO. AND THERE IS A DETECTIVE WITHIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT'S ALSO DEDICATED TO GRAFFITI ENFORCEMENT.

Leffingwell: SO YOU ARE ALMOST DOUBLING THE CAPABILITY. IT'S A 67% INCREASE WITHIN YOUR DEPARTMENT, PLUS ADDITIONS IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS AS WELL.

THAT'S CORRECT, COUNCILMEMBER. AND IT WAS -- IN... THAT IS SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED THIS CURRENT FISCAL YEAR AS WELL. SO THAT'S WHY WHEN I INDICATED OUR GOAL IN TERMS OF TURN-AROUND TIME AND SO FORTH, WE'VE EXPERIENCED SUBSTANTIAL IMPROVEMENT AND WE EXPECT THAT TO CONTINUE AS WE GO FORWARD INTO THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR IF WE HAVE THESE ADDITIONAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO US.

Leffingwell: THANK YOU. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

McCracken: WHAT LEVEL OF PERSONNEL DO YOU ALL

ESTIMATE WOULD BE NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THE COUNCIL POLICY OF GRAFITTI BEING REMOVED WITHIN 48 HOURS?

I THINK WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO WITH THAT, COUNCILMEMBER, AND REVIEW IT BOATS WITHIN OUR DEPARTMENT AND THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS INVOLVED AND PROVIDE YOU WITH AN ANSWER.

McCracken: I GUESS LET'S SUBMIT THAT AS A BUDGET QUESTION THEN.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS, FOR MR. LURIE? THANK YOU, DAVID. WELCOME, MR. HILGERS.

THANK YOU, COUNCIL. I'M PAUL HILGERS, DIRECTOR OF NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. I'M GLAD TO PRESENT OUR BUDGET TO YOU FOR FY '07-'08. THE MISSION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IS TO PROVIDE COMMUNITY HOUSING AND SERVICES. EACH YEAR THE CITY SURVEYS THE CITIZENS, AND OUR CITIZENS SURVEY GAUGES THE AVAILABILITY OF FOWRKT TO LOW AND MODERATE NUMBER FAMILIES AND THE AWARENESS OF LOW INTEREST LOANS AND GRANTS TO LOW INCOME FAMILIES. AS YOU CAN SEE BY THIS CHART, CITIZENS OF AUSTIN HAVE BECOME MORE FAMILIAR WITH OUR DEPARTMENT, PROGRAMS AND SERVICES. SATISFACTION WITH THE AVAILABILITY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PROGRAMS INCREASED BY EIGHT PERCENT OVER THE PREVIOUS YEAR. SATISFACTION FOR OUR PROGRAMS AND SERVICES INCREASED BY FOUR PERCENT OVER THE PREVIOUS YEAR WEVMENT STILL HAVE WORK TO DO TO INCREASE THESE NUMBERS, PARTICULARLY IN THE AIR OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. OUR PROPOSED FWOWJT THIS NEXT YEAR IS 37.4 MILLION. THAT INCLUDES THE NEW FUNDING AUTHORITY OF 20.6 MILLION. THE NEW FUNDING THAT OUR DEPARTMENT HAS RECEIVED IS -- TWO NEW FUNDING SOURCES. ONE IS A LEAD HAZARD GRANT OF THREE MILLION DOLLARS. AND THE OTHER IS A THREE-MILLION-DOLLAR SECTION 108 LOAN WHICH INCREASES OUR BUDGET BY 19.2%. FOR THE FISCAL YEAR '07-'08 WE'VE INCREASED OUR F.T.E.'S FROM 71 TO 75. ONE F.T.E. WAS TRANSFERRED TO THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT FOR THE

ADMINISTRATION THAT HAVE COOPERATIVE LEAD BASED GRANT ABATEMENT PROGRAM. WE'VE ADDED FIVE F.T.E.'S TO ADMINISTER THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FOR THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION. WE HAVE A ONE-TIME CRITICAL NEED FOR OUR DEPARTMENT THAT'S IN THIS BUDGET, WHICH IS THE HOUSING MARKET STUDY OF \$300,000. THE MARKET STUDY IS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING INCENTIVES TASKFORCE RECOMMENDATIONS, THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN QUALITY OF LIFE INITIATIVE, THE BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS WELL AS TESTIMONY RECEIVED DURING OUR ACTION PLAN PUBLIC HEARING. THE MARKET STUDY WILL ASSESS THE CITY'S HOUSING STOCK, CURRENT TRENDS ON THE HOUSING MARKET, HELP TO FOCUS THE HOUSING NEEDS OF THE AUSTIN COMMUNITY AND SET US FORWARD FOR A STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE NEXT FIVE-YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN. AND SPEAKING OF THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN, YOU HEARD A BUDGET PRESENTATION THE 26TH OF THE SOURCES AND USES OF HOW THE MONIES ARE BEING USED IN OUR ANNUAL PLAN AND SO I WON'T PRESENT THAT AGAIN TODAY. WE'RE ANTICIPATING SERVE BEING MORE THAN 38,880 HOUSEHOLDS THROUGH ALL OF OUR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS, INCLUDING PEOPLE ACCESSING OUR WEBSITE AND ON THE HOUSING FRONT WE ANTICIPATE SERVING MORE THAN 4,880 HOUSEHOLDS THROUGH OUR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES, WHICH INCLUDE THE EMERGENCY HOME REPAIR PROGRAM, A LONG TIME PARTNER OF THE AUSTIN AREA URBAN LEAGUE, OUR OTHER HOME REPAIR AND REHABILITATION PROGRAMS, INCLUDING OUR ARCHITECTURE BARRIER REMOVAL PROGRAM AND OUR HOME OWNERSHIP REPAIR PROGRAM. WE'RE ALSO GLAD TO CONTINUE OUR HOUSING SMART COUNSELING PROGRAM, WHICH HAS BEEN EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL AND IS BECOMING MORE AND MORE IMPORTANT GIVEN THE MARKET CONDITION TODAY. AS A RESULT OF THE INCREASING HOUSING PRICES AND INCOMES NOT INCREASING, AFFORDABLE HOUSING CONTINUES TO BE AN ESCALATING PROBLEM AND TO RESPOND TO THE CHALLENGE OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING MARKET, WE ARE IMPLEMENTING WITH COUNCIL'S DIRECTION NEW STRATEGIES TO CREATE AND PRESERVE AFFORDABLE

HOUSING BY INCREASING INCENTIVES TO ASSIST IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE UNITS. SOME OF THESE NEW STRATEGIES WILL BE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING INCENTIVE TASKFORCE, WHICH INCLUDES ENHANCEMENTS TO SMART HOUSING, ACTIONS TO REDUCE REGULATORY BARRIERS. SOME OF THOSE WE'VE ALREADY ENACTED, SOME WE'VE BROUGHT FORWARD IN EARLY OCTOBER. SO IMPLEMENT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MIXED USE ORDINANCE WITH ITS AFFORDABILITY GOALS, THE TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE WHICH PROVIDES A 25% AFFORDABILITY GOAL. THE STATION AREA PLANS ARE UNDERWAY AND WILL INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW WE WILL ACHIEVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ON EACH OF THE PLANS. WE'RE CURRENTLY USING THE SHARED EQUITY MODEL TO PRESERVE LONG-TERM AFFORDABILITY IN OUR HOME OWNERSHIP PROGRAMS AND ARE IN THE PROCESS OF IMPLEMENTING OUR COMMUNITY LAND TRUST. WE'RE LOOK TO GO IMPLEMENT THE HOMESTEAD PRESERVATION DISTRICT AND TO BRING THAT FORWARD TO YOU IN THE NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS AFTER WE HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH THE COUNTY. AND THEN, WE ARE SO EXCITED ABOUT THE OPPORTUNITY TO IMPLEMENT THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING THAT THE COMMUNITY ENTRUSTED US WITH. WE PLAN TO FUND PROJECTS BOTH IN HOME OWNERSHIP AND IN RENTAL FOR THIS NEXT YEAR. WE'VE RELEASED THE NOTICE OF FUND AVAILABILITY FOR THE RENTAL PROJECTS THIS WEEK, AND WE'LL BE FOLLOWING THAT UP SHORTLY ON THE HOME OWNERSHIP PROJECTS AND DEVELOPMENTS. WE'RE LOOKING AT \$5.1 MILLION FOR HOME OWNERSHIP AND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS THIS YEAR AND \$7.4 MILLION WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR RENTAL IN THIS YEAR. AND THEN ON THE COMMUNITY SCORE CARDS FOR OUR DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO THE BENCHMARKS WE USE, AUSTIN'S CAPITAL LEVERAGING POWER IS SIMILAR IF NOT BETTER THAN MOST OTHER CITIES. AUSTIN IS AMONG CITIES REPORTING THE HIGHEST USE OF PRIVATE DOLLARS IN CONJUNCTION WITH FEDERAL DOLLARS TO BUILD AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. WE'VE LOOKED INTO WHY DALLAS IS SO HIGH THERE AND THAT'S BECAUSE THEY USE A HIGH NUMBER OF DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE

APPLICATIONS AND THEY LEVERAGE ALL OF THEIR MORTGAGES WITH THAT. AND THEN ON THE PHOENIX NUMBERS, THEY USE A HIGH NUMBER OF MULTI-FAMILY BONDS AT THEY'RE LEVERAGING WITH, BUT TAKE AWAY THESE TWO SKEWED, I THINK, NUMBERS AND WE LOOK PRETTY GOOD TO THE REST OF THE NATION. AND THEN SECONDLY, OUR GUIDE IS PRIMARILY BECAUSE OF THE SMART HOUSING PROGRAM, AUSTIN IS SEEN HAS A LEADER IN THE STATE AND THE COUNTRY. AND AS SEEN ON THIS SLIDE, THE SMART HOUSING UNITS COMPLETED IN FISCAL YEAR '06 WAS NEARLY 3,000 IN BOTH SINGLE AND MULTI-FAMILY. IN THE SIX YEARS SINCE SMART HOUSING WAS ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, THE CITY HAS PROVIDED INCENTIVES, PEKS DID HE INDICATED -- EXPEDITED REVIEW. THAT'S 3,161 SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS AND 5,686 MULTI-FAMILY UNITS THAT ARE NOW COMPLETE. AND OF THOSE COMPLETED UNITS, 65% MEET THE REASONABLY PRICED OR AFFORDABLE STANDARDS. SO WITH THAT, COUNCIL, THAT'S THE END OF MY BRIEF BUDGET PRESENTATION. I'LL BE GLAD TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF MR. HILGERS, COUNCIL?
COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

I WANTED TO ASK ABOUT THE LAST SLIDE YOU JUST SHOWED. CAN YOU TELL US WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THAT OR HOW MANY OF THOSE UNITS WAS OWNERSHIP AS OPPOSE TO RENTAL AND THE LEVELS OF AFFORDABILITY?

I CAN GET YOU THE NUMBERS ON AN ANNUAL BASIS ON WHICH ONE OF THOSE WERE. GENERALLY SPEAKING FOR THE TOTAL NUMBERS WE HAVE, I CAN TELL YOU THAT IT'S 3161 SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS AND 5086 MULTI-FAMILY UNITS SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE PROGRAM. HOWEVER I DO KNOW THAT THE TRENDS WERE THAT EARLY YEARS WERE A LOT OF MULTI-FAMILY AND THE MORE RECENT YEARS HAVE BEEN A STRONG BALANCE BETWEEN SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTI-FAMILY. SO I WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT I WOULD TELL YOU THAT LAST YEAR OF THE ONES THAT WERE DEVELOPED, IT WAS ALMOST 50/50, BUT I WILL GET THE NUMBERS FOR YOU AND PUT IT IN THE FORM AFTER BUDGET QUESTION FOR YOU.

...

Martinez: AND THE LEVELS OF AFFORDABILITY?

IN ALL OF THE MULTI-FAMILY ONES, BECAUSE THEY'RE PRIMARILY TAX CREDIT PROPERTIES AS WELL, THOSE ARE GOING TO BE SERVING FAMILIES AT 60% OR BELOW MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME. AND I'LL GET YOU THOSE NUMBERS, BUT ON THE SINGLE-FAMILY SIDE YOU'RE LOOKING AT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 65% OF THE UNITS SERVING PEOPLE BELOW 80% OF MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME. BUT I'LL GET THE SPECIFIC NUMBERS FOR YOU.

Martinez: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER KIM. KICK KIM ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I RECEIVED WERE FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION AS FAR AS THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TASKFORCE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE COUNCIL ADOPTED. WHERE ARE WE ON THAT AND CAN THEY START USING IT IF THEY WANT TO OR DID THEY HAVE TO FWIET THE ORDINANCE TO APPLY FOR THOSE INCENTIVES?

SOME OF THE INCENTIVES, THEY ARE -- I WILL GET YOU A CLEAR TIME LINE ON EXACTLY WHEN THESE INCENTIVES ARE COMING FORWARD AND WHAT NEEDS TO GO THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION, WHAT NEEDS TO GO THROUGH BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS BEFORE THEY CAN ACTUALLY BE IMPLEMENTED. WE ARE STILL, FOR EXAMPLE, REVIEWING THE REDUCTION OF THE VISITABILITY NUMBERS AND WE'LL HAVE TO BRING THAT FORWARD TO COUNCIL, SO THERE'S STILL SO PROVISIONS WHERE WE HAD 120 DAYS TO BRING THOSE BACK TO YOU. WE HAVE IMPLEMENTED SOME OF THE SMART HOUSING INCENTIVES AND INCREASED IN SMART HOUSING INCENTIVES. WE HAVE NOT BEGUN THE PILOT ON THE \$750,000 DOWNTOWN YET, SO THAT WILL HAPPEN IN SEPTEMBER OR OCTOBER. SO I'LL GET YOU A TIME LINE ON EXACTLY WHEN AND WHICH INCENTIVES WILL BE ABLE TO BE ACCESSED BY MIDDLE OF NEXT WEEK.

SO IS THE 750,000 JUST FOR DOWNTOWN OR IS THAT CITYWIDE IN TERMS OF A CAP ON THE FEES THAT WOULD BE

WAIVED?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS -- I THINK IT'S FOCUSED ON DOWNTOWN.

Kim: OKAY.

NOW, IT DOESN'T AFFECT THE FEE WAIVERS OF THE BASIC SMART HOUSING PROGRAM, THE 1500 UNITS OF THE SMART HOUSING PROGRAM. IT'S ON TOP OF THAT. THOSE ARE CITYWIDE FOR SURE. AND I'LL CHECK AND SEE EXACTLY WHAT THE GEOGRAPHIC AREAS IS ON THE 750.

Kim: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS? QUESTIONS FOR MR. HILGERS? THANK YOU, PAUL.

THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: WE ALWAYS MAKE WILLIE RHODES GO LAST, DON'T WE?

AH.

HELLO, MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS. WILLIE RHODES, DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE SERVICES. I'M HERE REPRESENTING THE HARDEST WORKING EMPLOYEES IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AND I'M PROUD TO PRESENT THE 2007-2008 BUDGET FOR SOLID WASTE SERVICES. THE PERCENT OF CITIZENS SWEAD THE BRUSHY AND BULK BE SERVICES ALSO SLIPPED BY 1.3 PERCENT TO 82%. WHILE THE NUMBERS HAVE SLIPPED, I ALSO NEED TO POINT OUT THAT WE HAVE 166,000 CUSTOMERS FOR GARBAGE THAT WE COME BY THEIR HOUSE ONCE A WEEK, SO WE HAVE FOUR TIMES A MONTH TO MAKE MISTAKES TIMES 166,000 CUSTOMERS. THE NUMBER OF CALLS WE GET EACH MONTH CONCERNING GARBAGE COLLECTION AVERAGES AROUND 800 CALLS. AND SOME OF THOSE CALLS ARE JUST ASKING, HAS THE TRUCK RUN BY THEIR STREET YET. SO I THINK WE'RE DOING A GOOD JOB IN PROVIDING THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN WITH A GOOD SERVICE AND GOOD SATISFACTION. THE LAST ITEM HERE IS CONCERNING WEEDS, LOTS, DLAP DATED --

DILAPIDATED BUILDINGS. WHILE SOLID WASTE SERVICES HAS THIS, BUT SEVERAL DEPARTMENTS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS ITEM HERE. IN THIS YEAR'S SURVEY, IT WAS OVER EXTENDED IN SOME AREAS, SO WE SEEN A SLIP OF FIVE PERCENT IN THE SURVEY. AND ONE OF THE THINGS WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO DO IS SEE WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT REDUCING THAT NEXT YEAR. WE THINK THAT WE'RE DOING A GOOD JOB WITH THE CODE ENFORCEMENT, POLICE AND WORKING WITH THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS CONCERNING GRAFITTI. AND SO WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CONTINUE THE TREND OF MOVING -- TURNING THIS THING AROUND AND GETTING IT TO BE A HIGHER NUMBER. THE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR SOLID WASTE SERVICES FOR NEXT YEAR. NEXT YEAR WE'RE PROPOSE TO GO HAVE A TOTAL OF 442 F.T.E.'S. WE'RE PLANNING ON INCREASING OUR REVENUE BY FOUR MILLION DOLLARS TO \$53.1 MILLION. WE'RE PLANOGINCREASING OUR EXPENDITURES BY \$6.6 MILLION TO 57.8. AND WE'RE PLAN TO GO HAVE AN ENDING BALANCE OF 4.5, WHICH MEETS THE FINANCIAL POLICIES FOR THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AS WE SAID WHEN WE DID THE FORECAST, PRESENT THE FORECAST TO COUNCIL, WE BELIEVE THIS WILL BE THE LAST YEAR WE CAN COME IN WITH A BALANCED BUDGET WITHOUT A RATE INCREASE. NEXT YEAR WE'RE ADDING 18 NEW F.T.E.'S AND WE ALSO TRANSFERRING FROM OUR LANDFILL FUND FIVE F.T.E.'S BACK INTO THE SOLID WASTE SERVICES FUND. I'LL GIVE YOU GREATER DETAILS ON THESE F.T.E.'S IN A FEW MINUTES. THE NEXT ITEM TO TALK ABOUT IS THE BUDGET. FOR 2007-2008 WE'RE RECOMMENDING NO MAJOR INCREASES IN OUR FEES. OUR BASIC SERVICES TO PROVIDE SERVICE IS REMAINED UNCHANGED. WE HAVE SEVERAL INITIATIVES THAT WE'RE WORKING ON. IN FY 2007-2008 WE'RE GOING TO WORK ON THE NEW FACILITY WHICH WILL BE LOCATED AT THE FM 812 LANDFILL. ALSO IN FY '08 WE'RE HAVING ONE NEW F.T.E. TO HELP US IN OUR ZERO WASTE INITIATIVES. WE'RE LOOKING AT LOWERING THE NUMBER OF UNITS FOR MULTI-FAMILY COMMERCIAL RECYCLING ORDINANCE THAT EFFECTS THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN. WE'RE ALSO LOOKING AT TRYING TO DO A GLASS RECYCLING PROGRAM ON SIXTH STREET AND GETTING THAT UP AND RUNNING BY DECEMBER OF THIS YEAR. SO WE HAVE A NUMBER OF INITIATIVES UNDER OUR UMBRELLA, BUT WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO

WORK WITH -- TO HELP THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN REDUCE THEIR WASTE. ALSO WE'RE SEEING AN INCREASE IN FUEL COSTS. AND I'LL TOUCH ON THIS A LITTLE BIT IN THE FUTURE WHEN WE TALK ABOUT ALTERNATIVE FUEL. ALSO IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET, WE ARE CHANGING UP SOME OF THE REPORTING AUTHORITY THAT ARE IN THE DEPARTMENT TO HELP ALIGN -- TO HELP US MOVE INTO THE FUTURE AS WE'RE DOING THING WITH GREEN WASTE, AS WE'RE DOING THING WITH RECYCLING MATERIAL THAT WE'RE COLLECTING. SO THESE INITIATIVES HAVE HELPED US NOT HAVE A RATE INCREASE. AND IN FY '08, WE ALSO ARE PLANNING ON CLOSING THE CITY OF AUSTIN FM 812 LANDFILL. WE PLAN TO CLOSE THAT IN APRIL OF 2008. WITH THE CLOSURE OF THIS LANDFILL, WE WILL ALSO BE ABLE TO REUSE THAT LANDFILL TO HOUSE THE MATERIAL (INDISCERNIBLE) FACILITY AND SEVERAL OTHER FACILITIES THAT WE NEED TO SUPPORT THE MATERIAL COAGHT FACILITY. ALSO WE HAVE SEVERAL EMPLOYEES AT THE LANDFILL THAT WILL BE TRANSFERRED FROM THE LANDFILL FUND TO THE SOLID WASTE SERVICES FUND AND THEY'LL BE MOVING OVER TO THE HORNSBY BEND SITE TO HELP GRIND THE MATERIAL THAT WE HAVE AT HORNSBY BEND.

THE BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS. WE'RE HAVING 18 NEW F.T.E.'S AND TRANSFERRING FIVE. BREAKOUT FOR THE F.T.E.'S ARE THIS: FIVE NEW F.T.E. S ARE FOR THE PAY AS YOU THROW PROGRAM, THAT'S FOR ANNEXATION AND GROWTH. FIVE NEW F.T.E.'S FOR CODE ENFORCEMENT. THAT'S TO HELP US WITH WORK WITHOUT PERMITS AND WE'RE SEEING A GROWING NEED TO HAVE A UNIT GO AROUND AND HANDLE IS WORK WITHOUT PERMITS. SIX NEW F.T.E.'S FOR LITTER ABATEMENT TO HELP US WITH SOME OF THE CLEANUP, ESPECIALLY IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA BECAUSE THEY'RE CONTINUING TO GROW IN THE DEMAND TO SOLID WASTE SERVICES IS INCREASING. SO WE ALSO HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL AREAS AS A CITY THAT WE NEED TO COVER. HIGHLIGHTS, EQUIPMENT COSTS FOR NEXT YEAR IS 17.3 MILLION THAT. INCLUDES CARTS FOR OUR ALL IN ONE RECYCLING PROGRAM FOR WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO STEBD TO YOU A SAVE THE DATE, WHICH IS GOING TO BE DECEMBER OF 2008 WHERE WE GO OUT AND CELEBRATE THE OPENING OF THE MATERIAL RECOVERY FACILITY.

> COUNCIL, WE HAVE KNOWN -- WE ARE KNOWN FOR PICKING UP GARBAGE IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BUT ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS WE'RE KNOWN FOR IS RECYCLING. TO THE TOP LEFT IS WHAT HAPPENS AT THE END OF THE DAY WHEN THE TRUCK COME IN AND DROP OFF THE RECYCLED MATERIAL ON A DAILY BASIS. WHAT HAPPENS TO IT AFTER THAT? THE PHOTO ON THE RIGHT IS IT'S BAILED INTO BALES, METAL AND PLAS UTILITY BALES THAT WE SELL ON THE MARKET. ALSO SOME OF OUR ENVIRONMENT AL INITIATIVES, CURRENTLY WE HAVE A DIESEL GARBAGE TRUCK ON YOUR BOTTOM LEFT. TO THE LEFT IS ANOTHER GARBAGE TRUCK, BUT IT'S A COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS TRUCK. THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS RIGHT BEHIND THE CAB OF THE TRUCK IS A BLACK BOX WHERE THE DIESEL FUEL GO INTO AND WE EXPECT TO HAVE SIX OF THEM ON THE ROAD NEXT YEAR UNDERSTAND TO PURCHASING ONE PLUG-IN ELECTRIC HYBRID VEHICLE. ICMA COMPARISONS FOR 2006 FOR SOLID WASTE SERVICES. I THINK THE NEXT SLIDE WILL SHOW YOU WHY WE THINK THAT EVEN THOUGH WE MAY TRAIL IN THE SATISFACTION LEVEL, WE THINK OUR PROGRAM IS OUTSTANDING. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS A COMPARISON OF THE AVERAGE TIMES OF REFUGE COLLECTED PER ACCOUNT IN FISCAL YEAR 2006. ONCE AGAIN, WE HAVE THE LOWEST AMOUNT GOING TO THE LANDFILL. SO THIS IS WHERE YOU WANT TO SEE THE PROOF IS IN THE PUDDING. AND IN .82 TONS THAT WE'VE SHOWED THIS YEAR IS .04 TONS LESS THAN LAST YEAR NUMBERS. SO THE PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE IS WORKING. YOU CAN TELL FROM THE OTHER CITIES IN THE STATE OF TEXAS, WE ARE DOING OUTSTANDING IN THIS AREA. SO I THINK THE SOLID WASTE SERVICES EMPLOYEES ARE DOING A GREAT JOB AND THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN HAVE GOTTEN THE MESSAGE OF THE PAY AS YOU THROW PROGRAM TO REDUCE THE VOLUME OF WASTE GOING TO THE LANDFILL. AT THIS TIME, COUNCIL, IEWL TAKE ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE FOR ME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. RHODES. QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: MR. RHODES, SOMEONE APPROACHED ME AT NATIONAL NIGHT OUT ABOUT THE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS WE HAVE FOR OUR SOLID WASTE EMPLOYEES AND I KNOW WE DO SOMETHING IN TERM OF HELPING EMPLOYEES GET THEIR

G.E.D. IF THEY DON'T HAVE THEIR G.E.D. YET OR HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE. AND I'M JUST WONDERING WHAT ARE THE PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE FOR OUR EMPLOYEES? HAVE THEY BEEN CUT IN RECENT YEARS?

THE ONLY THING DWE STOP WAS THE G.E.D. PROGRAM SEVERAL YEARS AGO. LAST YEAR WE SENT OUT A SURVEY CONCERNING THE IT G.E.D. AND WHETHER THEY WANTED THE PROGRAM RESTARTED. THE SURVEY RESULTS INDICATED THAT THEY DID NOT WANT US TO DO ANYTHING AT THAT TIME. BUT THERE ARE PROGRAMS WE DO HELP OUR EMPLOYEES WITH. MOST OF THE EMPLOYEES WE HIRE FOR THE FIELD OPERATIONS SIDE COME IN WITH NO CDL LICENSES, SO WE TRAIN THOSE EMPLOYEES TO GET THEIR CDL LICENSE. THEN HOW TO DRIVE A GARBAGE TRUCK OR RECYCLING TRUCK. WE THINK THE TRAINING THAT WE DO IS WORTH ABOUT \$17,000 TOWARD THE EMPLOYEE. HE THEN BECOMES A CDL HOLDER. OTHER THINGS WE DO, WE TRY TO ENCOURAGE -- WE HAVE AN ANNUAL FOLLOW THE YELLOW BRICK ROAD PROGRAM WHERE WE GET OTHER CITY DEPARTMENTS TO COME IN AND TALK TO THE EMPLOYEES ABOUT HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES, TALK TO THEM ABOUT EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AND THOSE TYPE OF THINGS. WE ALSO BRING IN THE RETIREMENT FUND TO TALK ABOUT THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AND WE ALSO BRING IN DEFERRED COMP TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE DEFERRED PROGRAMS. SO THOSE ARE SOME OF THE THANK WE OFFER THE EMPLOYEES. WE ALSO HAVE ANYBODY THAT'S GOING TO COLLEGE, WE HAVE TUITION REIMBURSE FOMENT THOSE TYPE OF PROGRAMS.

...

Kim: SO WE HAVE TUITION REIMBURSEMENT. CAN YOU GIVE ME NUMBERS ON HOW MANY PEOPLE WE'VE HELPED WITH G.E.D. IN THE PAST AND IF THERE'S BEEN REQUESTS FOR THOSE SINCE WE STOPPED THE PROGRAM. I'D LIKE TO SEE IF THERE'S STILL A DESIRE FOR EMPLOYEES TO HAVE THAT PROGRAM BACK.

OKAY. WE CAN ALSO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE SURVEY THAT WE DID LAST YEAR.

Kim: YES, THANK YOU.

AND ANOTHER THING WE MIGHT COULD DO ON THAT IS WILLIE KEEPS AN ACTIVE WORKFORCE COMMITTEE THAT HE WORKS WITH WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE WORKFORCE FROM ALL THE DIFFERENT SECTORS AND AREAS. WE CAN CHECK BACK IN AND SEE HOW THAT'S DOING.

...

Kim: THANKS. BECAUSE I THINK THAT IT'S VERY CLEAR THAT THE EARNING POTENTIAL FOR SOMEONE WHO HAS A HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY DEGREE VERSUS ONE WHO DOESN'T, AND HAS SO MANY MORE OPPORTUNITIES, AS WELL AS THE WAGES THAT ARE PAID TO THEM AS THEY CAN STAY IN THE CITY AND MOVE UP TO OTHER JOBS OR EVEN GO OUTSIDE THE CITY AND PURSUE OTHER EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES, HAVING THAT DEGREE IS REALLY KEY FOR OPENING UP POSSIBILITIES FOR THEM.

OUR TUITION REIMBURSEMENT IS ELIGIBLE NOW FOR CERTIFICATION PAY. SO IT'S EVEN POSSIBLE THAT WE CAN HELP WITH G.E.D. PREP COURSES AND WHATNOT. SO THERE'S LOTS THAT WE CAN DO IN THAT AFLEEN. AND WE'LL GET YOU SOME INFORMATION ON IT.

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM.

Dunkerley: MR. RODES, I NOTICED ON YOUR COMMUNITY SCORECARD THAT PLANO HAD THE HIGHEST WITH THEIR COLLECTION SYSTEM. AND YOU SHOWED THAT THEY HAVE A SINGLE STREAM RECYCLING PROGRAM THAT THEY LOVE. SO MAYBE I'LL PUT IT IN THE FORM AFTER BUDGET QUESTION SINCE YOU DON'T HAVE -- WON'T HAVE TO SPEND TIME ON IT. COULD YOU GIVE US AN UPDATE ON THE STATUS OF OUR SINGLE STREAM RECYCLING OVERALL PROGRAM? I KNOW WE'VE HAD OUR PILOT AND THAT KIND OF STUFF, SO IF YOU CAN KIND OF JUST GIVE US A STATUS REPORT IN A BUDGET FORMAT, THAT WOULD BE JUST GREAT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COMMENTS, QUESTIONS FOR MR. RHODES? COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: SORT OF ALONG THE SAME LINES, CONGRATULATIONS ON BEING THE ANCHORMAN ON REFUSE COLLECTED PER ACCOUNT. WHAT THAT REALLY MEANS -- ACTUALLY, IT'S A GOOD THING. THE LESS DO YOU, THE BETTER JOB YOU'RE DOING. BUT WHAT THAT NUMBER BE REALLY MEANS TO ME IS THAT YOU'RE DOING A VERY GOOD JOB AT RECYCLING. AND AS THE MAYOR PRO TEM MENTIONED WITH THE MURF COMING ONLINE IN DECEMBER OF 2008, AND I KNOW YOUR PROJECTIONS ARE NOW TO SEE A 40% INCREASE IN RECYCLING, WE'LL LOOK FOR THAT NUMBER TO BE ABOUT HALF OF WHAT IT IS NOW IN A COUPLE OF YEARS.

LET'S SEE WHERE WE CAN GO.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? THANK YOU, MR. RHODES. SO THAT DIS THAT CONCLUDE THIS WEEK'S BUDGET PRESENTATION?

THAT CONCLUDES IT. AND OBVIOUS THROUGH EVENING WE HAVE OUR PUBLIC HEARING AND WE'LL HAVE ALL OUR FOLKS HERE IN CASE WE HAVE QUESTIONS YOU WANT US TO ANSWER.

...

Mayor Wynn: AND ADDITIONALLY OTHER BUDGET PRESENTATIONS FROM OTHER DEPARTMENTS ON AUGUST 23rd AND AUGUST 30TH WITH EVENING PUBLIC TESTIMONY FOLLOWING THOSE AS WELL. APPRECIATE ALL THE COMMENTS THAT YOU ALL CAN GIVE US. OKAY. LET'S SEE. I SEE MR. HILGERS CIRCLING AROUND, SO THAT MUST MEAN WE'RE SUPPOSED TO CONDUCT AN AHFC MEETING, SO AT THIS TIME I'LL QUICKLY ADJOURN THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL, CALL TO ORDER -- RECESS, NOT ADJOURN THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL, AND CALL TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION. WELCOME MR. PAUL HILGERS.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. AHFC ITEM NUMBER 1 IS TO APPROVE THE MINUTE OF THE JUNE 7TH, 2007 BOARD MEETING OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION.

Mayor Wynn: I'LL ENTERTAIN THAT MOTION. SO MOVED BY BOARD MEMBER MARTINEZ. SECONDED BY VICE-PRESIDENT TO APPROVE THE MINUTES AS POSTED. ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

AT OUR REQUEST, MR. PRESIDENT, WE'D LIKE TO POSTPONE ITEMS NUMBER 2 AND 3 UNTIL THE AUGUST 30TH MEETING ON THE REAPPOINTMENT OF BOARD MEMBERS. SO I WILL SKIP PAST THOSE WITHOUT OBJECTION.

Mayor Wynn: WELL, WE PROBABLY SHOULD AS A FORMALITY, BOARD MEMBER MCCracken MOVES AND BOARD MEMBER COLE SECONDS THAT REPOSTPONE ITEMS TWO AND THREE TO OUR AUGUST 30TH MEETING OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION BOARD. FAVOR SNAIFER OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

THANK YOU. AHFC ITEM NUMBER 4 IS TO APPROVE THE NEGOTIATION AND EXECUTION OF A LOAN IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$110,000 TO NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF AUSTIN INCORPORATED IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL REGULATIONS, TO ASSIST IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF TWO SINGLE-FAMILY AFFORDABLE RENTAL UNITS IN THE ST. JOHN'S NEIGHBORHOOD AT 7314-A AND 7314-BMEDORE AVENUES. AHSA WILL BUILD NEW SINGLE-FAMILY AND REABILITY ANTIBIOTIC AN EXISTING HOMES FOR FAMILIES WITH INCOMES AT OR BELOW 60% MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME WITH MONTHLY RENTS APPROXIMATELY \$985 FOR A FOUR BEDROOM, SINGLE-FAMILY HOME AND 735 FOR A TWO BEDROOM SINGLE-FAMILY HOME. THE TWO RENTAL PROPERTIES WILL BE THIS ORGANIZATION'S FIRST VENTURE INTO AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING, WHICH IS A KEY AVENUE FOR GENERATING OPERATING INCOME FOR NONPROFIT HOUSING PROVIDERS. IN JULY, NHSA COMPLETED THREE SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS FOR SALE AT ME DEVMENT ORE AVENUE. NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF AUSTIN IS A NONPROFIT COMMUNITY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION THAT DEVELOPS AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND PROVIDES HOME OWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITIES TO LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES AND HAVE BEGUN THEIR WORK PRIMARILY IN THE ST. JOHN'S NEIGHBORHOOD; HOWEVER, THEY ARE NOT LIMITED TO THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. SO STAFF IS GLAD TO BRING THIS

ITEM UP FOR YOU FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, BOARD? COMMENTS? IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON THIS ITEM NUMBER FOUR.

SO MOVE.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY THE VICE-PRESIDENT TO APPROVE ITEM NUMBER FOUR AS PRESENTED BY STAFF. SECONDED BY BOARD MEMBER MCCracken. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

OUR FINAL ITEM TODAY IS TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE FINANCE CORPORATION FOR AUGUST 30TH TO RECEIVE PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THE ISSUANCE OF UP TO 12 MILLION IN MULTI-FAMILY BONDS TO ASSIST THE SAN ANTONIO ALTERNATIVE HOUSING, A NONPROFIT CORPORATION WITH THE ACQUISITION AND REHABILITATION OF THE RUNNING MEADE APARTMENTS. ON MAY 24TH, 2007, THE BOARD APPROVED AN INDUCEMENT RESOLUTION AND AUTHORIZED THE CORPORATION TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR THE BONDS TO THE TEXAS REVIEW BOARD. THE PROJECT RECEIVED AN ALLOCATION AND THE APPLICANT HAS FILED AN ALLOCATION FOR FOUR PERCENT LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS WITH THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS. FOLLOWING THIS MEETING TODAY, THE BOARD WILL HAVE ONE MORE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE PROJECT BEFORE ISSUING OF THE BONDS. THIS IS A LITTLE UNIQUE IN SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING. YOU DON'T HAVE A SET PUBLIC HEARING TYPICALLY WITH THE FINANCE CORPORATION;; HOWEVER, THE ACTION WE'RE BRINGING FORWARD ON AUGUST 30TH WILL BE TO HERE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTUALLY TAKE ACTION ON APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS. SO WE WANTED THE PUBLIC TO BE AWARE OF THAT. AND IT'S BECAUSE OF THE TIME FRAME THAT THEY NEED TO BEGIN WORK AND TO GET UNDERWAY ON THIS. SO AGAIN, WE WILL -- THEY MUST CLOSE THEIR FINANCING BY SEPTEMBER 28TH, SO WE WILL BRING THIS BACK FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON AUGUST THE 30TH.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS, BOARD, COMMENTS? AGAIN, IT'S

JUST TO SIMPLY SET THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AUGUST 30TH. MOTION MADE BY BOARD MEMBER MCCrackEN, SECONDED BY BOARD MEMBER COLE TO APPROVE THIS ITEM AS PRESENTED, SETTING THIS PUBLIC HEARING FOR AUGUST 30TH. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

THAT'S ALL THE BUSINESS BEFORE THE FINANCE CORPORATION TODAY, MR. PRESIDENT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. HILGERS. OKAY. SO COUNCIL, NOW THAT TAKES US ONLY AN HOUR BEHIND -- SO NOW I WILL ADJOURN THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION, CALL BACK TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL AND WE'LL GO TO OUR ZONING HEARINGS, APPROVAL OF ORDINANCES AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS AND WELCOME MR. GREG GUERNSEY.

THANK YOU, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. I'M GREG GUERNSEY WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD ZONING AND PLATTING DEPARTMENT. ITEM NUMBER 49 IS CASE CROWN H-07-005, THE BULL HOUSE. THAT WILL AN DISCUSSION ITEM. YOU ITEM NUMBER 50 IS CASE NPA-07-BE 0014.01, GROCER'S TRACT. THIS IS IN THE FRANKLIN PARK AREA. THIS IS A REQUEST TO AMEND AN ELEMENT OF THE AUSTIN TOMORROW COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO CHANGE THE LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM OFFICE TO INDUSTRIAL FOR THE PROPERTY LOALTED AT INTERSECTION OF TERRY ROAD AND FREED RICK LANE. IT IS AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER. AND AN ITEM THAT'S RELATED IS ITEM NUMBER 51, CASE C-14-2007-0073, KNOWN AS THE GROCER'S TRACT. THIS IS LOCATED AT 5015 SOUTH I-35 NORTHBOUND AND THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF TERRY ROAD AND FREDERICK LANE. THIS IS A REZONING REQUEST FROM COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL COMBINING DISTRICT ZONING AND LIMITED OFFICE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RELIGIOUS WAS TO GRANT THE -- THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WAS TO GRANT THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST WITH SOME CONDITIONS AND THAT WAS TO GRANT GENERAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES CONDITIONAL OVERLAY NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN FOR TRACT 1 AND LIMITED

SERVICES COMMERCIAL SERVICES COMBINING DISTRICT FOR TRACT TWO. THE APPLICANT'S AGENT HAS COME FORWARD AND REQUESTED TO AMEND TWO PROVISIONS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION. ONE WAS TO REMOVE A CONDITION FOR A 50-FOOT VEGETATIVE BUFFER ALONG FREDERICK LANE. AND THE OTHER WAS TO REMOVE A VEHICLE ACCESS PROHIBITION TO FREDERICK LANE. AND WITH THOSE TWO ITEMS, THEY WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION. THE APPLICANT'S AGENT IS ALSO HERE TO SPEAK TO THOUGH TWO PARTICULAR ITEMS. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS THAT THEY NEGOTIATED WITH DID NOT HAVE AN OBJECTION TO THOSE. SO IF COUNCIL SO DESIRES, WE CAN EITHER TAKE THIS AS A DISCUSSION ITEM OR HAVE IT AS A CONSENT ITEM WITH THOSE TWO DELETIONS AND MOVE FORWARD.

Mayor Wynn: I'M SORRY, AND YOU ARE READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS?

WE ARE READY FOR ALL THREE READING. WHETHER YOU TAKE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION, WHICH WAS TO RECOMMEND BOTH OF THESE ITEMS FOR A MODIFICATION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, WHICH WOULD DELETE THE 50-FOOT VEGETATIVE BUFFER AND THE REQUIREMENT ON ITEM 51 FOR TRACT 2 AND ALSO THE VEHICLE PROHIBITION TO REMOVE THAT TO HAVE ACCESS TO FREDERICK LANE.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL, WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE WILL ON THE PROPOSED CONSENT AGENDA FOR ITEM NUMBER 50, HAVE THE AMENDED PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION.

AND JUST FOR THE RECORD, IN THE ORDINANCE THAT'S PART 3, PHOTOGRAPH B, ITEM NUMBER 1, WHICH SPEAKS TO THE 50-FOOT BUFFER. AND UNDER THAT SAME PARAGRAPH ITEM NUMBER 4 UNDER PARAGRAPH B, WHICH SPEAKS TO THE ACCESS TO FREDERICK LANE.

Mayor Wynn: FOR THE RECORD, WE HAVE A COUPLE OF FOLKS HERE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK, BUT BOTH IN FAVOR OF

THOSE TWO AMENDMENTS.

VERY GOOD, MAYOR. THE LAST ONE I'LL OFFER AS A
CONSENT ITEM IS ITEM NUMBER 52. THIS IS CASE C-14-2007-
00 YIECH. THIS IS A REQUEST FROM GENERAL COMMERCIAL
SERVICES TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES MIXED USE
CONDITIONAL OVERLAY COMBINING DISTRICT ZONING. THE
ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION
WAS TO GRANT THE COMMERCIAL SERVICES MIXED USE
CONDITIONAL OVERLAY COMBINING DISTRICT ZONING AND
THIS IS READY FOR CONSENT APPROVAL ON ALL THREE
READINGS. DWOA HAVE AN AGREEMENT ON 54, THE WEBB
ESTATES CASE. ITEM 53 WILL BE A DISCUSSION. BUT ITEM 54
IS CASE C-14-2007-0014, KNOWN AS THE WEBB ESTATES. IF
YOU RECALL, COUNCIL APPROVED THIS ON FIRST READING.
THIS IS HERE FOR SECOND AND THIRD READINGS. IT'S FOR
THE APPROVAL OF REZONING THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT
4700 CITY PARK ROAD NORSE OF THE INTERSECTION OF
CITY PARK ROAD AND WESTMINSTER AVENUE. THIS WAS A
REZONING REQUEST FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE OR DR
DISTRICT ZONING ORIGINAL TO SINGLE-FAMILY LARGE LOT
CONDITIONAL OVERLAY COMBINING DISTRICT ZONING. THIS
AFTERNOON WE HAVE RECEIVED AN AMENDED REQUEST
FROM THE APPLICANT ON THIS TO ZONE THE PROPERTY RR
RURAL RESIDENTIAL. DO YOU HAVE A VALID PETITION THAT
WAS OPPOSED TO THE SF-1, BUT NOT TO RR RURAL
RESIDENTIAL, SO THAT VALID PETITION NO LONGER IS
STANDING. SO YOU WOULD HAVE A REQUEST FOR RR RURAL
RESIDENTIAL ZONING, AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THERE
WOULD BE ONE CONDITION WHICH THE LANGUAGE HAS
BEEN WORKED OUT BETWEEN THE OARNZ, BOTH THE CITY
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, THE APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY AND THE
NEIGHBORHOOD'S REPRESENTATIVES ATTORNEY TO ADD
ONE CONDITION THAT WOULD SPEAK TO THE FOLLOWING.
THAT ANY DRIVEWAY, CUL-DE-SAC OR RIGHT-OF-WAY
SHOULD BE SET BACK 15 FEET FROM ANY RESIDENTIAL LOT,
BUT IN THE WESTMINSTER GLEN OR THE RIVER PLACE
SUBDIVISIONS. WITHIN THE VEGETATIVE BUFFER, ALL
NATIVE SPECIES SHALL BE PLANTED AND IF A VEGETATIVE
BUFFER DOES NOT ALREADY EXIST. SO WITH THAT
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONED AND THIS AMENDED REQUEST TO
RR DISTRICT ZONING, WE HAVE AGREEMENT OF THE

APPLICANT AND THE ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS. AND I BELIEVE BOTH SIDES ARE HERE TO SPEAK TO THAT IF YOU SO DESIRE TO HEAR FROM THEM. YOU MAY HAVE ENTERED IN ON THE COMMENTS SOME OPPOSITION, AND YOU MAY WANT TO CALL THOSE INDIVIDUALS, BUT I UNDERSTAND THEY HAVE WITHDRAWN THEIR OPPOSITION WITH THIS AGREEMENT.

YOU'RE RIGHT, BE WE HAVE A COUPLE OF FOLKS SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION. JEFF JACK AND PAULA BAIRD SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION, PERHAPS PRIOR TO THESE ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS.

AND MAYOR, ALSO THE ORDINANCE WOULD PROVIDE FOR A 2,000 TRIP LIMITATION ON THE PROPERTY WITH RURAL RESIDENTIAL. SO I'LL ADD THAT TO THAT LIST.

Mayor Wynn: YOUR SUGGESTION, MR. GUERNSEY, IS ITEM 54 IS IN FACT READY FOR CONSENT AGENDA.

FOR CONSENT WITH THE TRIP LIMITATION AND WITH THE NOTATION OF THE SET BACK VEGETATIVE BUFFER THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER.

AND HOWNL READINGS ARE YOU READY FOR?

WE COULD DO THREE IF IT'S LIMITED TO THOSE TWO CONDITIONS, UNLESS COUNCIL WOULD WISH TO ADD SOMETHING ELSE AND WE MIGHT NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT. BUT I UNDERSTAND THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S AGREEMENT AND THE LANGUAGE HAS ALREADY BEEN DISCUSSED WITH OUR CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, SO WE ARE READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS.

Mayor Wynn: THEN IF THAT IS INDEED REFLECTIVE OF THE AGREEMENT, AND WE DON'T HEAR ANY OBJECTION FROM THE CROWD --

I SEE SOME NODS FROM NEIGHBORS AND THE APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY.

Mayor Wynn: WE WILL HAVE IT ON THE AGENDA FOR THREE

READINGS AS NOTED BY MR. GUERNSEY.

ITEMS 55, 56, 57, 58 WILL BE DISCUSSION CONCERNING THE UNIVERSITY HILLS AND WINDSOR NEIGHBORHOOD.

...

Mayor Wynn: SO COUNCIL, THE PROPOSED CONSENT AGENDA ON THESE PUBLIC HEARINGS WILL BE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE ON ALL THREE READINGS ITEMS 50, 51 AND 54.

AND 52.

Mayor Wynn: 52 AS WELL?

ON ALL THREE READINGS.

Mayor Wynn: AND ITEM NUMBER 52 ON ALL THREE READINGS.

AND THAT'S NOTING THE CHANGES ON 51 AND THE NOTING THE CHANGES ON 54.

Mayor Wynn: YES, THANK YOU. I'LL ENTERTAIN THAT MOTION, COUNCIL.

Leffingwell: I'LL MOVE APPROVAL. STIPULATING THAT ON 54, SECOND BE AND THIRD READINGS IT'S A CHANGE FROM THE FIRST READING. IT WILL BE BE RR WITH THE CONDITIONS YOU OUTLINED.

THAT'S CORRECT, COUNCILMEMBER.

Mayor Wynn: SO MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS PROPOSED. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSE SND.....OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SIX TO ZERO WITH COUNCILMEMBER COLE OFF THE DAIS.

THANK YOU, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. LET ME TAKE TO YOU ITEM NUMBER 49. STEVE SADOWSKY WILL REPRESENT THIS

CASE KNOWN AS BULL HOUSE AT 2213 WINDSOR ROAD.

AFTERNOON MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS BE OF COUNCIL, STEVE SADOWSKY WITH THE HISTORIC PRESERVE BEVATION OFFICE. THE CASE BEFORE YOU IS THE BULL HOUSE AT 2213 WINDSOR ROAD EAST. AND THIS COMES TO YOU UPON A RECOMMENDATION BY THE LANDMARK COMMISSION FOR HISTORIC ZONING. STAFF REMGZ HISTORIC ZONING AS WELL. HOWEVER, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, STAFF RECOMMENDS THE EXECUTION OF A PRESERVATION COVENANT TO PRESERVE THIS FACADE, WHICH FACES POOES PARK AND -- PEASE PARK. THE HOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1934. IT'S CONTRIBUTING TO THE OLD WEST AUSTIN NATIONAL REGISTER DISTRICT AND IT WAS BUILT BY HOWARD WESTBAUGH. WE DON'T KNOW IF THE SNEEDS EVER LIVED IN THIS HOUSE, BUT THEY PURCHASED THE PROPERTY FROM THE WEST ENFIELD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY IN 1934. THE FIRST KNOWN RESIDENT WAS ADOLPH GOLDMAN, A VERY PROMINENT GROCER IN TOWN. THEN THE HOUSE WAS PURCHASED BY THE BULL FAMILY. FIRST ALFRED BULL, WHO WAS A PRINCIPAL AT AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK, AND THEN HIS BROTHER HOWARD BULL, WHO WAS VERY PROMINENT IN INSURANCE MATTERS IN THE CITY. AND BOTH BULL BROTHERS ARE DESCENDENTS OF THE BULL FAMILIAR, WHICH IS THE CASTLEMAN BULL HOUSE, WHICH WAS RECENTLY MOVED FROM ST. DAVID'S EPISS CA POOL DOWN TO SECOND AND RED RIVER. THE BULLS LIVED HERE FOR ALL OF THEIR LIVES. MR. BULL PASSED AWAY IN 2002 AT THE AGE OF 104, AND HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE CITY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION IN THE MID 1950'S AND ALSO JUST AS I SAID A VERY PROMINENT INSURANCE MAN IN THE CITY. THE HOUSE IS A ONE-STORY HOUSE WITH A RAISED BASEMENT. YOU CAN SEE THE ARCADED AREA ON THE BOTTOM HERE. IT'S A STONE HOUSE. AND WE HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE, ALTHOUGH WE DON'T HAVE ANY PROOF OF THIS, THAT MANY OF THE ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS ON THE HOUSE CAME FROM THE DISMANTLING OF THE OLD MAIN BUILDING AT U.T. THERE ARE BRACKETS ON THE HOUSE THAT BEAR DISTINCT RESEMBLANCE TO IT. AND EUGENE GEORGE, A VERY PROMINENT PROFESSOR OF ARCHITECTURE CE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS ALSO BELIEVES THAT ELEMENTS ON THE HOUSE CAME FROM U.T. BUT AS I

SAID, WE DON'T HAVE ANY JUSTIFIABLE PROOF OF THAT. THE HOUSE WAS REMODELED IN 1965 TO PUT IN CENTRAL AIR AND HEAT. AND DURING THAT TIME SOME STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS OCCURRED. AND STAFF IS WELL AWARE OF THAT. THE HOUSE MEETS THE CRITERIA FOR HISTORIC LANDMARK DESIGNATION ASSOCIATED WITH A VERY PROMINENT FAMILY AND PROMINENT BUSINESSMAN IN TOWN. ARCHITECTURALLY IT'S A ME TERRAINIAN STYLEVILLE LARKS THE CUT STONE FACADE, VERY IMPRESSIVE FACADE THAT FACES PEASE PARK, AND IT'S REALLY A GATEWAY INTO THAT AREA OF ENFIELD ON WINDSOR ROAD EAST. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH COUNCIL THE OPTION OF A PRESERVATION COVENANT WHICH WE HAVE DISCUSSED WITH THE PROPERTY OWNERS. A PRESERVATION COVENANT WOULD PROTECT THIS FACADE. IF THE PROPERTY OWNERS NEEDED TO DISMANTLE THE REST OF THE HOUSE, THEY WOULD NEED TO REBUILD THIS FACADE AND THEN BUILD THEIR HOUSE BEHIND IT. A PRESERVATION COVENANT IS BASICALLY AN AGREEMENT THAT RUNS WITH THE LAND THAT THE PROPERTY OWNERS AGREE TO PRESERVE THIS FACADE, WHICH IS THE MOST PROMINENT FEATURE ON THE HOUSE AND PRESERVES THE HISTORIC APPEAR FRNS FROM PEASE PARK. THE PRESERVATION COVENANT ALSO WOULD LEAD TO THE ABILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS TO MAKE A CONSERVATION EASEMENT OF THE FACADE TO THE CITY. AND DOING SO HAS SEVERAL BENEFITS FOR THE PROPERTY OWNERS. FIRST OF ALL, THE CONSERVATION EASEMENT WOULD ENTITLE THEM TO A CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FROM THEIR INCOME TAXES FOR THE VALUE OF THE DONATIONS. SECOND OF ALL, IT WOULD REDUCE THEIR PROPERTY TAXES BECAUSE DONATING THE EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF THIS FACADE AND THEIR AGREEMENT TO PRESERVE IT WOULD ALSO LOWER THE PROPERTY VALUE TO THE EXTENT OF A PARTIAL DONATION OF THE PROPERTY SO THE PROPERTY TAXES WOULD BE ASSESSED ON THE REMAINDER OF THE PROPERTY AT LESS THAN WHAT THEY WOULD BE OTHERWISE. SO THERE'S SOME DEFINITE BENEFITS TO THAT. WE HAVE WORKED WITH THE PROPERTY OWNERS. THEY ARE UNWILLING TO EXECUTE THE COVENANT, BUT I BELIEVE THEY'RE GOING TO SPEAK TO YOU THIS AFTERNOON ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE WILLING TO

DO. STAFF THABLZ THE HOUSE MEETS THE CRITERIA. IT'S A VERY PROMINENT LOCATION IN THE CITY. AND THIS FACADE IN PARTICULAR IS ONE THAT ANYBODY WHO GOES TO PEASE PARK PASSES BY. IT REALLY QUALIFIES AS A LANDMARK AND STAFF RECOMMENDS PRESERVATION OF THIS FACADE AT A MINIMUM, IF NOT THE ENTIRE HOUSE.

...

Dunkerley: I HAVE ONE QUESTION. THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION WAS SUPPOSED TO REVIEW THIS ON AUGUST THE SEVENTH. DID THEY DO THAT?

YES, THEY DID. DUNK AND WHAT DID THEY RECOMMEND?

THEY DENIED HISTORIC ZONING. THE VOTE WAS 5.. 5-1.

Dunkerley: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER QUESTIONS OF MR. SADOWSKY?
COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: DID THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION ADDRESS THE PRESERVATION COVENANT?

THEY DISCUSSED IT, COUNCILMEMBER, BE AND THEY DID EXPRESS SOME DISMAY AT WONDERING WHY THIS WAS NOT AN OPTION FOR THESE PROPERTY OWNERS. AS FAR AS I KNOW THE DESIGN FOR THE NEW HOUSE THAT THE PROPERTY OWNERS ARE PLANNING HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED. SO YOU THE OPPORTUNITY IS HERE FOR PRESERVATION OF THIS FACADE IF IT'S SOMETHING THAT THE PROPERTY OWNERS CAN FIND THEIR WAYS TO DO.

Leffingwell: SO THE PRESERVATION COVENANT, IS THAT IN ANY WAY ENFORCEABLE?

YES, IT WOULD BE. IT'S BASICALLY A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE PROPERTY OWNER AND THE CITY. AND IN THE COVENANT THERE IS A PROVISION FOR LIQUIDATED DAMAGES THAT IF THEY DID NOT ABIDE BY THE TERMS OF THE COVENANT, THEN THE DAMAGES ARE STIPULATED.

Leffingwell: HAS HE DATE KATED HE'S WILLING TO DO THAT? -- INDICATED THAT HE'S WILLING TO DO THAT, PRESERVE THE FACADE?

THE PROPERTY OWNER HAS INDICATED THAT THEY ARE NOT CONSIDERING THE COVENANT. I CAN GO THROUGH THE TERMS OF THE COVENANT IF YOU'D LIKE FOR GREATER EXPLANATION.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER, WE'LL HERE FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER. FURTHER QUESTIONS OF MR. SADOWSKY? SO COUNCIL, TECHNICALLY THE HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION IS THE APPLICANT IN A CASE IN THIS CASE. WE'LL CONSIDER MR. SADOWSKY'S PRESENTATION TO BE THAT OF THE APPLICANT AND WE'LL NOW HEAR FROM FOLKS IN SUPPORT OF THIS HISTORIC ZONING CASE. AND SO FAR I SEE NOBODY HAS SIGNED UP IN SUPPORT OF HISTORIC ZONING. WE NOW GO TO THOSE FOLKS IN OPPOSITION. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IN OPPOSITION, CARLOS TAMES. SORRY IF I'M MISPRONOUNCING IT.

I'M JIM NICE REPRESENTING THE PROPERTY OWNER. WE'D LIKE TO HAVE ME...

Mayor Wynn: FAIR ENOUGH.

I REPRESENT THE PROPERTY OWNERS, CARLOS AND AURORA. WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY ASK THE COUNCIL TO FOLLOW THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION, WHICH IS TO NOT DESIGNATE THIS HOUSE AS HISTORIC LANDMARK. WE ARE OPPOSED TO IT AND THE OWNERS HAVE -- IN YOUR BACKUP MATERIAL THERE'S PROBABLY A ONE SENTENCE LETTER WHICH I THINK HAS BEEN CHARACTERIZED AS A PROTEST FE TITION. WE FILED A MORE FORMAL PETITION WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND ZONING DEPARTMENT IN MARCH. IT'S NEVER SHOWN UP IN THE BACKUP MATERIAL, BUT I WOULD FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS HEARING LIKE TO PRESENT THE CITY CLERK WITH OUR FORMAL PROTEST PETITION, YOUR HONOR. THE FACT THAT THEY'VE DONE THIS IN THIS CASE, DOESN'T MEAN THAT MR. AND MRS. TAMES ARE OPPOSED TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION. IN FACT, IN THIS VERY NEIGHBORHOOD THEY OWN MR. -- MR.

TAMES OWNS ANOTHER STRUCTURE ON ENFIELD WHICH IS TRULY HISTORIC. IT WAS THE HOME OF MA AND PA FERGUSON WHO WERE GOVERNORS OF TEXAS. AND MR. TAMES SEVERAL YEARS AGO APPLIED FOR HISTORIC ZONING ON THAT HOUSE. HE'S FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION AS -- HISTORIC PRESERVATION AS MS. TAMES IS TOO, BUT THIS HOUSE SIMPLY DOES NOT WARRANT IT. SO WARRANT HISTORIC ZONING, SOMEONE HISTORIC TRULY HISTORIC SHOULD HAVE LIVED HERE AND THE BEST YOU'VE HEARD IS THAT SOMEONE WHO WAS VICE-PRESIDENT OF A BANK AND SOMEONE WHO WAS AN INSURANCE AGENT LIVED HERE. YOU COULD SAY THAT ABOUT ALMOST ANY NEIGHBORHOOD. VICE-PRESIDENTS OF BANKS AND INSURANCE AGENTS LIVE IN CIRCLE C. THAT DOESN'T MAKE THEIR HOMES HISTORIC. ALTERNATIVELY, SOME HISTORIC EVENT SHOULD HAVE HAPPENED HERE, AND THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF THAT. OR ALTERNATIVELY, THIS SHOULD BE AN EXTRAORDINARY ARCHITECTURAL SPECIMEN. IF YOU LOOK AT THREE SIDES OF THIS HOUSE, IT'S EXTRAORDINARILY ORDINARY. THERE'S NOTHING THAT WOULD LEAD ANYONE TO THINK LOOKING AT THREE SIDES THIS WOULD MERIT HISTORIC ZONING AT ALL. THERE'S ONLY ONE SIDE THAT IS KIND OF NICE. IT'S SAID IT MIGHT INCLUDE SOME ELEMENTS THAT WERE SALVAGED FROM THE DEMOLITION OF THE OLD MAIN BUILDING AT U.T., BUT NOBODY REALLY KNOWS THAT FOR SURE. AND THIS IS THE REASON, COUNCILMEMBERS, THAT THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION VOTED FIVE TO ONE AGAINST HISTORIC ZONING. IT REALLY JUST DOESN'T MEASURE UP, TRULY. I'D LIKE YOU TO UNDERSTAND THIS, THAT EVEN THE CHAIR OF THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION WAS AGAINST THIS HISTORIC ZONING CASE. THE CHAIR, AS YOU MAY KNOW, OF THAT COMMISSION WAS THE CITY'S HISTORIC ZONING -- THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER OF THE CITY FOR ABOUT 20 YEARS. SHE WAS A FORMER CITY STAFF MEMBER BE AND HELD MR. SADOWSKY'S JOB FOR ABOUT 20 YEARS AND EVEN SHE COULD NOT SEE THIS BEING ZONED HISTORIC. IT JUST DOESN'T MEET THE CRITERIA. IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE HOUSE IS FALLING APART. WE'VE ALREADY PROVIDED INFORMATION TO MOST OF YOU AND MR. SADOWSKY TOLD YOU IT WAS UNDERMIND, THE FOUNDATION WAS UNDERMINED BY THE PRIOR OWNER

BACK IN THE 60'S TRYING TO INSTALL A CENTRAL AIR AND HEAT SYSTEM. THE THING IS REALLY FALLING APART. IT IS REALLY CAVING IN. AND THAT'S WHY THE STAFF -- EVEN THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION WAS NOT TO TRY TO SAVE IT WHERE IT IS. THEIR ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION WAS TAKE IT APART PIECE BY PIECE AND MOVE IT ALL SOMEWHERE ON THE LOT AND PUT IT BACK TOGETHER PIECE BY PIECE. TCAD ALSO AGREES THIS HOUSE IS NOT SOLID. THEY HAVE NOW VALUED IT, THE IMPROVEMENTS, FOR SALVAGE VALUE ONLY. IT'S NOT GOING TO LAST AT ALL. BUT SPEAKING OF SALVAGE, AND THIS IS WHAT I THINK STEVE WAS TALKING ABOUT, MR. AND MRS. TAMES HAVE REPEATEDLY REPRESENTED THAT THEY WOULD -- WHEN THE HOUSE IS DEMOLISHED, THEY WOULD TRY THEIR BEST TO SAVE AS MANY ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES AS THEY CAN AND INCORPORATE THEM INTO THEIR NEW HOME OR GUEST HOME ON THE LOT, BUT THAT WOULD BE INCORPORATED INTO A NEW HOME THAT WILL BE STRUCTURALLY SAFE AND SOUND, AND ALSO ONE WHICH WE BELIEVE WILL FIT VERY WELL INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR SOME GLASS AND METAL THING THAT WOULDN'T FIT ON WINDSOR EAST. OUR ARCHITECT'S HERE. HE'LL DESCRIBE WHAT THEY'RE PLANNING TO DO LATER. AND YOU SHOULD KNOW THAT BECAUSE OF THE AREA THAT IT'S IN, THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, THAT THE PLANS FOR THE NEW HOUSE WILL GO BACK TO THE LANDMARK COMMISSION FOR THEIR REVIEW, EVEN THOUGH IT'S NOT ZONED HISTORIC, THE PLANS, BECAUSE OF THE AREA IT'S IN, WILL STILL GO BACK TO THE LANDMARK COMMISSION FOR ITS REVIEW. SO IT'S NOT LIKE THEY'RE JUST CUT LOSS TO DO ANYTHING THEY WANT. [BUZZER SOUNDS] IN CONCLUSION, THEY'VE BEEN HELD INVOLUNTARILY IN THIS CASE, MR. AND MRS. TAMES HAVE, FOR OVER SIX MONTHS NOW. IT'S BEEN A GREAT EMOTIONAL AND FINANCIAL HARDSHIP FOR THEM, PAYING ON THEIR PRESENT HOME, PLUS THIS ONE WHILE THEY'VE BEEN INVOLUNTARILY KEPT IN THIS PROCESS. AND THIS IS REALLY NOT THE WAY WE'VE DONE HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN AUSTIN TO PUT IT DOWN SOMEONE'S THROAT, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT REALLY DOESN'T MERIT IT. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OF ME ABOUT THE COVENANT OR PROPOSED COVENANT OR ANYTHING ELSE, I'D BE

WILLING TO TRY TO ANSWER THOSE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT, WE'LL HEAR NEXT FROM MR. TEXAS..... TAMES OR MRS. TAMES.

HI. IF YOU DON'T MIND I'M GOING TO HAVE MY WIFE PASS THIS OUT. I WANT YOU TO REFERENCE A COUPLE OF PICTURES REAL QUICK. BASICALLY I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT WE'RE PRETTY MUCH IN AGREEMENT HERE THAT THE -- THERE'S REALLY NO PROOF OF THE FACT THAT THIS HOUSE WAS PART OF THE OLD U.T. BUILDING. AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU PLEASE NOT TO DESIGNATE MY HOME HISTORIC BECAUSE IT DOES NOT MERIT THE HISTORIC DESIGNATION. JUST BASED OFF -- IF YOU LOOK ON PAGE 4, BASED OFF OF MR. SADOWSKY'S REPORT YOU CAN SEE THAT IN 1935 THE PERSON THAT BUILT THE HOME LIVED AT THE ALAMO HOTEL. THEY DIDN'T EVEN LIVE THERE. AFTER THAT THE OWNER WAS A WHOLESALE GROCERY STORE OWNER. FROM 1940 ON HE WAS A VICE-PRESIDENT OF A LOCAL BANK. NOW, I'M A VICE-PRESIDENT OF A LOCAL BANK AND I DON'T EXPECT MY HOME TO BE DESIGNATED HISTORIC. SO PLEASE DON'T DO THIS AGAIN. BEYOND THAT, PAGE NUMBER FIVE -- I'M GOING QUICKLY BECAUSE I KNOW TIME IS LIMITED. PAGE NUMBER FIVE I HAVE A LETTER FROM THE FAMILY OF THE PREVIOUS OWNERSEST HOME S OF THE HOME SAYING THAT THEY SUPPORT DEMOLITION OF THE HOME. AFTER THAT WE HAVE SIGNED PETITIONS FROM MY NEIGHBORS, INCLUDING WE HAVE SUPPORT OF EVERY SINGLE ADJACENT NEIGHBOR, IN ADDITION TO SOME OTHER NEIGHBORS IN THE AREA. AND THEN ONCE YOU GET PAST THE PAGE NUMBER -- I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT MR. SADOWSKY IS SAYING THAT POSSIBLY SOME OF THE ELEMENTS OF THIS HOUSE MIGHT HAVE COME FROM THE OLD MAIN BUILDING AT U.T., ALTHOUGH THERE'S NO PROOF. I WANT TO SHOW YOU IF YOU WOULD PLEASE TURN TO PAGE 15, AND I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT PAGE 15, AND THEY'RE CLAIMING THAT THESE BRACKETS CAME FROM THE OLD TOWER, FROM THE OLD BUILDING. NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THE HOUSE, ON PAGE 17, THE SAME CAL BALCONY THAT THEY'RE BALKING ABOUT ON PAGE 17 YOU CAN SEE THAT CLEARLY IT'S NOT -- IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH IT. NOT ONLY THIS BALCONY BY LOOKING AT THE CONCRETE AND THE WIRE BEHIND IT, IT

WAS BUILT IN PLACE, AND IT HAS FOUR SUPPORTS VERSUS THREE SUPPORTS ON THEIR PICTURE. AND YOU CAN'T TELL ME THAT FROM THIS PICTURE THIS FAR AWAY THAT YOU CAN SAY THAT THIS WAS -- THIS IS PAGE 15. THAT YOU COME TO ANY CONCLUSION THAT THIS WAS ORIGINALLY IN THE U.T. BUILDING AND NOW IT'S IN MY HOME. ASIDE FROM THAT, THERE'S -- THE HOUSE IS FALLING APART. WE'VE HAD STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS GO THROUGH. IN THE '60S'S THEY WERE TRYING TO PUT CENTRAL AC AND HEAT. THERE'S PICTURES HERE, I'LL LET THE ARCHITECT TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS, BUT THEY ACTUALLY DUG A TUNNEL THROUGH THE HOUSE IN THE BASEMENT AND THAT'S WHERE THEY TRIED TO PUT THE AC UNITS AND OLDER PIPES, AND THE HOUSE IS NOW COLLAPSING. [BUZZER SOUNDS] IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS FOR MR. TAMES, COUNCIL? THANK YOU, SIR. AND LET'S SEE, WE'LL NOW EITHER HEAR FROM AURORA OR JAY COLUMBO.

GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS JAY, I REPRESENT THE ARCHITECTS. ACTUALLY TALKING WITH CHARLIE AND AURORA ABOUT THEIR HOUSE, THEY ASKED US TO DESIGN IT PRETTY MUCH IN THE SAME AESTHETIC THAT THE HOUSE -- THAT'S WHY THEY BOUGHT THE HOUSE. THEY REALLY, REALLY LIKED THE APPEARANCE AND RIGHT NOW WE'RE GETTING THIS IMPRESSION THAT THEY WANT TO BUILD SOMETHING THAT'S JUST COMPLETELY OUT OF CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, AND THAT IS NOT WHAT WE WERE CONTRACTED TO DO ORIGINALLY WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE HOUSE. WE LOOKED AT HOW WE COULD MODIFY IT AND BASICALLY KEEP THE HOUSE, BUT DUE TO THE STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS, THE EXCAVATION THAT OCCURRED UNDERNEATH THE HOUSE HAS SEVERELY DAMAGED IT TO THE POINT WHERE IF WE TRIED TO SAVE IT, I THINK IT WOULD JUST MAKE EVERYBODY COMPLETELY MISERABLE. SO I THINK IN ADDRESSING THAT, THEY'RE TRYING TO IMPROVE WE'RE GOING TO TEAR THIS DOWN TO MAKE SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFERENT, THIS IS NOT NECESSARILY TRUE. IF WE LOOK AT OTHER PARTS ON THE BUILDING, LIKE WHAT THEY'RE SUGGESTING THAT IT'S ACTUALLY MADE OUT OF OLD MAIN BUILDING PARTS, I'VE BEEN TO THE SITE, I'VE LOOKED AT IT, I'VE BEEN THERE

WITH STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS AND I DON'T SEE THAT THESE ARE THE SAME PARTS. THESE ARE CAST IN PLACE PARTS. THEY WEREN'T ACTUALLY BUILT SOMEWHERE ELSE AND THEN BROUGHT HERE AND REASSEMBLED. ACTUALLY, STEVE SADOWSKY SUGGESTED THAT EUGENE JORNG, A U.T. PROFESSOR, HAD LOOKED AT IT AND SAID POSSIBLY IT'S THE SAME PARTS, BUT ACTUALLY HE'S NEVER BEEN TO THE HOUSE, SO I WOULD MAYBE SUGGEST THAT IF HE WAS GOING TO MAKE AN OPINION SUCH AS THAT THAT MAYBE HE WOULD ACTUALLY VISIT THE HOUSE FIRST. IF YOU LOOK AT CERTAIN -- ACTUALLY, IT'S PRETTY WELL DOCUMENTED IN THE PHOTOGRAPHS. YOU CAN SEE THAT WHEN THEY TRIED TO RETROFIT THE HVAC UNDERNEATH THE HOUSE, INSTEAD OF ACTUALLY PROVIDING DUCTS INSIDE, IT WAS DESIGNED AS A SLAB ON GRADE BUILDING WHERE THE SLAB IS COMPLETELY SUPPORTED BY SOIL. WELL, THAT SOIL HAS BEEN REMOVED TO PROVIDE DUCT WORK. AND WHAT'S HAPPENING IS EACH ROOM IS STARTING TO CROWN. THE SHEER WEIGHT OF THE BUILDING AND THE STONE IS CAUSING IT TO ACTUALLY SINK. SO EVERY ROOM IS BUCKLING. AND YOU'LL SEE FROM OTHER PHOTOGRAPHS DEMONSTRATING FROM PAGE 17..... PAGE 17 THROUGH 47, 50 PAGES OF PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWING THE STRESS FRACTURES WITHIN THE HOUSE. SO IN ESSENCE, YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD EVERYTHING. IF YOU HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR ME?

QUESTIONS FOR MR. COLUMBO? COMMENTS?
COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I WAS WONDERING IF THERE WAS THE PRESERVATION OF THE FACADE, DO YOU HAVE AN ESTIMATE MAIGHTS OF HOW MUCH THAT WOULD COST YOU IN ADDITION TO THE ACTUAL PROJECT ITSELF AS FAR AS DEMOLISHING? DO HAVE YOU AN IDEA ON THAT PORTION?

SPECIFICALLY NO, WE DO NOT. WE'VE ACTUALLY HAD TWO CONTRACTORS GO OUT TO THE HOUSE, ASSESS THE CURRENT CONDITION, JUST EVALUATING IT STRUCTURALLY, BUT ALSO KIND OF BALLPARKING. WITHOUT COMPLETE SETS OF DRAWINGS, AS BUILT DRAWINGS, IT'S HARD FOR THEM TO PHYSICALLY COME UP WITH AN EXACT NUMBER, BUT FOR THE MOST PART THE TWO THAT WE HAD GO OUT THERE,

THE CONTRACTORS THAT IS, THEY WERE UNWILLING TO ACTUALLY EVEN ESTIMATE BECAUSE IT WAS SO FAR BEYOND WHAT THEY COULD KIND OF JUDGE BASED UPON WHAT THEY COULD PHYSICALLY SEE. THEY JUST SAID TA IT WOULD BE A -- THEY JUST SAID THAT IT WOULD BE A LABOR OF LOVE IS THE EXACT QUOTE.

VERY EXPENSIVE.

YEAH. BECAUSE AT THAT POINT YOU WOULD HAVE TO BASICALLY BE IN LOVE WITH THE HOUSE AND JUST BE WILLING TO SPEND WHATEVER IT TOOK TO FIX IT BECAUSE IT'S KIND OF IN THAT STATE OF A CONDITION.

...

Kim: BUT DID YOU ASK THE CONTRACTORS, INSTEAD OF FIXING IT UP, KEEPING THE FACADE AND INCORPORATING THAT INTO YOUR NEW DESIGN?

THAT WAS ACTUALLY BROUGHT UP JUST RECENTLY. WE STARTED BACK IN JANUARY AS IT WAS MENTIONED, AND THE ACTUAL PROPOSAL TO SAVE JUST THIS ONE FACADE AND RECONSTRUCT THE BACK SIDE OF THE HOUSE WAS ACTUALLY JUST ABOUT MAYBE TWO WEEKS AGO. WE HAVEN'T EVEN EXPLORED THAT IDEA OF JUST KEEPING THAT ONE PIECE. I WOULD IMAGINE THAT IF WE WERE TO TRY TO PRESERVE JUST THE FACADE AND TEAR DOWN THE BACK SIDE OF THE HOUSE, THE WEIGHT OF THE STONE AND EXISTING STRUCTURE, IT'S NOT YOUR CONVENTIONAL HOUSE WHERE IT'S MADE OUT OF WOOD AND IT'S CLAD IN STONE. IT IS A CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE. THE WALLS ARE THICK MASONRY. IF YOU REMOVE THE STRUCTURE, THE DIAPHRAGM, THE FLOOR STRUCTURE, IT WOULD -- IT HAS THE POTENTIAL OF COLLAPSING ON ITSELF. SO THERE WOULD BE A LOT OF EFFORT TO SORT OF SUPPORT JUST A THIN FACADE TO RECONSTRUCT THE BUILDING BEHIND IT.

Kim: SO THERE'S NO REAL WAY TO SECURE THAT FACADE WHILE YOU'RE GOING THROUGH THE DEMOLITION PROCESS?

THERE'S ALWAYS A WAY, IT'S JUST HOW MUCH WOULD IT

COST. YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING? RIGHT NOW THE MAIN FLOOR IS A CONCRETE SLAB AND IT'S PHYSICALLY TIED INTO THAT FRONT FACADE. AND TO REMOVE THE FLOOR, YOU WOULD HAVE TO BE CUTTING THE FACADE FREE TO BASICALLY START FROM SCRATCH. SO THAT IS THE TWO-STORY STRUCTURE. MAYBE A FOOT, FOOT AND A HALF THICK, AND EXPECTING IT TO NOT FALL OR A PORTION OF IT TO FALL, IT WOULD BE AN UNDERTAKING.

Kim: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? THANK YOU, SIR. AND MS. TAMES, IF YOU WOULD CARE TO ADDRESS US, YOU MAY DO SO NOW. WELCOME. UP TO THREE INCIDENTS. WELCOME. -- UP TO THREE MINUTES. WELCOME.

I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME IN LISTEN K TO US. I JUST WANT TO GIVE A QUICKTORY.... STORY ABOUT THE HOUSE. WE BOUGHT THE HOUSE ABOUT EIGHT, NINE MONTHS AGO. WE FELL IN LOVE WITH IT AND I IMMEDIATELY CALLED JAY WHO I WENT TO COLLEGE WITH AND I SAID JAY, I WANT TO BUY THIS HOUSE. IT LOOKS JUST LIKE THE BUILDING WE STUDIED TOGETHER. WE STUDIED ABROAD IN ITALY. SO I WAS VERY EXCITED. I DRIVE MY HUSBAND ALONG AND SHOWED HIM THE HOUSE AND TOLD HIM THAT WAS OUR DREAM HOUSE AND WE HAD TO HAVE IT. AND SO WE HAVE A GENERAL INSPECTOR AND EVERYTHING WAS FINE AND WE PURCHASED THE HOUSE. AND AS SOON AS WE BROUGHT THE ARCHITECTURE FIRM IN, THE NEWS JUST KEPT GETTING WORSE AND WORSE ABOUT THE FOUNDATION AND ABOUT WHAT THEY COULDN'T DO. AND UNFORTUNATELY BY THIS TIME, WE HAD ALREADY SPENT A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY ON PLANS TO REMODEL THAT HOUSE. SO THEY'RE SAYING WE CAN'T USE THESE PLANS AND DON'T EVEN THINK THIS WAY. IT'S UNREASONABLE FOR YOU TO EXPECT TO BE ABLE TO REMODEL IT IF YOU HAVE TO PAY FOR ALL OF THE STRUCTURAL DAMAGE ON THE FOUNDATION. AND SO AT THAT POINT IS WHEN WE PUT IN THE PETITION TO DEMOLISH, AND AT THAT POINT AS WELL WE WENT AROUND TO ALL THE NEIGHBORS BECAUSE WE LIVE ABOUT A MILE DOWN THE ROAD. AND WE SAID, YOU KNOW, WE'RE THINKING OF KEEPING THE HOUSE AND THESE WERE OUR PLANS AND WE JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW

AND SEE HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT THIS. AND WE DON'T WANT TO DO ANYTHING CRAZY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND ALL OUR NEIGHBORS WERE VERY SUPPORTIVE AND THEY WERE VERY APPRECIATIVE OF THE FACT THAT WE ACTUALLY CAME AND TALKED TO THEM. AND WHEN WE PUT IN FOR THE DEMOLITION, THAT WAS WHEN IT WAS ASSIGNED HISTORIC. AND FOR US IT'S JUST BEEN SEVERAL MONTHS AND, AGAIN, WE'RE PRO PRESERVATION. WE DIDN'T ACTUALLY INTEND TO DEMOLISH THE HOUSE ORIGINALLY, BUT WHEN THEY DUG THAT ROOM -- IT'S A WHOLE ROOM THAT'S UNDER THE HOUSE. IT'S NOT JUST A TUNNEL. I DANT CAN'T GIVE YOU A SIZE. IT'S ACTUALLY THIS ROOM OF MUD THAT THEY DUG UNDER THE NORTH SIDE OF THE HOUSE AND THAT'S WHY THE HOUSE IS JUST FALLING APART. AND SO I JUST WANT THE COUNCIL TO KNOW IN A WE ARE GOING TO TRY TO PRESERVE IT AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE AND WE DON'T INTEND TO DO ANYTHING OUT OF CHARACTER. WE JUST WANT TO LIVE THERE AND HAVE A FAMILY THERE AND IT'S BEEN REALLY HARD FOR US BECAUSE WE'VE HAD OVERALL FIVE POSTPONEMENT. WE UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S JUST THE SYSTEM AND WE'RE TRYING TO GET EVERYTHING DONE, BUT IT'S KIND OF EXPENSIVE FOR US. SO THAT'S KIND OF THE STORY.

Mayor Wynn: MR. SADOWSKY, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU NEED TO REBUT OR NOT, BUT YOU'RE WILLING TO DO SO.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. ACTUALLY, I HAVE NOTHING TO REBUT, BUT I DID MISSPEAK. IN OUR EXPERTS IN AUSTIN, I ATTRIBUTED THE STATEMENTS TO EUGENE GEORGE. ACTUALLY, IT WAS PROFESSOR BLAKE ALEXANDER THAT MADE THOSE STATEMENTS.

Mayor Wynn: FAIR ENOUGH. SO COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? MOTION? AGAIN, WHETHER IT'S TECH OAK HILL OR NOT -- TECHNICAL OR NOT, MY ADVICE TWHOOB WE CONSIDER THIS LETTER THAT WE HAVE A COPY OF AS CERTIFICATION OF THE VALID PETITION IN OPPOSITION TO THIS HISTORIC ZONING CASE, SO THEREFORE IT WOULD TAKE A SUPER MAJORITY OF SIX AFFIRMATIVE VOTES FOR US TO ZONE THIS HISTORIC OVER THE VALID OPPOSITION OF THE OWNER. COMMENTS? MAYOR PRO TEM.

Dunkerley: I WOULD MOVE TO DENY THE HISTORIC ZONING ON ITEM NUMBER 49.

SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM DUNKERLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TO DENY THIS HISTORIC ZONING CASE, ITEM 49. COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I THINK THE STRUCTURE HAS A LOT OF SIGNIFICANCE FOR THE NEIGHBORS BEING RIGHT THERE ON PEASE PARK AND I KNOW YOU'RE RESIDENTS OF THAT NEIGHBORHOOD AND IT'S REALLY TOO BAD THAT YOU'RE NOT ABLE TO SAVE THE STRUCTURE. IT SOUND LIKE YOU REALLY FELL IN LOVE WITH IT AND WANTED TO KEEP IT, BUT UNFORTUNATELY THAT DIDN'T TURN OUT TO BE FEASIBLE FOR YOU. AND I'M JUST CURIOUS IF THERE'S SOME WAY THAT YOU CAN WORK WITH YOUR NEIGHBORS TO PRESERVE THE ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION, MAYBE NORNTING SOME OF THE -- MAYBE INCORPORATING SOME OF THE MASONRY BECAUSE IT REALLY DOES HAVE A BIT OF HISTORY. AND ALSO IT'S JUST VERY FASCINATING ARCHITECTURALLY FOR THAT AREA.

AGAIN, A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE TO DENY HISTORIC ZONING. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION TO DOUGH NIGH PASSES ON A VOTE -- MOTION TO DENY PASSES ON A SOCIETY OF SEVEN TO ZERO. WE'RE NOW ON TO OUR 5:30 BREAK FOR LIVE MUSIC AND PROCLAMATIONS. GINA CHAVEZ IS OUR MUSICIAN. WE'LL HEAR FROM GINA AND THEN HAVE PROCLAMATIONS. WE ARE NOW IN RECESS.
LEONIDAS LEENDZ

I COULD HAVE YOUR ATTENTION. IT'S TIME FOR OUR WEEKLY LIVE MUSIC GIG AT THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL. PERFORMING IS JIMMY CHAVEZ. GINA'S MUSIC IS MOST COMMONLY THOUGHT OF AS FOLK BUT INCLUDES JS JS RESPECT, BLUS, ROCK AND LATINO MUSIC. SHE PERFORMED WITH JUNIOR HIGH AND HIGH SCHOOL CHOICE. TODAY SHE COULD BE SEEN PLAYING WITH HER OWN BAND AT VENUES SUCH AS MOMO, SAXON PUBLIC ON SOUTH LAMAR AS WELL AS THE

CHRISTIAN GROUP BEFORE THE THRONE. GINA JUST
RELEASED A CD TITLED HANGING SPOONS. PLEASE JOIN ME
IN WELCOMING GINA CHAVEZ. [(music) SINGING (music)]

SUMMERTIME, AND THE LIVING IS EASY. FISH ARE JUMPING
AND THE COTTON IS HIGH. YOUR DADDY IS RICH AND YOUR
MAMA IS GOOD-LOOKING. SO HUSH, LITTLE BABY, DON'T,
DON'T YOU CRY. (music) (music) [(music) SINGING (music)] [(music) SINGING (music)] (music) [(music) SINGING (music)]
[APPLAUSE] WELL DONE. IN ADDITION TO MOMO'S WHERE
ELSE CAN WE SEE YOU AROUND TOWN? WHERE ELSE CAN
WE GET A CD? DO YOU HAVE A WEB PAGE? [INAUDIBLE] I
THINK I'VE GOT A GIG ON THE 24TH, IT'S A FRIDAY, I WANT TO
SAY, AND THAT'S AT CLEMTINES, A LITTLE COFFEE BAR.
THAT'S THE AUGUST SCHEDULE.

GINA CHAVEZ.COM, AND BEFORE SHE GETS AWAY. WE HAVE
AN OFFICIAL PROCLAMATION. WE'VE CHANGED IT AFTER
ABOUT TEN YEARS AND WE READ THE SAME ONE EVERY
WEEK. BEAR WITH ME. PROCLAMATION READS, BE IT KNOWN
THAT WHEREAS THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS IS BLESSED
WITH MANY CREATIVE MUSICIANS WHOSE TALENT EXTENDS
TO EVERY MUSICAL JOHN REAND WHEREAS OUR MUSIC
SCENE THROIFs BECAUSE AUSTIN SUPPORTS GOOD MUSIC
PRODUCED BY LEGENDS AND LOCAL MUSICIANS AND THE
LIKE, WE'RE PLEASED TO SHOWCASE OUR LOCAL ARTISTS,
THEREFORE I, WILL WYNN, MAYOR OF THE LIVE MUSIC
CAPITAL OF THE WORLD DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AUGUST 9,
2007 AS GINA CHAVEZ DAY IN AUSTIN AND PLEASE JOIN ME
IN CONGRATULATING THIS FINE YOUNG TALENT. [APPLAUSE]
GENRE

SO WHILE GINA BREAKS DOWN ON THAT SIDE OF THE ROOM
WE'LL USE THIS PODIUM TO BEGIN WEEKLY PROCLAMATIONS
AND THIS IS WHERE WE TAKE A FEW MINUTES EACH WEEK
TO SAY THANK YOU OR CONGRATULATIONS TO FOLKS TO
RAISE AWARENESS ABOUT THINGS AROUND TOWN AND IT'S
A GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR CITIZENS TO BE MORE AWARE
AND MORE INVOLVED SOME GREAT THINGS HAPPENING
AROUND TOWN. OUR FIRST PROCLAMATION IS ABOUT THE
CITY'S MEET THE LENDER FAIR AND I'LL READ THE
PROCLAMATION AND THEN ROSY JALIFI FROM OUR SMALL
BUSINESS DEPARTMENT WILL TALK ABOUT THE FAIR. THE

PROCLAMATION READS, ACCESS TO CAPITAL IS THE NUMBER ONE BUSINESS NEED FOR ENTREPRENEURS WANTING TO START OR EXPAND A BUSINESS, BUT SEEKING COMMERCIAL LOANS AND DISCUSSING THE FINANCES CAN BE AN INTIMIDATING EXPERIENCE, AND WHEREAS THE CITY'S FOURTH ANNUAL MEET THE LENDER BUSINESS LOAN FAIR AIMS TO GIVE SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS THE OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN LEARN ABOUT THE LOAN PROCESS AND MEET THE LENDERS FOR MORE THAN 42 EXHIBITORS IN AN ENVIRONMENT AND THE CITY'S SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SEEKS TO ENSURE THAT SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS ARE AWARE OF THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO THEM SO THEY CAN HAVE ADEQUATE CAPITAL TO GET THEIR BUSINESSES OFF THE GROUND. NOW, THEREFORE, I, WILL WYNN, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AUGUST 14, 2007 AS THE MEET THE LENDER FAIR DAY HERE IN AUSTIN, AND ASK MS. ROSY JALIFI FROM OUR DEPARTMENT TO COME SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT THE FAIR. ROSIE?

THANK YOU, MAYOR. I WOULD LIKE TO PERSONALLY INVITE THE VIEWING AUDIENCE THIS EVENING TO ATTEND OUR FOURTH ANNUAL MEET THE LENDER BUSINESS LOAN FAIR. THE GOAL OF MEET THE LEARNED IS TO CONNECT BUSINESS OWNERS TO LENDERS, THAT IS, PEOPLE WHO CAN MAKE LOANS, COMMERCIAL LOANS TO AUSTIN'S BUSINESSES. WE HAVE MORE THAN -- WELL, WE HAVE 42... 42 EXHIBITORS. SEVEN OF THEM ARE NEW SO EACH YEAR IT GETS TO GROW AND GROW THE EVENT. THIS YEAR WE'D..... WE'LL HAVE TWO WORKSHOPS IN ADDITION TO THE EXHIBIT HAUL. ONE OF THE WORKSHOPS IS GOING TO BE FOCUSED ON UNDERSTANDING THE COMMERCIAL LOAN PROCESS. THE SECOND ONE IS GETTING YOUR FINANCIALS READY FOR A COMMERCIAL LOAN. THE EVENT WILL HAPPEN TUESDAY, AUGUST THE 14TH, FROM 4:00 P.M. TO 8:00 P.M. AT PARMER EVENTS. IT IS FREE. THERE IS A FEE FOR PARKING AT PALMER WERE YOU THE EVENT ITSELF IS FREE. I'D LIKE TO ALSO END MY COMMENTS BY PUBLICLY THANKING MAYOR WYNN AND OUR COUNCIL MEMBERS, TOBY FOOT, DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR SUE EDWARDS FOR CONTINUED SUPPORT AND INTEREST IN HELPING AUSTIN'S SMALL BUSINESSES. I NEVER TIRE OF SAYING THAT SMALL

BUSINESSES ARE BIG BUSINESS IN AUSTIN. ALSO LOOK WIEK LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE SOME OF THE BUSINESS STAFF THAT IS HERE TONIGHT AND WORKING VERY HARD IN MAKING TUESDAY'S EVENT A BIG EVENT FOR SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS. SO COME AND SEE US. IF YOU WANT MORE INFORMATION CALL 974-7800. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]
TOBY FUTRELL

MAYOR WYNN: OKAY. SO SPEAKING OF SMALL BUSINESS -- BY THE WAY, SO AUSTIN IS A DISPROPORTIONATELY MEASURABLE SMALL BUSINESS TOWN. THE NATIONAL AVERAGE IS THAT 75% OF ALL AMERICANS, EMPLOYED AMERICANS, WORK FOR COMPANIES WITH LESS THAN 50 EMPLOYEES, AND AUSTIN HAS SOME FABULOUS MAJOR EMPLOYERS HERE IN TOWN, BUT 84% OF EMPLOYED AUSTINITES WORK FOR COMPANIES WITH LESS THAN 50 PEOPLE. SO WE TAKE OUR SMALL BUSINESSES SERIOUSLY. MEANWHILE SOME OF YOU MAY KNOW THAT U.S. ECONOMY LAST YEAR GREW AT 1.9%. THE TEXAS ECONOMY, WHICH ALWAYS OUTPERFORMS THE U.S., GREW AT 2.7% AND AUSTIN'S ECONOMY GREW AT 4.1%, FAR AND AWAY THE FASTEST GROWING METRO ECONOMY IN THE U.S. AND OF COURSE WHAT THAT MEANS IS THE VAST MAJORITY OF THOSE JOBS CREATED, 35,000 NEW JOBS LAST YEAR, ARE WITH SMALL BUSINESSES SO WE TAKE PROGRAMS LIKE ROSIE'S AND OUR SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SERIOUSLY BUT ALSO THINGS LIKE EVERY CHANCE WE GET TO PROMOTE OUR CREATIVE TALENT HERE IN THIS TOWN, MUSIC, FILM, GAMING, DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT, HOW THAT'S COMING TOGETHER, AND THE ROLE THAT'S GOING TO PLAY WITH OUR ONGOING ECONOMY. BECAUSE OUR NEXT PROCLAMATION IS ABOUT HOME MOVIE DAY SO I'LL READ THE PROCLAMATION AND SNOWDEN BECKER WILL TALK ABOUT HOW EASY IT IS TO SHOW OFF OUR SMALL AMATEUR FILMMAKING TALENTS. THE PROCLAMATION READS, THE CENTER FOR HOME MOVIES IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE AUSTIN HISTORY CENTER AND AUSTIN FILM FESTIVAL AND SEVERAL UT ORGANIZATIONS CELEBRATES HOME MOVIE DAY TO PROMOTE THE PRESERVATION OF AMATEUR FILMS AND WHEREAS THE EVENT WORKS TO RAISE AWARENESS OF THE IMPORTANCE OF HOME MOVIES AND THEIR HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL

FUNCTIONS IN SOCIETY, TO HIGHLIGHT CURRENT PRESERVATION EFFORTS AND TO PROVIDE A FORUM FOR DISCUSSION DISCUSSING PRESERVATION ISSUES IN AUSTIN, AND WHEREAS WE'RE PLEASED TO RECOGNIZE AND SUPPORT THE PEOPLE AND PROGRAMS DEDICATED TO DOCUMENTING AND PRESERVING OUR CULTURAL HERITAGE FOR THE EVEN..... ENRICHMENT OF ALL TEXANS. I, WILL WYNN, MAYOR OF AUSTIN, HERE BY PROCLAIM AUGUST 12, 2007 AS HOME MOVIE DAY IN AUSTIN AND ASK SNOWDEN BECKER TO TALK ABOUT HOME MOVIES AND HOW YOU CAN MAKE BETTER USES OF YOUR MOVIES.

THANK YOU, MAYOR WYNN AND THANK EVERYBODY FOR HELPING MAKE SUNDAY AUSTIN'S MOVIE DAY OFFICIALLY. WITH THIS EVENT IT BECOMES MORE THAN ONE OF 60 CITIES WORLDWIDE IN CELEBRATING OUR AUDIO VISUAL HERITAGE. HOME MOVIES ARE A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF OUR HISTORICAL RECORD OF THE 20TH CENTURY BUT THEY'RE A PART OF THE HISTORICAL RECORD THAT COULD EASILY BE LOST IF WE DON'T TAKE STEPS NOW TO PRESERVE IT. OUR COMMUNITY IS WORKING TOGETHER TO ACCOMPLISH THIS. AMONG THE MANY LOCAL INSTITUTIONS WHO INVEST IN OUR MOTION PICTURE HERITAGE ARE THE AUSTIN HISTORY CENTER, THE AUSTIN FILM FESTIVAL, THE CENTER FOR AMERICAN HISTORY, THE KILL GARLIN CENTER FOR THE PRESERVATION OF CULTURAL RECORD, THE TEXAS ARCHIVE IMAGE, AND THE HARRY RANSOM CENTER AT UT. AND OF COURSE MANY AUSTINITES, I HOPE SOME OF YOU WILL BE AMONG THEM, WILL CONTRIBUTE BY BRINGING YOUR OWN FAMILY FILMS TO SHARE AT THE EVENT ON SUNDAY. IT WILL BE FROM 2 TO 6:00 P.M. AT THE BOYD ADVANCE THEATER AT THE GEORGE WASHINGTON CARVER MUSEUM AT 1165 ANGELINA STREET IN WEST AUSTIN. IT'S FREE AND OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AND ALL ARE ENCOURAGED TO BRING HOME MOVIES ON 8 MILLIMETERS, SUPER 8 AND EVEN DVD AND VHS TO BE ASSESSED, EVALUATED BY HOME MOVIES AND FILM ARCHIVISTS AND TO BE GIVEN TIPS AND POINTERS ON HOW YOU CAN KEEP THESE FAMILY HEIRLOOMS AROUND LONG ENOUGH TO BECOME HISTORICAL ARTIFACTS. HOME MOVIES FROM AUSTIN SHOWS THAT HISTORY DOESN'T JUST HAPPEN TO FAMOUS PEOPLE IN FAMOUS PLACES. IT HAPPENS HERE AND IT HAPPENS TO ALL OF US. THANKS AND

HAPPY MOAM MOVIE HAPPY -- HOME MOVIE DAY. LINES CLUB

GET THESE GOOD-LOOKING FACES ON TV.

MAYOR WYNN: OKAY. OFNL I'M SURRENDERED BY LIONS BECAUSE THE NEXT PROCLAMATION IS REGARDING THE 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE CAPITAL CITY LIONS CLUB AND AFTER I READ THE PROCLAMATION WE'LL HEAR FROM DR. CHARLES AKINS, PERHAPS OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ORGANIZATION. PROCLAMATION READS, 40 YEARS AGO, 56 COMMUNITY MINDED MEN INITIATED THE FOUNDING OF THE AUSTIN CAPITAL CITY LIONS CLUB, THE FIRST PREDOMINANTLY AFRICAN CLUB. IN WHICH THEY HAVE GIVEN GENEROUSLY OF TIME, TALL HE WANT TO SUPPORT SUCH CAUSES AS THE EAST AUSTIN YOUTH FOUNDATION, LITTLE LESION, PARTNERS IN INDICATION, SALVATION ARMY, RED CROSS AND AUSTIN AREA URBAN LEAGUE AND WHEREAS THE AUSTIN LIONS HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN STATE, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LIONS CLUB PROGRAMS AND SERVED AS ZONE AND DISTRICT OFFICERS, CONVENTION CHAIRS AND ON MANY DIFFERENT COMMITTEES. THEREFORE, I WILL WYNN, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN TEXAS, AM PLEASED TO CONGRATULATE THE AUSTIN CAPITAL CITY LIONS ON THEIR 40TH ANNIVERSARY AND THANK THEM FOR THEIR SERVICE TO OUR COMMUNITY AND DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AUGUST 18, 2007 AS THE CAPITAL CITY LIONS CLUB DAY IN AUSTIN. PLEASE JOIN ME IN THANKING, CONGRATULATING THESE FINE, FINE GENTLEMEN. [APPLAUSE]

MAYOR WYNN, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS PROCLAMATION. WE ARE SO PLEASED TO STILL BE HERE AFTER 40 YEARS. CAPITAL CITY LIONS CLUB OF COURSE HAS TRIED TO UPHOLD THE MUSCLE OF LIONS INTERNATIONAL WE SERVE, THE MOTTO, THAT IS, A COMMITMENT THAT THOSE MEN MADE 40 YEARS AGO AND THOSE WHO ARE IN OUR CLUB PRESENTLY, WE CONTINUE TO ADHERE TO THAT, AND SO OF COURSE WE PLAN TO CONTINUE OUR SERVICE. WE WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO MAYOR WYNN AND TO THE MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL, COAL, AND ALL OF THE SCOAB PERSONS IN THE ENTIRE CITY ADMINISTRATION FOR YOUR GOOD WORK ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US, AND WE SAY THANK YOU. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO PRESENT OUR VERY ABLE

PRESIDENT, PRESIDENT LION WALKER WHO WANTS TO
ISSUE AN INVITATION. PRESIDENT WALKER.

THANK YOU. MAYOR WYNN AND OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS,
AS PART OF OUR CELEBRATION, THE CLUB IS HOSTING A
RECEPTION AT THE CARVER BRANCH LIBRARY ON SUNDAY,
AUGUST THE 19TH, STARTING AT 3:00 P.M., AND WE'D LIKE TO
EXTEND A PERSONAL INVITATION TO YOU AND THE COUNCIL
MEMBERS AND EVERYONE IN THE AUDIENCE. PLEASE COME
AND FELLOWSHIP WITH US AS WE END OUR CELEBRATION.
THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS RECOGNITION.

PROUD TO DO THAT.

ALL RIGHT. [APPLAUSE] HONOR'

MAYOR WYNN: EVERYBODY IS COMING UP HERE. WELCOME.
HOW ARE YOU? CICCOLELLA

MAYOR WYNN: OKAY. THIS IS A VERY -- MY FINAL AND VERY
SPECIAL PROCLAMATION. WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT DOING
THIS FOR QUITE SOME TIME, ESPECIALLY BEGINNING LAST
YEAR WHEN WE CELEBRATED AND RECOGNIZED THE 40TH
ANNIVERSARY OF THE UT TOWER INCIDENT. SO, IN FACT,
THIS PAST AUGUST 1, JUST LAST WEEK, MARKED THE 41ST
ANNIVERSARY OF THAT, YOU KNOW, TRAGEDY THERE AT
THE TOWER AT UT. BUT WHAT WE WANT TO DO, AND WE
TALKED ABOUT DOING THIS, IS WE WANT TO HONOR NOT
ONLY POLICE OFFICERS BUT THE MANY CIVILIANS WHO
WERE INSTRUMENTAL IN ENDING MR. WHITE MAN'S RAM....
RAMPAGE AND HELPING THE WOUNDED ON THE CAMPUS
AND EVEN INSIDE THE TOWER. THE CIVILIANS INCLUDE AN
AIRPLANE PILOT WHO CIRCLED THE TOWER WITH AN ARMED
OFFICER TO TRY TO END THE ATTACK FROM ABOVE, AN
ELEVATOR REPAIRMAN WHOSE KNOWLEDGE OF THE UT
TOWER ENABLED HIM TO GUIDE EMPLOYERS POLICE
OFFICERS THROUGH THE TOWER, AND SOMEONE WHO LED
THEM THROUGH A TUNNEL TO THE ENTRANCE OF THE
TOWER. OBVIOUSLY IT BEING 41 YEARS AGO NOW WE HAVE
LOST A NUMBER OF OUR HEROES, OUR FELLOW CITIZENS,
ORDINARY CITIZENS WHO ARE HEROIC THAT DAY AND
HELPED OUT. SO WE HAVE SOME IN MEMORIAM
CERTIFICATES AND I BELIEVE EACH OF THE PEOPLE WE ARE

HONORING HAVE SOMEBODY HERE ACCEPTING THEIR MEMORIAM CERTIFICATE, AND THEN WE HAVE A HANDFUL OF HOABS WHO ARE WITH US WHO WERE THERE THAT DAY AND PLAYED A HEROIC ROLE. SO MY INSTINCT IS THAT SORT OF PROTOCOL WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE TAKE OUR IN MEMORIAM CERTIFICATES FIRST, CERTIFICATES. I WILL READ A SAMPLE CERTIFICATE AND THEN READ THE HONOREES. BEFORE I DO THAT I'D LIKE TO ASK LIEUTENANT NOW SEAN MAN IX TO COME UP AND SAY A FEW WORDS ESSENTIALLY ON BEHALF OF POLICE OFFICERS AND SPECIFICALLY THE AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT. LIEUTENANT? [APPLAUSE] THANK YOU, MAYOR. IF I CAN ASK OUR NEWEST YET MOST SENIOR MEET OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CHIEF ART OSEVEDO AS WE HONOR THESE FOLKS. ALSO I SEE SOME RETIRED AUSTIN POLICE OFFICERS, COMMANDER GROSS IF YOU COULD PLEASE JOIN THE OFFICERS UP HERE. CHIEF SHAW, PUBLIC SAFETY, UNIFORMED COPS, WE ALL DO THE SAME JOB. PLEASE JOIN THE FOLKS UP HERE AS WE HONOR THESE HEROES. AUGUST 1, 1966 STARTED OUT IN AUSTIN, TEXAS AS ANY OTHER DAY. IT TURNED OUT TO BE ANYTHING BUT AN ORDINARY DAY FOR THE FOLKS THAT YOU SEE AND THE FAMILIES THAT YOU SEE STANDING UP HERE. EVERYBODY UP HERE IS BEING HONORED FOR THEIR ACTS OF HEROISM ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY. THE FOLKS UP HERE WERE INSTRUMENTAL IN TAKING OUT AUSTIN'S MOST NOTORIOUS COWARD, CHARLES WHITE MAN. HE CLIMBED TO THE TOWER AND ACTED IN THE CAPACITY OF A SNIPER IN THE LONG STAND OFF WITH POLICE AFTER KILLING MEMBERS OF HIS OWN FAMILY, LEAVING IN THE WAKE 16 DEAD AND 32 WOUNDED. EVERYBODY REPRESENTED HERE TODAY HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH GIVING THEIR ALL, BUT NOBODY GAVE MORE THAN OFFICER BILLIE SPEED, WHO GAVE THE ULTIMATE SACRIFICE IN LOSING HIS LIFE IN THE DEFENSE OF OTHERS. ALL INVOLVED THAT DAY ARE HEROES, WHETHER IT BE THE PERSON THAT WAS ON A TRAFFIC POST KEEPING THE PUBLIC FROM ENTERING THE AREA, WHETHER IT BE THOSE THAT RAN INTO THE TOWER IN PURSUIT OF A DANGEROUS SUSPECT, WHETHER BE THOSE SUCH AS OFFICERS MCCOY AND MARTINEZ THAT FIRED THE DEADLY SHOTS KILLING THIS NOTORIOUS COWARD. ALL WERE HEROES. THE CITIZENS THAT YOU SEE UP HERE TODAY OR

THAT ARE BEING RECOGNIZED TODAY, THEY COULD HAVE BEEN AMONG THOSE THAT FLED. THEY WERE NOT. AMONG THE FOLKS THAT WERE INVOLVED THAT DAY, WERE NOT JUST AUSTIN POLICE OFFICERS BUT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, CITIZENS, COPS AND CIVILIANS WORKING TOGETHER. THAT'S WHAT IT'S ABOUT. THOSE WENT ABOVE AND BEYOND, AND I SAY TO ALL OF US HERE THAT WEAR THE UNIFORM TODAY, THESE FOLKS SET THE MARK WHAT OUR CITIZENS EXPECT OF OUR PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS. AND WITH THAT I'D LIKE TO ASK MAYOR WYNN TO ISSUE THE CERTIFICATES. [APPLAUSE]

THANK YOU, LIEUTENANT AND CHIEF. SO AGAIN WE HAVE IN MEMORIAM CERTIFICATES, DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARDS. I'LL READ ONE OF THESE AND THEN I'LL READ THE LIST OF THOSE FOLKS WE ARE HONORING, AND WE HAVE FOLKS HERE ACCEPTING ON THEIR BEHALF. BUT AS OFFICIAL CITY OF AUSTIN DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD IN MEMORIAM FOR HIS OR HER BRAVE ACTIONS ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN DURING CHARLES WITMAN RAMPAGE ATOP THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS TOWER 41 YEARS AGO. THESE INDIVIDUAL FOLKS WHOSE NAME I'LL READ, ARE DESERVING OF PUBLIC ACCLAIM AND RECOGNITION. THANKS TO THE EFFORTS OF MANY POLICE OFFICERS WHO COURAGEOUSLY PERFORMED THEIR SWORN DUTY TO PROTECT AND SERVE ALONG WITH CIVILIANS WHO PUT THEIR OWN SAFETY AT RISK TO HELP OTHERS, ONE OF THE NATION'S MOST NOTORIOUS MASS MURDERERS WAS PUT TO AN END. THE BRAVERY, ALTRUISM AND CLEAR THINKING OF THESE HEROES OUT SHINED THE HORROR OF THAT DAY. THE CERTIFICATE IS PRESENTED IN RECOGNITION AND APPRECIATION THRF THIS 9TH DAY OF AUGUST IN THE YEAR 2007, SIGNED BY ME AND ACKNOWLEDGED BY THE ENTIRE CITY COUNCIL. THESE DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARDS IN MEMORIAM. AND AGAIN, AS WE HEARD LIEUTENANT MANIX SAY EARLIER, THE ONLY, WELL, HONOREE WHO WAS ACTUALLY KILLED AT THE SCENE, SHOT BY WITMAN AND DIED AT THE BASE OF THE OF THE..... OF THE TOWER, THESE OTHERS ARE DIED SINCE THEN BUT IT GOES TO APD OFFICERS BILLIE PAUL SPEED AND I BELIEVE SOME GRANDCHILDREN, MARY AND SPENCER DAVIS ARE HERE TO ACCEPT THE AWARD. [APPLAUSE] ALSO

DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD FOR JIM BOUTWELL AND HIS WIDOW LOUISE IS WITH US. [APPLAUSE] DPS AGENT W.A.COWEN, AND ACCEPTING FOR HER FATHER IS KAY COWEN. [APPLAUSE]

ALLEN CRUM AND BRIAN JEWEL FROM CO-OP IS HERE ACCEPTING ON HIS BEHALF. [APPLAUSE]

THAT'S RIGHT, WORKED AT THE CO-OP THERE ON THE DRAG. FRANK HOLDER, DANNY MIKE AND FRANK HOLDER JR., THREE SONS ARE HERE. [APPLAUSE] APD LIEUTENANT MARIAM LEE, DETECTIVE TRAY LANERT FROM APD IS HERE. [APPLAUSE] APD OFFICER GEORGE SHEPHERD AND HIS WIDOW, VICKIE, IS HERE TO ACCEPT THE AWARD. AND NOW WE ARE VERY PROUD TO BE ABLE TO GIVE THESE DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARDS TO FOLKS WHO COULD BE HERE, LIKE I SAY, ALL BUT ONE, OFFICER MARTINEZ IS ILL AND CAN'T ATTEND, BUT WE HAVE EVERYBODY ELSE HERE AND OR A SON TO RECEIVE THESE DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARDS FROM THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AND THIS IS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT ONLY BECAUSE THESE FOLKS ARE STILL WITH US, AND SIX OF THESE SEVEN ARE APD OFFICERS. CITY OF AUSTIN DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD FOR HIS BRAVE ACTION ON BEHALF OF CITIZENS OF AUSTIN DURING CHARLES WITMAN'S RAMPAGE ATOP UT'S TOWER 40 YEARS AGO. I'LL READ THESE NOW. OUR FIRST IS APD OFFICER PHILIP CONNOR. [APPLAUSE] RAMPAGE APD OFFICER JERRY DAY. [APPLAUSE] AGAIN WE EARLIER SAID THAT OFFICER RAMIRO MARTINEZ CAN'T ATTEND, HE'S ILL, BUT WE WILL MAKE SURE WE GET HIS DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD TO HIM. AND OF COURSE APD OFFICER HOUSTON MCCOY. [APPLAUSE] APD OFFICER HAROLD MOE. [APPLAUSE] APD OFFICER MILTON CHOQUIST. [APPLAUSE] AND THEN WILLIAM WILCOX, WHOSE SON JOHN IS HERE TO ACCEPT THE AWARD. [APPLAUSE]

MAYOR WYNN: SO AGAIN, WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT DOING THIS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. LAST YEAR BECAUSE OF THE 40TH ANNIVERSARY THERE WAS HEIGHTENED, YOU KNOW, PUBLIC AWARENESS AND THE PRESS DID A GOOD JOB OF BOTH COVERING, YOU KNOW, FRANKLY THE HORROR OF THAT DAY BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY AS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT TODAY, HEROIC ACTIONS BY NOT ONLY

SWORN OFFICERS BUT ALSO AVERAGE CITIZENS WHO WENT ABOVE AND BEYOND AND GAVE OF THEMSELVES TO HELP US IN AUSTIN'S DARKEST DAY. SO PLEASE, ONCE AGAIN JOIN ME IN CONGRATULATING AND THANKING SOME HEROES AMONGST US. [APPLAUSE]

MAYOR WYNN: PHOTOGRAPH IF WE CAN? [APPLAUSE] PLD
POLICE DEPARTMENT PLDZ

MAYOR WYNN: AND FOR OUR NEXT PROCLAMATION I'LL TURN THE PODIUM OVER TO COUNCIL MEMBER JENNIFER KIM...

THE NEXT PROCLAMATION IS FOR NATIONAL STOP ON RED WEEK WHICH IS AUGUST 4 THROUGH AUGUST 11. IN AUSTIN, AS IN MANY CITIES IN THE NATION, WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH PEOPLE WHO RUN RED LIGHTS, EITHER THEY KNOW THEY'RE RUNNING IT OR THEY'RE JUST NOT PAYING ATTENTION, AND IT CAUSES INJURIES TO PEOPLE, FATALITIES, AND IN AUSTIN ON AVERAGE, LOOKING AT THE PAST THREE YEARS THERE WERE 9,000 CITATIONS FOR PEOPLE RUNNING RED LIGHTS, FOUR FATALITIES, SO PEOPLE WHO LOST THEIR LIFE IN A CRASH CAUSED BY SOMEONE RUNNING A RLT,..... RED LIGHT AND ALSO 1350 CRASHES IN WHICH 800 INVOLVED PEOPLE WITH SERIOUS INJURIES. SO WE'RE HAVING THIS CAMPAIGN HERE TO LET PEOPLE KNOW THAT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT YOU STOP AT THE STOP BAR AT A RED LIGHT. WE'LL TAKE MEASURES TO ACTUALLY WRITE THE WORD STOP IN FRONT OF THOSE STOP BARS. IT'S SOMETHING THAT THE CHIEF HAS BROUGHT TO US AS AN IDEA TO TRY TO MAKE PEOPLE MORE AWARE THEY'RE APPROACHING A RED LIGHT AND WE ALSO ARE IN A PILOT RIGHT NOW FOR SAFETY CAMERAS AT TWO INTERSECTIONS AND WE'LL START A PROGRAM FOR PEOPLE TO START GETTING CITATIONS IN THE MAIL WHERE IT WON'T BE A MOVING VIOLATION BUT THEY'LL HAVE TO PAY 75ED IF \$75 IF THEY RUN A RED LIGHT. SO THIS IS SOMETHING I'M HAPPY TO BE HOST CONTINUING, WHICH IS THE ACTUAL PROCLAMATION. I'LL BE PRESENTING THIS TO THE CHIEF, AND ALSO THE HIGHWAY ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT WHO IS GOING TO BE HELPING US WITH THE PROGRAM. THE PROCLAMATION READS, BE IT KNOWN THAT WHEREAS RED LIGHT RUNNING HAS BECOME A

SERIOUS PROBLEM IN AUSTIN AND IN CITIES ACROSS THE NATION. MOTORISTS ARE MORE LIKELY TO BE INVOLVED IN CRASHES IN RED LIGHT RUNNING THAN ANY OTHER TYPE OF CRASH AND AUSTIN POLICE AND PUBLIC WORKS PERSONNEL HAVE JOINED THE CAMPAIGN TO STOP RED LIGHT RUNNING TO BETTER INFORM THE PUBLIC AND ELECTED OFFICIALS ABOUT THE SERIOUSNESS OF THIS ALL TOO COMMON DANGER. ALONG WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT TACTICS THAT MAKE OUR ROADWAYS SAFER AND WHEREAS THE CAMPAIGN EMPHASIZES THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RED LIGHT CAMERAS IN CUTTING CRASHES AT INTERSECTIONS IN A POSITIVE IMPACT COMMUNITY WIDE, NOW, THEREFORE, I WILL WYNN, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AUGUST 5 THROUGH 11, 2007 AS NATIONAL STOP ON RED WEEK. [APPLAUSE]

THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER KIM. JOINING ME TODAY TO ACCEPT THIS PROCLAMATION ARE SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS TRANSPORTATION DIVISION AS WELL AS A SPECIAL VISITOR, MS. MARY TAYLOR, WHO LOST BOTH HER HUSBAND AND DAUGHTER IN A RED LIGHT RUNNING CRASH ON THANKSGIVING OF 2006. THIS CAN BE QUITE SERIOUS. THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION REPORTS THAT IN 2005 ALONE THERE WERE AN ESTIMATED 805 FATALITIES CAUSED BY RED LIGHT RUNNING. TEXAS DPS STATISTICS SHOW APPROXIMATELY 10,000 A YEAR IN THE YEARS FROM 1975 MOVING UP TO 24,000 CRASHES A YEAR IN 2001. THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION SHOWS THE STATISTIC OF RED LIGHT RUNNING AS ITS GREATEST CONTRIBUTOR, AND TEXAS IS AT THE TOP OF THE LIST. HERE IN AUSTIN BETWEEN 2003 AND 2004 THERE WERE 29 INJURY ACCIDENTS SPECIFICALLY AT THE INTERSECTION OF I-35 AND MLK. 24 INJURY ACCIDENTS DURING THE SAME PERIOD ON I-35 AND 11TH STREET. THOSE ARE JUST TWO INTERSECTIONS IN A VERY LARGE CITY. TODAY I WOULD LIKE TO ANNOUNCE THAT IN AN EFFORT TO IMPROVE SAFETY AT INTERSECTIONS THE CITY WILL BEGIN ITS AUTOMATED RED LIGHT PROGRAM, PILOT BASIS ON MONDAY, AUGUST 13, THIS COMING MONDAY. THE AUTOMATED RED LIGHT CAMERAS WILL CAPTURE PHOTOS OF MOTORISTS WHO RUN THE LIGHTS AT THE TWO INTERSECTIONS I MENTIONED JUST EARLIER, I-35 AND MLK

AS WELL AS I-35 AND 11TH STREET. THE PILOT PROGRAM WILL RUN FOR 60 DAYS, AND NO DRIVERS WILL BE TICKETED DURING THIS PARTICULAR TEST RUN. WE ARE TESTING THE EQUIPMENT OF TWO VENDORS, RED FLEX AND AMERICAN TRAFFIC SOLUTION AND THEY'RE BEARING 100% OF THE COST OF THE PILOT PROGRAM. AT THE END OF THE 60 DAYS THE CITY STAFF WILL EVALUATE HOW WELL THE EQUIPMENT WORKED AND HOW WELL THE VENDOR PERFORMED AND COME FORWARD TO COUNCIL IN NOVEMBER WITH A RECOMMENDATION ON HOW TO PROCEED. WE WILL BE JOINING THE CITIES OF NEW YORK, SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON D.C., DENVER, CHICAGO, HOUSTON AND DALLAS SO FAR WHO HAVE IMPLEMENTED THESE PROGRAMS WITH SUCCESS. A 2006 STUDY OF THE RED LIGHT PROGRAM IN GARLAND, TEXAS FOUND THAT THE CRASHES CAUSED BY RED LIGHT RUNNING DECREASED BY 56% AT INTERSECTIONS WITH CAMERAS AND 38% REDUCTION AT OTHER LOCATIONS AS WELL. THE CAMERAS WILL TAKE A PICTURE OF THE BACK OF THE CAR AND LICENSE PLATE AND THAT INFORMATION WILL EVENTUALLY BE COLLATED AND SHOULD THE PILOT PROGRAM TURN INTO A REAL RED LIGHT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM, THE OWNER OF THE VEHICLE WOULD RECEIVE A CITATION AND WOULD HAVE TO APPEAR BEFORE MUNICIPAL COURT. I'D LIKE TO THANK COUNCIL MEMBER KIM AND CHIEF OCEVEDO AND HIS STAFF AND THE FOLKS FROM THE TRANSPORTATION DIVISION IN HELPING MAKE ALL OF THIS HAPPEN. THANK YOU. OS VAID ON [APPLAUSE]

KIM: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK WE HAVE COUNCIL MEMBER MIKE MARTINEZ WITH THE NEXT PROCLAMATION.
RLT

MARTINEZ: ALL RIGHT. LAST ONE OF THE EVENING FOR THIS WEEK.

I'M HONORED TO BE HERE TO PRESENT THIS DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD. SHE HAS BEEN THE MANAGING DIRECTOR AT ZACH SCOTT THEATER FOR THE LAST EIGHT YEARS AND WHILE SHE IS LEAVING ZACH SCOTT SHE'S KNOTS LEAVING AUSTIN. SHE'S GOING -- SHE'S NOW THE CREATIVE DIRECTOR FOR THE AUSTIN SHAKESPEAR FESTIVAL, SO SHE'LL STILL BE CONTRIBUTING TO THE -- [APPLAUSE]

MARTINEZ MARTINEZ BUT I JUST WANT TO READ THIS DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD AND THEN I WANT TO ASK CITY MANAGER TO SAY A FEW WORDS. ANN WAS ALSO THE DIRECTOR OF ANOTHER PRODUCTION WHERE OUR CITY MANAGER WAS THE STAR OF. SOME OF YOU MAY HAVE SEEN. SHE STARRED IN THE PRODUCTION OF VAGINA MONOLOGUES FOR ANN AND SHE'S UP FOR AN OSCAR, I THINK. SO WITH THAT, FOR A STELLAR 8 YEAR TEN-YEAR AS MANAGING DIRECTOR FOR AUSTIN ZACH SCOTT THEATER, ANN CHICK LOW IS DESERVING OF ACCLAIM. UNDER HER PURVIEW ZACH'S INCOME HAS DOUBLED. OPERATING DUCT HAS INCREASED AND THE FUNDING FOR THE NEW 500 SEAT FACILITY IS WELL ON ITS WAY TO BEING REALIZED. DURING HER CAREER SHE ALSO DIRECTED PRODUCTIONS AT ZACH, SERVED AS ARTISTIC DIRECTOR FOR PROJECT INTERACT FOR TWO YEARS AND TAUGHT ACTING CLASSES AT THE THEATER. HER UNDERSTANDING OF THE MISSION AND THE THEATER AND HER BOLD VISION TOWARDS GROWTH HAVE LEFT AUSTIN RICHER FOR HER EFFORTS. WE JOIN THE ZACHARY SCOTT STAFF AND VOLUNTEERS AND DONORS FOR ACKNOWLEDGING AND THANKING ANN FOR MANY CONTRIBUTIONS IN HER CAREER. THIS CERTIFICATE IS PRESENTED IN RECOGNITION AND APPRECIATION THRF THIS 9TH DAY OF AUGUST IN 2007, SIGNED BY MAYOR WILL WYNN AND THE REST OF THE COUNCIL. I WANT TO ASK THE CITY MANAGER AND COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRACKEN IF THEY'D LIKE TO SAY A FEW WORDS.

DUNKERLEY: I THINK WE'RE JUST HONORING AN EXTRAORDINARY WOMAN WHO'S DONE MORE FOR THEATER AND ARTS IN THIS COMMUNITY THAN SO MANY PEOPLE PUT ALTOGETHER. SHE WAS SAVVY ENOUGH TO NOTICE THE OLD DRAMA MAJOR IN ME AND DID COAX ME BACK UP ON TO THE STAGE BRIEFLY. SO MANY THINGS YOU'VE ACCOMPLISHED AT ZACH SCOTT. EXTRAORDINARY ASPECTS IN OUR COMMUNITY, LEAVING IT IN GOOD STEAD, GO AHEAD THE BOND ISSUE THROUGH, AND I'M SO EXCITED ABOUT YOUR NEW VENTURE, STAYING HERE AND HELPING US TAKE THE SHAKESPEAR FESTIVAL TO THE NEXT LEVEL. THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING THAT YOU'VE DONE FOR US.
[APPLAUSE]

WELL, THANK YOU SO MUCH. THE WONDERFUL THING ABOUT

THESE PROCLAMATIONS IS IT SORT OF GIVES US A MOMENT TO THINK AND BE TOGETHER AND REALLY CELEBRATE AND THAT'S WHAT I SEE THIS AS. YOU KNOW, AUSTIN IS SUCH A CREATIVE CITY AND WE VALUE IT SO MUCH. SOMETIMES I THINK WE DON'T REALIZE HOW SUPPORTIVE OUR COUNCIL IS, AND I SAY THAT BECAUSE IT WAS SORT OF A SURPRISE TO ME AS TO HOW MUCH WORK IT WENT -- OF THE COUNCIL DID TO PUT THIS BOND TOGETHER. YOU KNOW, THERE'S LOTS OF PEOPLE BEHIND THE SCENES, CITY STAFF IS REALLY AMAZING. TODAY COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ REALLY STEPPED FORWARD AND ASKED ME TO JOIN YOU TODAY, SO I'M VERY PROUD AND GRATEFUL FOR HIM. AND BEHIND HIM SITS ANDY MOORE. HIS STAFF MEMBER WHO USED TO WORK WITH US AT ZACHARY SCOTT THEATER WHEN HE FIRST CAME TO TOWN. WE'RE VERY GRATEFUL FOR HIM. AND I THINK OF HIM -- OF THOSE FOLKS WHO STAYED UP VERY LATE WITH US MANY NIGHTS, YOU KNOW, TONTRA CEL WOULD BE AT THE DAY AND LUGGING THE CARTONS WOULD BE JASON, A BACHELOR, AND DON'T TELL ME, GREG CANALI. I AM SO GRATEFUL TO THEM AND YOU NEED TO BE TOO BECAUSE THE \$32.5 MILLION THAT YOU-ALL INVESTED IN THE ARTS REALLY WAS SHEPHERDED BY THOSE INDIVIDUALS, BY THE VOLUNTEERS WHO SAT FOR MANY, MANY HOURS ON THAT COMMITTEE, BY THE MANY VOLUNTEERS THAT HELPED GET THE VOTES OUT, ALSO BY CITY STAFF AND COUNCIL. WE'RE AT A PRECIPICE AT THIS MOMENT. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF CHANGE IN OUR CITY AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A NEW MAYOR AND MANY NEW COUNCIL MEMBERS. SO WHEN WE THINK OF THIS COUNCIL I THINK WE'RE GOING TO THINK BACK ON SOME REALLY GLORIOUS TIMES, AND I'M VERY GRATEFUL FOR THEIR WORK AND I THINK WE ALL NEED TO THINK ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO KEEP AUSTIN A REALLY CREATIVE CITY IN THE FUTURE AS WE'RE ELECTING A NEW MAYOR AND SOME NEW COUNCIL MEMBERS. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH. I HOPE YOU DON'T MIND I TOOK THIS OPPORTUNITY TO LET US THINK A.. A LITTLE BIT BUT I REALLY FEEL VERY HONORED TODAY BY HAVING TOBY AND MIKE SPEAK FOR ME AND OF COURSE BY ALL OF OUR COUNCIL MEMBERS. THANK YOU SO MUCH AND THANKS FOR KEEPING AUSTIN CREATIVE. [APPLAUSE] (music) (music) MUSIC..... (music) LANDMARK

LANDMARKS LARK LARKS STASSNEY

MAYOR WYNN: THERE BEING A QUORUM PRESENT IN THE ROOM AT LEAST I'LL CALL BACK TO ORDER THE MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL. WE'VE BEEN IN RECESS FOR THE LAST HOUR. JENNIFER IS IN THE BACK. COUNCIL -- EARLIER COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRACKEN POINTED OUT BASED ON OUR DISCUSSION AND ULTIMATE ACTION REGARDING WATER TREATMENT PLANT NO. 4, THERE ISN'T THE NEED TO HAVE THE OCTOBER 11 PUBLIC HEARING ON THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPEAL. SO I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO RECONSIDER ITEM 41.

MAYOR WYNN: MOTION MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY LEFFINGWELL, TO RECONSIDER OUR EARLIER ACTION NO. 41. FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE VOTE TO RECONSIDER? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

OPPOSED? SO WE ARE NOW RECONSIDERING ITEM NO. 41, AND LEGAL ADVICE IS THAT WE SIMPLY, AS OPPOSED TO EVEN TRYING TO SET A DATE, WE SIMPLY POSTPONE SETTING THE DATE FOR THE HEARING INDEFINITELY. MOTION MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER LEFFINGWELL TO POSTPONE INDEFINITELY THE SETTING OF THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR ITEM NO. 41, THE APPEAL OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REGARDING WATER TREATMENT PLANT NO. 4. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0 WITH COUNCIL MEMBER COLE OFF THE DAIS. THANK YOU ALL. I THINK WE STILL HAVE ONE MORE ZONING CASE, CORRECT? ITEM 53? ALTHOUGH I HAVE NO CITIZENS SIGNED UP FOR THAT ITEM.

WE ACTUALLY HAVE THE WINDSOR PARK --

MAYOR WYNN: NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, SORRY.

WE ALSO HAVE ITEM NO. 53, WHICH IS CASE C14 H-06-0044. THIS IS A PAYNE HOUSE AT 2104 PEARL AND I'LL TURN THIS OVER TO MR. STEVE SADOWSKY, OUR HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER TO PRESENT THIS PARTICULAR ITEM.... ITEM...

GOOD EVENING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. THIS ONE WILL BE EASIER, I PROMISE. ON THIS CASE WE ARE WORKING OUT AN AGREEMENT WITH THE PROPERTY OWNERS FOR RELOCATION OF THE HOUSE. ALL PARTIES CONCERNED HAVE AGREED TO A POSTPONEMENT OF THIS ITEM TO AUGUST 30 TO ALLOW THE EXECUTION OF A PRESERVATION COVENANT TO PRESERVE THE HOUSE.

MAYOR WYNN: SO COUNCIL, WE HAVE A STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO POSTPONE ITEM NO. 53 TO AUGUST 30, 2007. MOTION MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER LEFFINGWELL, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ TO POSTPONE ITEM 53 TO AUGUST 30, 2007. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION TO POSTPONE PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0 WITH COUNCIL MEMBER COLE OFF THE DAIS. THANK YOU, MR. SADOWSKY. WELCOME BACK, MR. GUERNSEY. -- ACTUALLY, LET'S SEE. MR. GUERNSEY -- COUNCIL, WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE THREE THINGS WE HAVE LEFT, WE HAVE A -- SOME CITIZEN COMMENT PERIOD REGARDING OUR PUBLIC HEARING ON THE BUDGET. WE ALSO HAVE WHAT'S GOING TO BE A LENGTHY COMPLICATED DISCUSSION HERE ABOUT OUR UNIVERSITY HILLS AND WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. THERE'S ITEM NO. 60 IS A PUBLIC HEARING CONSIDERING AN ORDINANCE, AND I DON'T -- WE HAVE NO CITIZENS -- ONE CITIZEN SIGNED UP AS NEUTRAL ON THAT. I THINK WE COULD SEE THE PEOPLE HOME BEFORE WE HUNGER DOWN FOR THE EVENING. SO PERHAPS A BRIEF STAFF PRESENTATION ON PUBLIC HEARING ITEM NO. 60.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL, CITY MANAGER.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER, LAURA HUFFMAN. MY NAME IS ROBBIE GONZALES AND I AM THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR THE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND REDEVELOPMENT SERVICES OFFICE. THE OTIS SPUNKMEYER COMPANY IS PROPOSING TO EXPAND ITS COOKIE DOUGH MANUFACTURING LOCATION AT ITS CURRENT LOCATION AT 63 EAST STASSNEY LANE. THEY HAVE REQUESTED THAT THIS FACILITY BE NOMINATED AS A STATE ENTERPRISE PROJECT. THE ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM AS ADMINISTERED BY THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM THROUGH THE TEXAS DEVELOPMENT BANK. THE PROGRAM IS AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TOOL FOR LOCAL COMMUNITIES TO PARTNER WITH THE STATE TO ENCOURAGE JOB CREATION AND CAPITAL INVESTMENT IN ECONOMICALLY DISTRESSED AREAS. ENTERPRISE PROJECTS ARE ELIGIBLE FOR REFUND OF STATE SALES AND USE TAXES. IN EXCHANGE FOR THIS BENEFIT THE BUSINESS MUST MEET CERTAIN HIRING AND INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS. IN ORDER FOR A BUSINESS TO BE DESIGNATED AS AN ENTERPRISE PROJECT THE LOCAL GOVERNING BODY, IN THIS CASE THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IS REQUIRED TO HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING AND ADOPT AN ORDINANCE NOMINATING THE QUALIFIED BUSINESS TO THE STATE FOR ENTERPRISE PROJECT STATUS. THE CITY MUST ALSO IDENTIFY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INCENTIVES THAT MAY BE OFFERED TO QUALIFYING ENTERPRISE PROJECTS. THE CITY WILL PROVIDE EXPEDITED PERMITTING AND CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION FOR THE OTIS SPUNKMEYER FACILITY. IT IS NOT NECESSARY FOR A PROJECT TO BE LOCATED IN AN ENTERPRISE ZONE TO RECEIVE BENEFITS AND THE PROPOSED OTIS SPUNKMEYER EXPANSION IS NOT IN AN ENTERPRISE ZONE. ENTERPRISE PROJECTS NOT LOCATED IN A ZONE ARE REQUIRED TO FILL AT LEAST 35% NEW JOBS WITH INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED OR RESIDE IN STATE ENTERPRISE ZONES. THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS INDICATE THAT OTIS SPUNKMEYER, WILL INVEST APPROXIMATELY \$9.8 MILLION IN MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT IN 2007, AND AN ADDITIONAL \$8 MILLION IN 2009 FOR A TOTAL CAPITAL VEMENT OF \$17.8 MILLION. THE PRODUCT EXPANSION IS PROJECTED TO CREATE 60 ADDITIONAL PERMANENT JOBS. BY NOMINATING THIS FACILITY AS AN ENTERPRISE PROJECT THE CITY

COMMITTS TO COMPLETING THE ENTERPRISE PROJECT APPLICATION AND SUBMITTING IT TO THE STATE BY SEPTEMBER 1 OF THIS YEAR. THIS COMPLETES MY PRESENTATION.

MAYOR WYNN: THANK YOU, MR. GONZALES. QUESTIONS TO STAFF, COUNCIL, COMMENTS? WE HAVE NO CITIZENS SIGNED UP ON THIS PUBLIC HEARING, ITEM NO. 60. SO I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION..... MOTION. MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCrackEN TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE THIS ORDINANCE AS PRESENTED BY STAFF. SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0 WITH COUNCIL MEMBER COLE OFF THE DAIS. THANK YOU, AND THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR PATIENCE. WE CAN SEND SOME FOLKS HOME NOW. OKAY. BACK TO MR. GUERNSEY AND OUR NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. ITEMS 55 THROUGH 58, I BELIEVE.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. WE HAVE FOUR ITEMS THAT ARE RELATED ON YOUR AGENDA. THESE ARE ITEMS 55, 56, 57 AND 58. ITEM NO. 55 IS CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE AN ORDINANCE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BY ADOPTING THE UNIVERSITY HILLS WINDSOR HILLS PLAN. ITEM 56 IS THE ZONING CASE FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA. ITEM NO. 57 IS THE REZONING -- OR THE ZONING CASE FOR THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA. AND ITEM NO. 58 IS ADOPTING OR ADDING ROADWAY SEGMENTS IN THE UNIVERSITY HILLS/WINDSOR PARK AREA TO OUR LIST OF CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS. AND AT THIS TIME I'LL TURN THIS OVER TO ADRIAN DEMOST AND SHE WILL PRESENT THESE CASES. WE HAVE THREE PACKETS OF INFORMATION THAT WE'LL PRESENT TO YOU IF THEY'RE NOT ALREADY ON THE DAIS, THAT WILL SPEAK TO THE MOTION SHEETS AND ORDINANCES AND THEN LETTERS FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD DOME WITH REGARDS TO PLAN AND SOME OF THE PETITIONS.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS AND CITY MANAGER. MY NAME IS ADRIAN DOMAS. AS YOU KNOW I'LL BE SPEAKING WITH YOU TODAY ABOUT THE UNIVERSITY HILLS/WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. WHILE YOU'RE RECEIVING YOUR PACKETS OF INFORMATION I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU A QUICK UPDATE ON WHERE WE ARE TODAY AFTER THE -- AS YOU KNOW, WE HEARD THIS TWO WEEKS AGO AT THE JULY 26 HEARING JUST BRIEFLY. SINCE THAT HEARING STAFF HAS MET INDIVIDUALLY WITH THE TWO GROUPS FROM THE WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA. REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND ALSO REPRESENTATIVES FROM RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK, AND WE CAME TO AN AGREEMENT ON, I BELIEVE, 23 OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS. SO THOSE HAVE BEEN -- ARE NOW INCLUDED ON YOUR LIST OF ITEMS TO POSSIBLY RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF, TO APPROVE TODAY AND WE'LL GO THROUGH THE REMAINING LIST OF CONTESTED TRACTS LATER ON IN THE HEARING. SO I WANTED TO TELL YOU WE DID REACH SOME AGREEMENT IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS SINCE THE LAST CITY COUNCIL HEARING. OKAY. I BELIEVE EACH OF YOU HAS YOUR PACKETS NOW. SO BEFORE I GO INTO MY PRESENTATION TO SUMMARIZE AND GIVE YOU -- WALK YOU THROUGH PLAN I WOULD LIKE TO JUST QUICKLY GO OVER THE INFORMATION YOU'VE RECEIVED BECAUSE IT'S A FAIR AMOUNT OF STACKS OF -- TWO STACKS OF PAPER WITH A LOT OF INFORMATION IN IT. SO YOU HAVE TWO PACKETS, ONE LABELED PACKET 1 AND ANOTHER LABELED PACKET 2. IN PACKET 1 YOU'LL HAVE THE MOTION SHEETS FOR THE PLAN IN THE INDIVIDUAL ZONING CASES AND ALSO THE CODE AMENDMENTS WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT. THE FIRST MOTION SHEET IS A MOTION SHEET FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR PARK PLAN, THE TWO REZONING DISTRICTS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN EACH ZONING DISTRICT AND THERE'S ALSO A MOTION FOR THE -- FOR THE PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT. YOU ALSO HAVE A LIST OF CHARTS OF SOME PROPOSED TEXT EDITS TO THE PLAN OFFERED BY THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. THESE ARE PRETTY MUCH PRIMARILY CORRECTIONS TO SOME TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, SOME MINOR EDITS TO THE PLAN BUT WE WANTED TO BRING

THOSE FORWARD TO YOUR ATTENTION. NEXT IN YOUR PACKET YOU HAVE A LETTER FROM A PROPERTY OWNER IN THE WINDSOR PARK AREA WHO IS REQUESTING WE NOT TAKE ACTION ON HIS TRACT AT THIS TIME. HE IS PROPOSING A DIFFERENT ZONING ON THE SITE THAN WAS ORIGINALLY RECOMMENDED, BUT HE'S ALSO PROPOSING IT FOR HIS -- THE ENTIRE PROPERTY THAT HE OWNS, WHICH IS -- WAS NOT NOTICED AS A PART OF THIS PLAN. SO I CAN GO INTO A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL IN THAT LATER BUT THIS IS THE LETTER WITH HIS REQUEST. YOU ALSO HAVE A CURRENT LAND USE MAP AND A FUTURE LAND USE MAP OF THE PLANNING AREA. AND THEN AFTER THAT IS THE ZONING INFORMATION FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS PLANNING AREA, ATTRACT MAP AND YOU'LL SEE HIGHLIGHTED IN PURPLE ARE THE CONTESTED TRACTS, AND A CHART OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS, AND THEN YOU HAVE YOUR INFORMATION FOR WINDSOR PARK. YOU'LL SEE FIRST A PIECE OF PAPER THAT CATEGORIZES THE TYPES OF CHANGES PROPOSED ON THE CONTESTED TRACTS, AND WHEN WE GET TO THAT I'LL EXPLAIN THOSE IN MORE DETAIL. YOU ALSO HAVE -- EXCUSE ME, JUST BEFORE THAT YOU HAD A MAP OF THE CONTESTED TRACT IN WINDSOR PARK AND THEN SIMILAR ALSO TO UNIVERSITY HILLS, A CHART OF THE DIFFERENT GROUPS OF CONTESTED TRACTS THAT WE'LL GO OVER INDIVIDUALLY LATER ON IN THE HEARING. FINISHING UP THAT PACKET YOU HAVE SOME LETTERS FROM PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE PLANNING AREA AND I'LL HIGHLIGHT THOSE AS WE GET TO THOSE SPECIFIC TRACTS, AND AT THE VERY END OF PACKET 1 YOU HAVE THE FOUR ORDINANCES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PLAN. THERE'S AN ORDINANCE OF THE PLAN, AN ORDINANCE EACH FOR THE REZONINGS AND THE PARK IN UNIVERSITY..... UNIVERSITY HILLS AND AN ORDINANCE FOR THE CODE AMENDMENT. IN PACKET 2 INCLUDES LETTERS FROM THE WINDSOR PARK AND NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND ALSO FROM THE RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK ASSOCIATION AS WELL, AND THEN THE LETTERS ASSOCIATED WITH THE VALID PETITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED FOR SOME OF -- FOR SEVERAL OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS THAT WE'LL BE GOING OVER LATER ON ALSO. IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT INFORMATION?

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTIONS, COUNCIL? COMMENTS?

OKAY. THEN I'LL MOVE ON TO MY PRESENTATION. TODAY I'M JUST GOING TO GO OVER THE CHAPTERS OF THE PLAN AND WE'LL FOCUS A LITTLE BIT MORE ON THE LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDSES RECOMMENDSES TOWARDS THE.....RECOMMENDSESRECOMMENDATIONS TOWARDS THE END OF THE PRESENTATION. I'LL GIVE YOU BACKGROUND ABOUT UNIVERSITY HILLS AND WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA AND THE PROCESS WE WENT THROUGH TO WRITE THIS PLAN AND PRESENT IT BEFORE YOU. LIKE I SAID, I'LL GO OVER INDIVIDUALLY THE CHAPTERS. I'LL ALSO FOCUS -- SPEND A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME ON THE LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS AND LASTLY WE'LL TALK ABOUT THE PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT FOR THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS IN THE PLANNING AREA. AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THIS MAP THE UNIVERSITY HILLS AND WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREAS ARE LOCATED IN NORTHEAST AUSTIN, AND THE WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA IS BASICALLY LOCATED DIRECTLY ACROSS 51ST STREET FROM THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT. THIS IS A CLOSE-UP OF THE PLANNING AREA. YOU CAN SEE IT'S BOUNDED BY HIGHWAY 290 AND HIGHWAY 183 ON THE NORTH. 51ST STREET AND MANOR ROAD ON THE SOUTH, CAMERON ROAD RUNS THROUGH A PORTION OF WINDSOR PARK AND IT'S ALSO BOUNDED BY I-35 ON THE WEST. THE PLANNING PROCESS FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS/WINDSOR PARK PLAN STARTED OFFICIALLY IN NOVEMBER WITH THE FIRST WORKSHOP. A MAIL OUT WAS SENT TO ALL PROPERTY OWNERS AND RENTERS AND BUSINESS OWNERS IN THE PLANNING AREA TO ADVERTISE FOR THAT WORKSHOP AND A SURVEY WAS ALSO INCLUDED IN THAT MAIL-OUT TO GAUGE THE RESIDENTS' CONCERNS AND THEIR GOALS FOR THE PLANNING AREA THAT WOULD COME OUT THROUGH THE PLANNING PROCESS. FROM JANUARY 2006 TO OCTOBER 2006 STAFF CONDUCTED WORKSHOPS WITH RESIDENTS IN THE PLANNING AREA THAT FOCUSED ON THE ISSUE AREAS AND THE TOPICS OF IMPORTANCE TO RESIDENTS IN THE AREA THAT CAME OUT OF THE FIRST COUPLE OF MEETINGS JUST BEFORE THE NOVEMBER 2005 WORKSHOP AND AFTER THAT. ON OCTOBER 2006 THE PROCESS UPDATE WORKSHOP WAS KENTUCKYED, AND AT THIS WORKSHOP DRAFT

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PLAN -- DRAFT
RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE PLAN WERE PRESENTED TO
RESIDENTS IN THE PLANNING AREA AS WELL AS DRAFT
ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS AND ALSO A DRAFT FLUM AND
NOTICES WERE SENT OUT TO EVERYONE IN THE PLANNING
AREA FOR THIS WORKSHOP AS WELL. A MORE FINAL
VERSION OF THE PLAN WAS PRESENTED AT A FEBRUARY
2007 MEETING, AND A NOTICE WAS SENT OUT TO EVERYONE
IN THE PLANNING AREA FOR THIS MEETING AS WELL. ALSO A
SURVEY -- THE FINAL SURVEY WAS SENT OUT WITH A
NOTICE FOR THIS MEETING. AND IN RESPONSE TO SOME
CONCERNS THAT RESIDENTS IN THE PLANNING AREA HAD
ABOUT SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE PLAN,
STAFF CONDUCTED MEETINGS WITH -- STAFF CONDUCTED
SOME ADDITIONAL MEETINGS IN APRIL, MAY AND JUNE OF
2007. AS I JUST MENTIONED, AFTER THE DRAFT OF THE PLAN
WAS RELEASED IN FEBRUARY TWO ORGANIZATIONS CAME
FORWARD EXPRESSING SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THE PLAN
AND NEEDS OUR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR
PARK AND UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION.
THEY ARE GENERALLY CONCERNED WITH THE PROPOSALS
IN THE ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL
MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT AND DEVELOPMENT IN THE
PLANNING AREA. THEY'VE ALSO EXPRESSED CONCERNS
ABOUT THE POTENTIAL FOR MORE IMPERVIOUS COVER
ASSOCIATED WITH ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE
PLANNING AREA AND ITS IMPACT ON DRAINAGE AND
FLOODING, AND ALSO THE POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAFFIC IN
THE PLANNING AREA RESULTING FROM -- POSSIBLY
RESULTING FROM SOME OF THE ZONING CHANGES AND THE
ADDITIONAL GROWTH THAT MAY BE OCCURRING IN THE
PLANNING AREA IN THE FUTURE. NEXT, AS I MENTION.....
MENTIONED, I'LL WALK YOU THERE THROUGH THE
CHAPTERS OF THE PLAN. THIS IS A LITTLE BIT OUT OF
ORDER FROM HOW THE PLAN IS ORGANIZED BUT THAT'S SO
WE CAN FOCUS ON THE LAND USE CHAPTER TOWARDS THE
END OF THE PRESENTATION. THIS PLAN INCLUDES A
PRESENTATION ON COMMUNITY LIFE, A CHAPTER THAT WE
CALLED MILLER CONNECTIONS WHICH FOCUSES ON HOW
THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT AFFECTS WINDSOR PARK AND
UNIVERSITY HILLS AND HOW -- THE OTHER WAY AROUND,
HOW WINDSOR PARK/UNIVERSITY HILLS WOULD IMPACT THE

MILLER REDEVELOPMENT. THERE'S A CHAPTER FOCUSING ON TRANSPORTATION, ONE ON HOUSING, PARKS AND OPEN SPACE AND AS YOU HEARD ME MENTION, LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT. SO START OFF FIRST WITH COMMUNITY LIFE. IF I CAN MOVE TO THE NEXT SLIDE. THERE WE GO. THIS SECTION INCLUDES SOME GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING COMMUNICATION AMONG RESIDENTS IN THE PLANNING AREA THROUGH SUCH THINGS AS ADDITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD EVENTS. THERE WAS ALSO A FAIR AMOUNT OF CONCERN ABOUT THE CRIME IN THE PLANNING AREA. ALSO INCLUDES SOME RECOMMENDATIONS ABOUT ADDITIONAL COORDINATION WITH THE AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT TO REDUCE CRIME, AND MOST OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS CHAPTER WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED BY THE INDIVIDUAL CONTACT TEAMS IN EACH PLANNING AREA. NEXT I'LL FOCUS ON THE MILLER CONNECTIONS CHAPTER. AS YOU HEARD ME MENTION AND AS YOU SAW IN THE MAP, THE WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA, ESPECIALLY IN UNIVERSITY HILLS WAS LOCATED VERY CLOSE TO THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT AND RESIDENTS THE CONTINUING THEME IN THE PLANNING PROCESS THE RESIDENTS WANTED TO KNOW AND THEY WANTED TO ENSURE THAT THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT HAD A POSITIVE IMPACT ON THEIR -- ON THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD AS WELL. SO WE OFFER SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HOW THAT COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED IN THIS CHAPTER AND SOME WAYS ARE FROM INCREASING PARTICIPATION IN THE MILLER NEIGHBORHOOD COALITION AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT. AND ALSO YOU'LL SEE IN RELATIONS, THERE'S A THEME THAT RUNS THROUGH THEM ABOUT ENCOURAGING THE CONNECTIVITY OF PEDESTRIANS DEVELOPMENTS, TRAILS AND ALSO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY HILLS/WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA AND THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT AS WELL.... WELL. NEXT WE'LL FOCUS ON TRANSPORTATION. THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS CHAPTER DEAL PRIMARILY WITH OFFERING POTENTIAL LOCATIONS FOR BUILDING NEW SIDEWALKS AND BIKE LANES. THERE'S ALSO A SERIES OF RECOMMENDS RECOMMENSES ON POTENTIAL LOCATIONS FOR TRAFFIC AND THERE'S RECOMMENDATIONS ABOUT IMPROVING THE

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICE FOR THIS AREA AS WELL. NEXT UP I'LL FOCUS ON THE HOUSING CHAPTER. THE MAJORITY OF THIS CHAPTER IS ORGANIZED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA THAT'S THE NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT USES TO WRITE ITS AFFORDABILITY IMPACT STATEMENT, AND ACCORDING TO THIS IMPACT STATEMENT, UNIVERSITY HILLS/WINDSOR PARK PLAN DOES INCREASE AFFORDABILITY IN THE PLANNING AREA THROUGH THREE DIFFERENT WAYS: BY ADDING ADDITIONAL MIXED-USE ZONING, MAINTAINING MOST OF THE MULTIFAMILY ZONING IN THE AREA, AND ALSO THROUGH THE ADOPTION OF THE INFILL OPTIONS FOR THOSE TO BE ADOPTED WHICH WE'LL GO OVER IN MORE DETAIL LATER ON. THIS CHAPTER ALSO INCLUDES A SECTION ON GROUP HOMES AND CODE ENFORCEMENT AND THESE WERE ALSO TWO THEMES THAT CAME OUT CONSISTENTLY IN THE MEETINGS THAT WEZ WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THESE ARE MAJOR ISSUES, AREAS OF CONCERN FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD. SO THERE'S -- THERE'S A SERIES OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EACH OF THOSE TWO SECTIONS ON HOW TO -- THAT OFFER SOME SOLUTIONS FOR THAT PROBLEM, AND FOR THOSE PROBLEMS AS WELL. NEXT I'LL TALK ABOUT PARKS AND OPEN SPACE. THERE ARE TWO PARKS IN THESE NEIGHBORHOOD, DOTTY JORDAN PARK IN UNIVERSITY HILLS AND BARTHOLOMEW PARK IN WINDSOR PARK. THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR -- IN THIS -- DEALING WITH THE PARKS DEAL PRIMARILY WITH WAYS TO IMPROVE MAINTENANCE OF THESE PARKS AND ALSO EXPANDING THE FACILITIES AT EACH OF THE PARKS. THE TWO -- THERE ARE ACTUALLY THREE CREEKS THAT RUN THROUGH UNIVERSITY HILLS, TINA HILL CREEK AND FORTH BRANCH CREEK AND THIS CHAPTER FOCUSES ON LITTLE WALNUT CREEK BECAUSE FORTH BRANCH IS GENERALLY BUILT OUT WITH FAMILY DWELLINGS ON EITHER SIDE OF IT. THERE WAS A FAIR AMOUNT OF CONCERN ABOUT THE CONTINUED CREEK CHANNEL EROSION IN LITTLE WALL NET CREEK SO THIS CHAPTER OFFERS RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SOLVING THAT PROBLEM AND WATERSHIP PROTECTION AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW HAVE REVIEWED THEM AND HELPED US WRITE THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS SO THAT IT COORDINATES WITH THEIR EXISTING WORK PROGRAMS. AND TAN A HILL CREEK, THERE WAS SOME INTEREST

DURING THE PLANNING PROCESS ABOUT BUILDING A GREENBELT TRAIL THAT WAS RUN ALONG THE CREEK. TAN A HILL RUNS BASICALLY NORTH, JUST NORTH OF 52ND STREET AND THROUGH BARTHOLOMEW PARK AND THEN IT CONNECTS TO I BELIEVE PORTIONS OF -- I BELIEVE PORTIONS OF THE CREEK ALSO RUN THROUGH THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT. SO THERE'S AN IDEA THAT THE TRAIL COULD BE BUILT ALONG -- ALONG THIS CREEK THAT WOULD EVENTUALLY CONNECT TO THE TRAILS IN THE MILLER REDEVELOPMENT AS WELL. SO THERE'S SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HOW THIS COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED IF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WANTED TO PURSUE IT IN MORE DETAIL IN THE FUTURE. AND LASTLY WE'LL FOCUS -- SPEND A LITTLE BIT OF TIME ON THE LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS. THE LAND USE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS PLAN ARE LISTED BY CORRIDORS SO THESE ARE THE FOUR MAJOR ROADS IN THE PLANNING AREA WITH THE EXCLUSION OF THE HIGHWAYS THAT YOU SAW FORM THE EXTERIOR BOUNDARIES. THESE WOULD BE CAMERON ROAD, 51ST STREET, BERKMAN DRIVE AND MANOR ROAD. YOU CAN -- THIS IS -- THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP, WHICH ESSENTIALLY SUMMARIZES ALL THE LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WERE MADE IN THIS CHAPTER, YOU CAN SEE THAT IN THE BROWN -- BROWN STANDS FOR MIXED-USE SO YOU CAN SEE THERE'S MIXED-USE PROPOSED ON THE EXTERIOR OF THE PLANNING AREA ALONG THOSE -- ALONG THOSE CORRIDORS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE MAJORITY OF BERKMAN DRIVE, WHICH RUNS THROUGH THE CENTER OF WINDSOR PARK. AND THE INTERIOR OF THE PLANNING AREA, WHICH IS IN GENERAL SINGLE-FAMILY, IS -- THE ZONING REMAINS THE SAME. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS.]

TO SUMMARIZE THAT WAS WHOT MIXED USE MAP, THE MIXED USE ON CAPITAL PLAZ IS ALONG THE INFILL OPTION AND THIS PROVIDES A FRAMEWORK FOR HOW SOME OF THESE EXISTING SHOPPING CENTERS CAN BE REVIEGHTSLIZED AND REDONE SO THEY HAVE ALL THE RESIDENTIAL AND COMPONENT TO THEM. AS YOU ALSO SAW ON THE MAP THAT THE MIXED USE COMBINING DISTRICT IS ALONG THE SOUTHERN PORTION OF CAMERON. AS YOU HEARD ME MENTION BEFORE, THERE WAS A STRONG

SENTIMENT TO HAVE MORE PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY DEVELOPMENT IN THE PLANNING AREA AND SO THIS SENTIMENT WAS EXPRESS ODD CAMERON ROAD. THERE'S INCOMPLETE SIDEWALK NETWORK, IT'S A HIGH TRAFFIC STREET. IT'S GENERALLY NOT A FRIENDLY STREET TO WALK ALONG. RESIDENTS WANT TO SEE MORE PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY DEVELOPMENTS AND THAT'S WHERE THE IDEA CAME TO DESIGNATE THIS STREET AS A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR. I'LL GO INTO MORE DETAIL ABOUT THAT A LITTLE BIT LATER. MOVING ALONG TO 51st STREET, 51st STREET RIGHT NOW IS CURRENTLY A MIX OF RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND CIVIC USES. AS YOU'VE HEARD ME MENTION, IT'S ACROSS FROM THE MUELLER REDEVELOPMENT. THE NORTHERN PORTION OF 51st VEET IS WITHIN THE WINDSOR PARK AREA AND THE SOUTHERN PORTION FORMS THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY OF THE MUELLER REDEVELOPMENT. AS YOU CAN SEE ON THIS CLOSE-UP OF THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP OF 51st STREET THAT MIXED USE IS PROPOSED ON 51st BETWEEN CAMERON ROAD AND A LITTLE BIT EAST OF LANCASTER COURT. YOU WILL ALSO SEE THAT THE EXISTING MULTI-FAMILY ON 52nd STREET, IN BETWEEN THAT AND THE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TOWARD THE INTERIOR OF WUFBL SORE PARK. YOU'LL SEE NEIGHBORHOOD OFFICE IS PROPOSED ALONG LANCASTER COURT AND THERE'S TWO LARGE CIVIC USES ALONG THE EASTERN PORTION OF 51st STREET, THE KATHLEEN CHURCH AND ALSO THE PROMISE LAND CHURCH. SO THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S VISION FOR 51st STREET WOULD BE FOR IT TO HAVE COMMERCIAL MIXED USE ALONG THE -- ALONG THAT FIRST SECTION OF 51st STREET BETWEEN CAMERON AND LIKE YOU SAW ON THE MAP JUST A LITTLE BIT EAST OF LANCASTER COURT AND THIS WOULD BE ESSENTIALLY TO COMPLIMENT THE USES OF THE MUELLER REDEVELOPMENT BECAUSE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STREET IS THE LARGER RETAIL STORES THAT ARE GOING IN RIGHT NOW. AS YOU ALSO -- AS YOU SAW ON THE MAP THERE ARE CIVIC -- THERE ARE EXISTING CIVIC USES ON THE OTHER THAN PORTION OF 51st STREET, SO THE BASE ZONING ON THOSE SITES IS PROPOSED TO BE OFFICE ZONING AS ALSO SERVING AS A TRANSITION INTO THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD, WHICH THOSE WOULD GO UP TO BERKMAN DRIVE. AND THERE WAS ALSO A SIMILAR TO

CAMERON ROAD, THERE WAS A SENTIMENT TO HAVE MORE PEDESTRIAN ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT ALONG 51st SO THIS ROADWAY IS ALSO PROPOSED AS A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR AS WELL. MOVING TO BERKMAN DRIVE, IT IS PRIMARILY A RESIDENTIAL DRIVE. THIS COMMERCIAL NODE AS YOU CAN SEE IN THE PICTURES IS PRIMARILY CHARACTERIZED BY SHOPPING CENTERS SURROUNDED BY SURFACE PARKING AND ALSO SOME UNDERUTILIZED VACANT SPACE AS VACANT STORE..... STOREFRONTS. THIS IS A CLOSE-UP SO YOU CAN SEE THE COMMERCIAL NODE AT THE CENTER OF THAT -- OF THE MAP THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT RIGHT NOW. YOU HAVE A COMMERCIAL NODE THAT'S SURROUNDED BY THE YELLOW, WHICH IS THE SINGLE-FAMILY. SO ON THE NORTHERN PORTION OF BERKMAN DRIVE HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY IS PROPOSED AS A TRANSITION INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD AS THE COMMERCIAL AT THE NORTH END OF BERKMAN WHERE IT INTERSECTS WITH HIGHWAY 290 DOWN INTO THE WINDSOR VILLAGE SHOPPING CENTER AT THE CENTER OF THAT MAP. MIXED USE IS PROPOSED AT THE WINDSOR VILLAGE SHOPPING CENTER AND ALSO MIXED USE WITH THE PROPERTIES FURTHER WEST ON -- FARTHER EAST ON GASTON PLACE. RESIDENTS IN THE WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA, AT THE LAND USE WORKSHOPS, EXPRESSED THAT THEY WANTED TO MAINTAIN THE GENERALLY RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER OF BERKMAN DRIVE. THERE ARE NO ZONING CHANGES PROPOSED FOR -- TO TAKE ANY RESIDENTIAL UNITS OUT OF RESIDENTIAL USE IN THE FUTURE, ALTHOUGH THERE WAS SOME CHANGE TO GO FROM SINGLE-FAMILY, FROM SF-3, THE STANDARD SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING, TO A HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY ALONG THAT NORTHERN PORTION OF BERKMAN DRIVE. AND THEY ALSO WANTED TO SEE A MORE PEDESTRIAN ORIENTED TOWN CENTER AT THE WINDSOR VILLAGE SHOPPING CENTER AT BERKMAN'S INTERSECTION WITH BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE. AS YOU SAW ON THAT MAP, IT'S A CENTER OF COMMERCIAL USES IN THE MIDDLE OF SINGLE-FAMILY, SO THEY THOUGHT IT COULD SERVE BE AS A MINI DOWNED FOR THE WINDSOR PARK AREA. THIS IS ACCOMPLISHED OR RECOMMENDED TO BE ACCOMPLISHED IN SEVERAL DIFFERENT WAYS, BY PROPOSING THE NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN CENTER OPTION AT THE WINDSOR

VILLAGE SHOPPING CENTER. BY PROPOSING MIXED USE AT THE NEARBY PROPERTIES AND ALSO DESIGNATING THAT STRETCH OF BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE WHERE THAT COMMERCIAL NODE IS AS A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR AS WELL. SO LASTLY I'LL TALK ABOUT THE RECOMMENDATIONS ALONG MANOR ROAD. SIMILAR TO 51st, MANOR RIGHT NOW IS A MIX OF RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL USES, BUT THERE ARE DMECIAL USES AT THE INTERSECTIONS OF MANOR WITH ROGGE AND LOYOLA LANE. YOU WILL SEE ON THE SLUM THAT THE EXISTING RESIDENTIAL USES WOULD REMAIN THE SAME ON THAT LOWER PORTION. YOU CAN SEE THE RESIDENTIAL USES ON THE LOWER PORTION OF THE MAP THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT NOW. THERE'S MIXED USE PROPOSED AT THE EXISTING COMMERCIAL SITES, AT ITS INTERSECTION WITH ROGGE AND MANOR. MIXED USE AT THE INTERSECTION OF LOYOLA AND MANOR AND RESIDENTS TALKED ABOUT THAT ALSO BEING ESSENTIALLY A TOWN CENTER FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS PLANNING AREA. MIXED USE AT THE SPRINGDALE SHOPPING CENTER AND THERE ALSO IS A SITE PROPOSED FOR THE RESIDENTIAL INFILL OPTION AT THEIR LARGER VACANT LOT AT THE INTERSECTION OF LOYOLA AND 183. JUST TO SUMMARIZE THAT, RESIDENTS ALSO WANTED MANOR ROAD TO BE A PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY USE WITH A MIX OF USES TO IMPROVE THE SPRINGDALE SHOPPING CENTER AND AS YOU YOU'VE SEEN THE SAME THING IN THE SHOPPING CENTER, THERE IS A PROPOSAL TO ADOPT THE INFILL OPTION ON THAT SITE AND ALSO HAVE THE MIXED USE AT LOYOLA AND WINDSOR INTERSECTION.

> ONE OF THE THINGS IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM TO ADOPT CERTAIN OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THEM IN THE PROCESS. CERTAIN OPTIONS ARE THE SMALL LOT AMNESTY THAT WOULD HAVE DEVELOPMENT ON PARCELS CURRENTLY SMALLER THAN THE CITY AS LEGAL LOT SIZE, THE SECONDARY APARTMENT INFILL OPTION AND ALSO WHICH I MENTIONED BEFORE THE NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN CENTER, CAPITAL PLAZA AND WINDSOR VILLAGE SHUPING CENTERS. IN RESIDENTIAL HILLS THE INFILL OPTION IS PROPOSED AT A CURRENTLY VACANT SITE ON LOYOLA LANE AND HIGHWAY 183. AND WHAT THAT INFILL OPTION DOES IS IT ALLOWS FOR A VARIETY OF HOUSING TYPES ON ONE SITE

SO YOU COULD DO A DEVELOPMENT WHERE HAVE YOU SINGLE-FAMILY, DPLEKSZ, TOWNHOMES, YOU COULD MIX AND MATCH ON ONE PARCEL. AND ALSO THE NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN CENTER IS ALSO PROPOSED AT THE SPRINGDALE SHOPPING CENTER. IN ADDITION TO THE LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS CHAPTER, THERE'S ALSO A SECTION ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND A SECTION ON DESIGN. SO I'LL FIRST TALK ABOUT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. AS YOU'VE HEARD ME MENTION BEFORE, THERE WAS A STRONG SENTIMENT DURING THE WORKSHOPS THAT WE HAD DURING THE PLANNING PROCESS THAT THE EXISTING SHOPPING CENTERS IN THE PLANNING AREA BE IMPROVED AND REVITALIZED. SO THIS CHAPTER OFFERS SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HOW THIS COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED. AND ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT IS PROPOSED IS TO HAVE ADDITIONAL COLLABORATION BETWEEN NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AND THE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND REDEVELOPMENT SERVICES ANNIE OTHER APPLICABLE ORGANIZATIONS TO LOOK AT THE BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IN UNIVERSITY HILLS WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA AND TO SEE WHY OR WHY NOT BUSINESSES LOCATE THERE AND USE THAT INFORMATION TO MAKE IT A MORE ATTRACTIVE PLACE TO DO BUSINESS. AND THAT MAY HAPPEN ANYWAYS WITH THE MUELLER REDEVELOPMENT ACROSS THE STREET, BUT THIS CHAPTER OFFERS A SERIES OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HOW TO OFFER ADDITIONAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES IN EACH OF THOSE PLANNING AREAS. AS FAR AS RESIDENTIAL DESIGN, THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS SECTION CALL FOR COMPATIBILITY OF NEW STRUCTURES ANDRY MODELED STRUCTURE WITH SURROUNDING STRUCTURES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND IT DOES THIS BY CALLING UPON -- BY EMPHASIZING CONSISTENCY WITH THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARDS ALSO KNOWN AS THE McMANSION ORDINANCE THAT WAS RECENTLY ADOPTED. THE SECTION ALSO DESCRIBES THE DESIGN TOOLS THAT ARE PROPOSED TO BE ADOPTED WITH THIS PLAN, AND I'LL GO OVER THEM WHEN WE GO THROUGH YOUR MOTION SHEETS. AND THERE'S ALSO A SET OF DESIGN GUIDELINES SPECIFICALLY FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. -- FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS PLANNING AREA

AND UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION PLAYED A LARGE PART IN WRITING THOSE DESIGN GUIDELINES. AND THE MAJOR RELIGIOUS IN THE COMMERCIAL DESIGN SECTION OF THE LAND USE CHAPTER IS THE CODE AMENDMENT THAT I'VE MENTIONED FOR THE THREE NEW CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS ON 51st STREET, CAMERON ROAD AND BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE. THERE'S ALSO A SERIES OF SITE SPECIFIC DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS THAT DEAL SPECIFICALLY PRIMARILY WITH THE SHOPPING CENTERS THAT YOU'VE HEARD ME MENTION BEFORE, BUT ALSO OTHER COMMERCIAL SITES AS WELL. SO THAT CONCLUDES THE PRESENTATION ON THE PLAN, BE AND NEXT I'D LIKE TO JUST MOVE STRAIGHT ON TO THE PRESENTATION ON THE AMENDMENT TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE FOR THOSE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS ABOUT THE PLAN, COUNCIL? COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN.

McCracken: CAN YOU TELL US ABOUT THE -- WHAT'S IN THE PLAN FOR CREATING THE TANNER HILL CREEK TRAIL THAT WAS LAID OUT AS A PROPOSAL?

THE REPRESENTATIONS FOR THAT SECTION OF THE PLAN TALK ABOUT THE -- WHAT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE TO THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF EASEMENTS THAT ARE ALONG THAT TRAIL. I BELIEVE IT'S A DRAINAGE EASEMENT RIGHT NOW, SO IT TALKS ABOUT REVISING LANGUAGE IN A DRAINAGE EASEMENT TO ALLOW FOR ACCESS AND ALSO HOW YOU WOULD GO ABOUT PURSUING POSSIBLY RECREATIONAL EASEMENT, WHICH IS A LITTLE BIT MORE DIFFICULT TO DO THAN JUST REVISING LANGUAGE IN AN EXISTING EASEMENT AND IT ALSO TALKS ABOUT COLLABORATING WITH PROPERTY OWNERS ALONG THE CREEKS. ACTUALLY, THE PROMISELAND CHURCH OWNS A VERY LARGE SECTION OF TANNER HILL CREEK, WHICH WAS VIEWED AS AN OPPORTUNITY BECAUSE IT'S ALREADY A CIVIC OR PUBLIC ORIENTED USE AND THAT CREEK WOULD ALSO BE A CIVIC OR PUBLIC ORIENTED USE AS WELL.

McCracken: SO IS IT THAT ELSEWHERE ON THE CREEK THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES BACKING UP

ON TO THE CREEK? IS THAT THE I AM PEDESTRIAN SNMENT.

THAT IS CORRECT. THERE ARE MANY SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES THAT BACK BE UP TO THE CREEK AND SOME OF THE RESIDENTS ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE IDEA OF A GREENBELT. THERE WASN'T 100% SUPPORT FOR THIS RECOMMENDATION, BUT THERE WAS -- PEOPLE WERE DEFINITELY INTERESTED IN IT.

McCracken: OKAY. SO THERE ANY KIND OF TOOL THAT COUNCIL COULD PROVIDE ENCOURAGEMENT OF OR MAKE HAPPEN TO FACILITATE THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S INTEREST IN THE TRAIL ALONG TANNER HILL CREEK?

I'M SORRY, YOU'RE ASKING IF THERE'S SOME KIND OF TOOL ON WHAT COULD BE DONE TO FURTHER ALONG THIS RECOMMENDATION?

...

McCracken: RIGHT. I HEARD MONEY. [LAUGHTER] WE'RE WORKING ON THAT. BUT IN ADDITION TO MONEY, IS THERE LIKE -- SOMETHING LIKE IF WE HAD LEGAL STAFF START WORKING ON LIKE OBTAINING THESE TRAIL EASEMENTS, IS THAT IN THE WORKS OR DO WE NEED TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN?

IT'S NOT IN THE WORKS. IT COULD BE SOMETHING THAT YOU COULD GIVE DIRECTION TO OR SOMETHING THAT THE CONTRACT TEAMS WOULD WANT TO BEGIN TO PURSUE UPON ADOPTION OF THE PLAN. THAT COULD BE ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THEY WOULD CHOOSE TO FOCUS ON OR WORK WITH. OUR IMPLEMENTATION PLANNER WHO WOULD ALSO HELP COORDINATE WITH PEOPLE IN PARKS AND WATERSHED PROTECTION ON THE REVISING THE LANGUAGE IN THE DRAINAGE EASEMENTS.

McCracken: LISA -- BECAUSE WE'RE JUST DOING FIRST READING TONIGHT. SO BEFORE SECOND READING, I THINK IT WOULD BE BE HELPFUL IF Y'ALL COULD GIVE US A LEGAL ASSESSMENT OF WHAT WAS NEEDED IN TERMS OF OBTAINING -- CHANGING THIS. AND WE SHOULD DO IT. THE SECOND QUESTION I HAVE IS DOES THE NEIGHBORHOOD

PLAN FOR THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS INCLUDE SUPPORT FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING DENSITY BONUSES?

I KNOW THAT THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, I MIGHT HAVE TO HAVE THEM CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG ON THIS. IT DOES INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO ADOPT THE VMU OVERLAY ON THOSE SITES. I'M NOT EXACTLY POSITIVE THAT I GET THIS INFORMATION FOR YOU ON WHETHER OR NOT THE INDIVIDUAL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS DID ACTUALLY CHOOSE TO OPT IN TO VMU ON EACH OF THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS, BUT THAT RECOMMENDATION IS INCLUDED IN THE PLAN.

McCracken: IF WE COULD LEARN THAT BEFORE SECOND READING. AND THEN MY FINAL QUESTION IS, WHAT IS THE NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN CENTER INFILL OPTION? I KNOW YOU SAID IT ALLOWS A MIX OF HOUSING TYPES AND COMMERCIAL AREAS, BUT DOES IT HAVE FORM SPECIFICATIONS THAT IT REQUIRES VERTICAL MIXED USE? WHAT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT HERE?

IT DOES REQUIRE I BELIEVE -- GOING OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD ON THIS RIGHT NOW, BUT IT DOES REQUIRE A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF COMMERCIAL USES AND RESIDENTIAL USES AND IT DOES PROHIBIT MORE AUTO ORIENTED TYPE DESIGNS SUCH AS DRIVE-THROUGHS AND BUILDINGS. I THINK IT REQUIRES AT LEAST A MINIMUM OF 20% RESIDENTIAL USES ON THE SITE.

McCracken: DOES IT ACTUALLY REQUIRE MIXES OF USES WITHIN INDIVIDUAL BUILDINGS IN THE DEVELOPMENT?

NO, IT DOES NOT.

McCracken: I'LL GET BRIEFED FROM YOU LATER ON IT. THAT'S FINE. ALL RIGHT. THEN WITH -- WITHOUT ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS, I'LL MOVE ON TO MY DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT. -- THE PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT. AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, THE CODE AMENDMENT WOULD AMEND THE DESIGN STANDARDS AND MIXED USE SUBCHAPTER OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE TO DESIGNATE THREE NEW CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS ON

CAMERON ROAD, EAST 51st STREET AND BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE. AND I DO NOT TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION THAT THE -- THAT BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE WAS NOT RECOMMENDED AS A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION, ALTHOUGH THERE IS SUPPORT FROM THE COMMUNITY AND STAFF FOR IT TO BE A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR. THIS MAP SHOWS THE EXTENT OF EACH OF THESE ROADWAYS PROPOSED FOR THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR DESIGNATION, SO YOU CAN SEE IT'S THE ENTIRE PORTION OF CAMERON ROAD WITHIN THE WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA FROM 51st STREET TO HIGHWAY 290. IT WOULD BE THE ENTIRE PORTION OF 51st STREET FROM CAMERON ROAD TO MANOR ROAD AND JUST THE SECTION OF BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE THAT'S WITHIN THAT EXISTING COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT THAT YOU SAW IN THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP. SO THERE ARE THREE -- THE DESIGN STANDARDS ON A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR RELATE TO THREE DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS, SO IT BE THE SIDEWALKS, BUILDING PLACEMENT AND PARKING. SO RIGHT NOW EACH OF THESE ROADWAYS AND DESIGN STANDARDS AND MIXED USE SUBCHAPTER ARE DESIGNATED AS URBAN ROADWAYS, SO THERE'S A DIFFERENCE IN -- THERE ARE DIFFERENT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS THAN THERE ARE FOR URBAN ROADWAYS. ON A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR THERE'S A 15-FOOT MINIMUM WIDTH FOR A SIDEWALK AND STREET TREES ARE REQUIRED WHEREAS ON AN URBAN ROADWAY, STREET TREES ARE OPTIONAL AND THE SIDEWALK WIDTH IS A MINIMUM OF 12 FEET. THERE'S ALSO AS YOU HEARD ME MENTION BEFORE, THE BUILDING PLACEMENT IS AT LEAST 75% OF THE BUILDING MUST BE UP AGAINST THE SIDEWALK ON A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR WHERE IT'S ONLY 40% ALONG AN URBAN ROADWAY. AND PARKING IS THE LAST SECTION OF DESIGN CRITERIA ALONG A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR PARKING IS NOT PERMITTED BETWEEN THE STREET AND THE BUILDING, AND ON AN URBAN ROADWAY IT IS PERMITTED BETWEEN THE STREET AND THE BUILDING. SO IT'S A FAIRLY SUBSTANTIAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE DESIGN STANDARDS FOR EACH OF THOSE ROADWAY CLASSES. THE DESIGN STANDARDS AND MIXED USE SUBCHAPTER OFFER THREE CRITERIA FOR A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR AND THESE ARE IN QUOTES

TAKEN DIRECTLY FROM THE CODE. THERE ARE TO HAVE SUFFICIENT POPULATION DENSITY, A MIX OF USES AND TRANSIT FACILITIES TO ENCOURAGE TRANSIT USE. AS YOU SAW IN EACH OF THE FUTURE LAND USE MAPS FOR CAMERON ROAD, 51st STREET AND ALSO THAT SECTION OF BERKMAN DRIVE, IN STAFF'S OPINION THERE'S SUFFICIENT DENSITY TO FORWARD THESE DESIGN STANDARDS THAT Y SAW. THERE'S ALSO A MIX OF USES. YOU HAD SINGLE-FAMILY USES, COMMERCIAL USES, A FAIR AMOUNT OF CIVIC USES ALONG EACH OF THESE ROADWAYS AND ALSO YOU SAW THE ADDITIONAL MIXED USE THAT'S PROPOSED WITH THE LAND USE AND ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE PLAN. AS FAR AS TRANSIT FACILITIES, THERE ARE SEVERAL BUS ROUTES THAT RUN ALONG BOTH CAMERON ROAD AND BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE. 51st STREET DOES NOT CURRENTLY HAVE A BUS ROUTE, BUT IT IS PROPOSED AS CAPITAL METRO'S CALL SYSTEMS GO PLAN TO HAVE A STREET CAR CIRCULATOR ROUTE RUN THROUGH THAT SECTION OF 51st STREET ALSO. SO THE PLANNING COMMISSION, I JUST WANTED TO BRIEF OUT THAT QUICKLY. ON JUNE 26TH, THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF CAMERON ROAD AND 51st STREET, BUT DID NOT HAVE APPROVAL OF BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE. THE NOMENCLATURE OF CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR, IT'S DIFFICULT TO IMAGINE GASTON PLACE AS SOMETHING CALLED A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR AND WE JUST WANTED TO REITERATE THAT THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR WOULD BE FOR THE DESIGN STANDARDS ONLY. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THERE IS NEW TRANSIT PROPOSED ON THAT -- ALONG THAT ROADWAY. AND WE JUST WANTED TO REITERATE THAT BOTH THE --

Martinez: I WANTED TO ASK ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM WITH GASTON PLACE, IS THE SUPPORT FOR CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR MAINLY OF WHAT IT COULD POTENTIALLY DO FOR THE SHOPPING CENTER, COMMERCIAL PROPERTY THAT'S THERE TO TRANSFORM IT INTO A VERTICAL MIXED USE? RIGHT NOW IT'S A PRETTY VACANT, BIG COMMERCIAL AREA? AND IS THAT THE REASON FOR SUPPORTING THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR IN TERMS OF WHAT IT DOES TO ZONING?

COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ, THE REASON BEHIND

SUPPORTING THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR DESIGNATION FOR THAT SECTION IS PRIMARILY FOR DOESN'T STANDARDS, FOR THE MORE PEDESTRIAN ORIENTED DESIGN STANDARDS. YOU ARE CORRECT THAT ALONG THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR, THE MIXED USE IS APPLICABLE, BUT THEY CAN OPT OUT AS WELL. I THINK THE NEIGHBORHOOD REALLY WANTED TO SEE THAT WINDSOR VILLAGE SHOPPING CENTER AS A MORE PEDESTRIAN ORIENTED TOWN CENTER. AND ONE WAY OR BASICALLY THE ONLY WAY OF REQUIRING THOSE PEDESTRIAN ORIENTED DESIGN STANDARDS WOULD BE THROUGH THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR DESIGNATION.

SO WE COULDN'T DO THAT THROUGH CONVENTIONAL ZONING METHODS?

NO, WE'VE TALKED TO THE LEGAL STAFF AN THAT'S NOT POSSIBLE.

Martinez: THANKS, MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: THIS SEEMS UNUSUAL. DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER EXAMPLES OF A SHORT STREET SEGMENT ABOUT THE DESIGNATED CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR? THAT SEEMS KIND OF FUNNY TO ME.

THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED THROUGH THE PLANNING PROCESS. SO IN TERMS OF NEW CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS BEING DESIGNATED, NO, WE DON'T HAVE AN EXAMPLE, BUT I BELIEVE THAT THE SMALL SECTION OF MESA DRIVE IN NORTHWEST AUSTIN IS A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR RIGHT NOW IN THE DISIEWFBL STANDARDS AND MIXED USE SUBCHAPTER.

McCracken: MAYOR, I CAN GIVE A LITTLE BACKGROUND. IT'S ONE OF THE PRODUCTS OF THE STAKEHOLDER GROUND NEGOTIATIONS. THERE WAS A DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN THE NEIGHBORHOOD REPRESENTATIVES IN THE STAKEHOLDER GROUP WHO WANTED BUILDINGS TO HAVE BIG SIDEWALKS AND COME UP TO THE SIDEWALK, AND THE DEVELOPMENT REPRESENTATIVES DID NOT WANT THAT.

AND SO AS A COMPROMISE, WHAT REACHED WAS THAT WE WOULD CALL ONE SET OF ROADS, CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS TO SIMPLY REQUIRE BIG SIDEWALKS, STREET TREES AND ALLOW MIXED USE. AND THEN ON THE OTHER ROADS WE CALL THEM URBAN ROADWAYS TO SAY THAT SIDEWALKS HAD TO BE 12 FEET INSTEAD OF 15 FEET AND YOU DID NOT HAVE TO NECESSARILY PUT THE BUILDINGS UP AT THE SIDEWALK. SO THE TERM CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR IS BEING LOOKED BACK ON THIS THAT WE WISH WE HAD GIVEN A DIFFERENT NAME. IT'S BEEN SUGGESTED MAIN STREET OVERLAY, WHICH IS IT WOULD MEAN EXACTLY THE SAME THING. IT WOULD MEAN 15 FEET SIDEWALKS AND STREET TREES. SO I THINK THAT THAT IS -- IT IS A TERM THAT AS WE LOOK BACK ON IT HAS CREATED CONFUSION. IT REALLY WAS A DESIGN TOOL AND NOT A TRANSIT TOOL. IT WAS A COMPROMISE SO THAT NEIGHBORHOODS COULD GET BIG SIDEWALKS AND NICE STUFF WITHOUT IT BEING REQUIRED EVERYWHERE.

JUST TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION WHAT MY CO-WORKER MARGARET JUST PASSED OUT TO YOU; THE MAP THAT WOULD BE AMENDED WITH THE CODE AMENDMENT TO ADD - - RIGHT NOW THIS SHOWS A MAP OF THE EXISTING -- FOR THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS AND THE FUTURE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS AND IT'S BEEN UPDATED TO WHAT IT WOULD LOOK LIKE IF CAMERON ROAD AND 51st STREET WERE DESIGNATED AS CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS. AND IF YOU WERE TO TAKE ACTION TO ADD BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE, THAT WOULD BE ADDED TO THIS MAP AS WELL. THAT IS ALL I HAD IN MY PRESENTATION. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY OTHER QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS, COUNCIL? SO I THINK WE COULD TAKE CITIZEN INPUT BEFORE WE START WALKING THROUGH THE MOTION STREETS.

RIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: SO LET'S SEE. TECHNICALLY WE HAVE FOUR ITEMS, THE FIRST OF WHICH IS THE ACTUAL COMBINED NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. THE SECOND OF, WHICH ITEM 56 SRKTS UNIVERSITY HILLS COMBINING DISTRICT. THE THIRD ONE IS WINDSOR PARKS COMBINING DISTRICT. AND THE

LAST IS THE TRANSIT CORRIDOR STUFF. IT SEEMS THAT'S NOT PERFECTLY CONSISTENT, BUT MANY PEOPLE HAVE SIGNED UP ON SEVERAL OF THESE ITEMS. AND SO I THINK WITHOUT OBJECTION, COUNCIL, WHAT WE CAN DO IS -- FROM A PUBLIC HEARING STANDPOINT, CALL UP ALL FOUR ITEMS SIMULTANEOUSLY AND JUST RECOGNIZE THAT SOME FOLKS WILL HAVE MORE OF AN INTEREST FRANKLY IN EITHER UNIVERSITY HILLS OR WINDSOR PARK OR PERHAPS THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS, BUT THEY CAN CERTAINLY GIVE US THEIR TESTIMONY AND THEN WE CAN HAVE THAT AS WE WALK THROUGH THE MOTION SHEETS. SO WITHOUT OBJECTION, WHY DON'T WE GO TO OUR SIS 17 SIGN UP AND - TO SUR CITIZEN SIGN UP AND I'LL TAKE THESE SEQUENTIALLY AND WE'LL HAVE ANYBODY WHO SIGNED UP FOR ANY OF THE FOUR SPEAK NOW. OKAY, FIRST SPEAKER - YES, COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: I MAY HAVE MISSED IT. I WAS BACK HAVING SOME DINNER A MINUTE AGO. I WANTED TO LET THE FOLKS KNOW THAT ARE HERE FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING ON HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, I JUST WANTED THEM TO KNOW THAT IT COULD BE AWHILE BEFORE WE GET TO THEM. THERE ARE A LOT OF FOLK HERE THAT WANT TO SPEAK TO THIS ISSUE, BUT OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE TO GET TO THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. SO BE PATIENT WITH US. AND I APOLOGIZE, BUT WE'LL BE QUICK.

Mayor Wynn: WE'LL DO OUR BEST TO GET THROUGH THIS QUICKLY. OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS THERESA HOWARD. SHE SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK. AND LET'S SEE, IS MICHAEL CAESAR HERE? YES, YOU NEED TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF AS PART OF OUR COUNCIL RULE. SO YOU WILL HAVE UP TO SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT AND YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY ALANA MOORE, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY RICK (INDISCERNIBLE). AND YOU CAN SPEAK ON ANY SIDE YOU WANT.

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC].

Mayor Wynn: YOU BET YOU. WE HAVE ALL SORTS OF HIGH-TECH PRODUCTS OVER THERE.

[INAUDIBLE]. I AM A RESIDENT OF WINDSOR PARK. I WANT

TO TELL YOU GOOD EVENING AND THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME SPEAK. I SUPPORT THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, AND THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH IT. AND I WANTED TO TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MY EXPERIENCE WITH THE PLANNING, AND SOME THOUGHTS I'VE HAD ON VARIOUS ISSUES THAT HAVE COMING UP.

WE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN THE MEDIATION AMONG TWO DIFFERENT NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS FOR THE WINDSOR PARK PLAN. I MYSELF CANNOT CLAIM TO BE AN EXPERT ON CITY PLANNING: I DO HAVE A BACKGROUND IN GEOGRAPHY, SO MAPS ARE SOMETHING THAT I WORK WITH. AND I MUST ADMIT THAT I CAME LATE TO THE PLANNING PROCESS. I DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETINGS THAT WERE HELD, ALTHOUGH I WAS AWARE OF THEM, I RECEIVED THE PACKETS, I FOLLOWED ALONG WITH THE WINDOW ON WINDSOR MONTHLY NEWSLETTER THAT'S DISTRIBUTED FOR FREE TO EVERYONE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD AREA. AND I FELT THAT PEOPLE WERE DOING A GOOD JOB. MY DAUGHTER CHIDED ME. MY DAUGHTER WHO HAS JUST FINISHED HER DEGREE IN GEOGRAPHY, CHIDED ME AND SAID YOU HAVE GOT TO GET INVOLVED. SO I ATTENDED THE FEBRUARY MEETING THAT CITY STAFF HELD TO PRESENT A DRAFT OF THE PLAN. I REVIEWED THE MAP, I PARTICIPATED IN THE SURVEY, I LISTENED TO THE PRESENTATIONS AND I LIKED WHAT I SAW. IT WAS A GOOD PLAN, IT MADE SENSE TO ME. IT SEEMED A SENSIBLE PLAN. BUT I STILL DIDN'T REALLY DO ANYTHING OTHER THAN I SIGNED UP FOR -- I SIGNED THE SURVEY, I SIGNED UP TO BE ON THE CONTACT TEAM, BUT I WAS NOT AWARE OF ANY OPPOSITION TO THE PLAN OR I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THE PEOPLE WHO HAD WORKED ON PUTTING THIS PLAN TOGETHER. I JUST CALLED MYSELF COMPLACENT. I DIDN'T DO ANYTHING. I THOUGHT OTHER PEOPLE WOULD SEE WHAT I WANTED. BUT LATER ON IN THE SPRING I RECEIVED A FLYER IN THE MAIL THAT CONCERNED ME. I THOUGHT HOW COULD PEOPLE SEE THE PLAN IN THIS WAY. AND WHEN I DID RECEIVE A NOTICE THAT THERE WOULD BE ANOTHER PUBLIC MEETING AT UNIVERSITY HILLS LIBRARY, I DECIDED TO GO AND I DRUG MY HUSBAND ALONG WITH ME, TO LISTEN TO MORE INFORMATION. I WANTED TO GET MORE INFORMATION ON THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR,

ON THE DESIGN TOOLS. THERE WERE A LOT OF GAPS IN MY KNOWLEDGE. AND I HEARD A LOT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE PLAN THAT TO ME PERSONALLY I COULD UNDERSTAND THAT PEOPLE WOULD BE MAYBE FRIGHTENED OR CONCERNED ABOUT THE THINGS THAT ARE GOING ON IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD NOW BECAUSE MORE PEOPLE ARE MOVING INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND PROPERTY VALUES SEEM TO BE GOING UP. BUT I SIMPLY DIDN'T AGREE WITH THE COMMENTS THAT I WAS HEARING. I WAS VERY IMPRESSED AT HOW CITY STAFF WAS VERY PATIENTLY EXPLAINING ALL THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS IN THE PLAN. IT'S A COMPLICATED PROCESS AND IT TAKES A LONG TIME FOR THESE KINDS OF THINGS TO SINK IN. BUT I DECIDED AT THAT POINT I NEEDED TO GET INVOLVED BECAUSE I LIKED THE PLAN AND I WANTED TO SEE IT HAPPEN. SO I ATTENDED THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, I GOT TO KNOW OTHER NEIGHBORHOOD FOLKS WHO HAD BEEN WORKING ON THE PLAN BE AND WERE VERY WELL INFORMED OF THE PLANNING, THE PARKS, THE TRANSPORTATION, THE ZONING, THE COMMUNITY ACTION, ALL THE DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF THE PLAN. AND THEN I ALSO VOLUNTEERED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE MEDIATION BECAUSE I FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT. I THINK NOW I HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHY SOME FOLKS FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE PLAN EVEN THOUGH I MIGHT NOT AGREE WITH THEM MYSELF. AND I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT FOR STAFF AND FOR PERHAPS YOURSELVES AS COUNCILMEMBERS TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY. I AM CERTAIN THAT YOU PROBABLY HAVE ENCOUNTERED WITH OTHER NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS AND WITH MANY OTHER CITY ISSUES, OPPOSITION, CONCERNS, AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO REACH OUT AND TO EXPLAIN WHILE OUTREACH AND EDUCATION IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR THESE ISSUES. I DO WANT TO THANK EVERYONE WHO HAS PARTICIPATED IN THE PLANNING PROS BECAUSE I THINK IT'S A REASONABLE PLAN. AND I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE WHO HAS GOTTEN INVOLVED LIKE MYSELF THAT LATER ON, WHETHER THEY'RE FOR OR AGAINST IT, I THINK IT'S GOOD TO HAVE COMMUNITY CONVERSATION ABOUT WHAT WE WANT FOR OUR FUTURE. AND I HAVE WRITTEN LETTERS TO PLANNING COMMISSION HIGHLIGHTING WHAT I THINK ARE THE POSITIVE ASPECTS OF THE PLAN AND I KNOW THAT SOME OF MY NEIGHBORS WILL

BE TELLING YOU MORE SPECIFIC ITEMS, BUT I WOULD LIKE FOR MY PART TO APPLAUD THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT IN ITS EFFORTS TO EXPLAIN ALL THE ASPECTS OF THE PLAN, PARTICULARLY THE NUANCES OF ZONING, WHICH ARE VERY COMPLICATED AND HARD TO UNDERSTAND I THINK FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE EVEN BEEN DOING IF FOR A LONG TIME. AND I COMMEND THEIR EFFORTS TO BUILD CONSENSUS ON THE CONTESTED TRACTS. I THINK THEY'VE DONE A GOOD JOB BE. I WAS VERY IMPRESSED WITH YOUR PLANNING COMMISSION. I REALLY WAS. I DIDN'T EXPECT TO LEARN AS MUCH AS I DID FROM THEM. [BUZZER SOUNDS] IN CLOSING, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FIVE QUESTIONS REAL QUICK, IF I MAY. DO I HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME?

Mayor Wynn: YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. IF YOU CAN CONCLUDE VERY QUICKLY. WE HAVE ABOUT TWO HOURS' WORTH OF TESTIMONY SIGNED UP BEHIND YOU.

I'LL ASK THIS AND I'LL SIT DOWN. THANK YOU, SIR. DO YOU THINK THAT THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IS A REASONABLE, GOOD PLAN? DO YOU SUPPORT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS? AND DO YOU TRUST THE PROFESSIONALISM OF YOUR PLANNING -- YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD'S PLANNING AND ZONING DENT? AND FINALLY, DO YOU RECOGNIZE IF YOU CAN PUT UP THE -- MY MAP, PLEASE. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT MANY WIMBELDON SORE PARK RESIDENTS AND PROPERTY OWNERS SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDED NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN? AND IF DO YOU, I ASK YOU, PLEASE, APPROVE THIS PLAN TONIGHT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS. I WANT TO POINT OUT I THINK MAYBE A LITTLE CORRECTION ON THE PERCEPTION OF WHAT BERKMAN IS. IT KIND OF GOT CORRECTED AND MISSED IN THE PRESENTATION, BUT BERKMAN IS PRIMARILY A RESIDENTIAL STREET BETWEEN 51st AND PATTON LANE, WHICH IS THE FIRST BLOCK SOUTH OF 290. THERE IS A SINGLE COMMERCIAL NODE, AND THAT IS WINDSOR VILLAGE. THERE IS A SCHOOL. THERE ARE -- AND THERE'S A VERY LARGE CHURCH, BUT THE REST OF IT IS CURRENTLY RESIDENTIAL. THAT MAY BE MULTI-FAMILY, BUT A LOT OF IT IS SINGLE-FAMILY. THE ONLY EXCEPTION TO

THAT IS A SMALL CURRENTLY USED AS A SINGLE-FAMILY PROPERTY THAT YOU ALL REZONED TO LR ZONING SOMETIME LAST YEAR. IT SEEMS TO ME LIKE MAYBE THE PERCEPTION OF BERKMAN IS A LITTLE BUSIER STREET OR A LITTLE MORE COMMERCIAL STREET. BUT IT'S NOT. IT IS TRULY VIRTUALLY ALL RESIDENTIAL. I JUST WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT. MY HISTORY IN WINDSOR PARK IS I MOVED INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH MY PARENTS IN 1967. I BOUGHT A HOUSE MYSELF THERE OVER 25 YEARS AGO. I WAS INVOLVED IN THE CREATION OF THE WINDSOR PARK SNOATD. I'VE.....NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. I'VE SERVED IN VIRTUALLY EVERY POSITION THEY HAVE AS AN OFFICER IN THE PAST. I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE MUELLER NEIGHBORHOODS COALITION AND WITH THE CARE GROUP THAT WAS PUTTING TOGETHER THE PLAN FOR MUELLER REDEVELOPMENT OVER 20 YEARS AGO. I'VE SERVED ON EVERY CITY COMMISSION OR TASKFORCE THAT THERE HAS BEEN TO DEAL WITH MUELLER AND AM CURRENTLY DOING ON. AND THAT WAS MY PRIMARY REASON FOR GETTING SWROFLD THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WAS BECAUSE I WAS AWARE OF WHAT GOING ON AT MUELLER AND I FELT I COULD SHARE THAT KNOWLEDGE AND INTEGRATE THE PLANS TOGETHER NICELY. I HAD HOPED. SO ALSO, I THINK THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION HAS WORKED WELL WITH THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOODS. I THINK EVERY ONE OF YOU HAS BEEN TO ONE OF OUR NORTHEAST CANADA FORUMS AT SOME POINT AND WE'VE ALWAYS WORKED WELL WITH THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOODS. WE HAVE A GOOD HISTORY THERE. THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION HAS BEEN AROUND FOR 25 YEARS. THIS PLAN, THE WINDSOR PARK PLAN, AND UNIVERSITY HILLS, WINDSOR PARK IS THE LAST NEIGHBORHOOD ABUTTING MUELLER THAT DIDN'T HAVE A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. AND I'VE BEEN KIND OF PUSHING FOR THAT TO SEVERAL YEARS AND SO I WAS REALLY HAPPY WHEN WE GOT IN THE PROCESS TO BE PLANNED. I HAVE TO SAY THAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT STAFF, THEY WORKED WITH US EXTREMELY WELL. IT'S A COMPLICATED PROCESS. THERE'S A LOT OF ZONING -- ZONING IS NOT EASY AS I'M SURE YOU'RE AWARE. WE ALSO HAD VM YOU THROWN IN ON TOP OF US IN THIS PROCESS TO COMPLICATE THINGS EVEN

MORE. SO IT WAS NOT AN EASY PROCESS. I CAN'T SPEAK TO HOW WELL THE CITY DID NOTIFICATION. I KNOW THAT I GOT NOIFKTS AND MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT I KNOW CLAIMED THAT THEY GOT NOTIFICATION. IMRANTED, A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T ANTICIPATE, BUT I THINK THE NOTIFICATION WAS ADEQUATE. WE WORKED ON THIS PLAN STARTING IN NOVEMBER OF 2005. WE PRODUCED WHAT I THINK IS A PRETTY GOOD PLAN. WE WERE PROTECTING SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSES. WE WERE TRYING TO DIRECT WHAT WE KNOW IS GOING TO BE COMING DEVELOPMENT INTO THE AREAS WHERE WE THOUGHT IT WOULD AT LEAST IMPACT THE SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND CAME UP WITH I THINK A PRETTY GOOD PLAN. IT WAS VIRTUALLY, I THINK WITH THE ONLY VOTE ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WAS SPLIT AND THE PART THAT DIDN'T PASS WAS THAT SECTION OF BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON PLACE BECAUSE THAT'S A VERY ODD LITTLE ISSUE. BUT I THINK VIRTUALLY EVERY VOTE FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON THIS WAS UNANIMOUS. SO YOUR PLANNING COMMISSION SUPPORTED THIS PLAN PRETTY HEAVILY. AND SO I THINK THE CITY NEEDS TO -- IF YOU'RE GOING TO SUPPORT OR PROMOTE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AND IT SEEM TO BE THE CITY SETTING FORTH DO SO, I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE SUPPORT FROM THE COUNCIL FOR PLANK. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT LIKE EVERY OTHER NEIGHBORHOOD THAT I'VE TALKED TO THAT'S BEEN THROUGH THE PLANNING PROCESS, THERE HAVE BEEN VIRTUALLY NO BUSINESS PARTICIPATION. AND THAT MAYBE WHEN A NEIGHBORHOOD IS STARTING THE PLANNING PROCESS THAT A CALL FROM ONE OF YOU TO SOME OF THE LARGER BUSINESSES IN THE AREA MIGHT GET SOME OF THOSE BUSINESSES INTERESTED. I REALLY THINK THAT THE COUNCIL COULD PROBABLY TAKE A LITTLE MORE PROACTIVE ROLE IN PROMOTING THE PLANNING, NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING THAT'S GOING ON IN THIS CITY AND GET SOME OF THE LARGER ENTITIES, BUSINESS ENTITIES INVOLVED. AND I THINK THAT'S THE BEST RECOMMENDATION I CAN MAKE TO YOU TONIGHT ON THIS WHOLE PROCESS. BUT THAT SAID, I THINK THAT IT'S A GOOD PLAN. I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO PASS IT TONIGHT. AND IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OF ME, I'LL BE BE AROUND TO ANSWER THEM.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS BARBARA (INDISCERNIBLE). SORRY IF I MISPRONOUNCED THAT. AND YOU'LL BE FOLLOWED BY JO BETH WARDEN. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS BARB, AND I'M PRESIDENT OF THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. ALTHOUGH THE ASSOCIATION DID NOT TAKE A FORMAL STAND ON IN FOTION, BOTH THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE NEIGHBORS HAVE WORKED -- WHO HAVE WORKED ON THE PLAN HAVE HEARD SUBSTANTIAL SUPPORT FROM WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORS. I'M THEREFORE HERE TO URGE YOU TO SUPPORT THE PLAN AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF OVERWHELMINGLY VOTED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. IN THE LAST 25 YEARS WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION HAS WORKED HARD TO SUSTAIN AND IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE THAT OUR NEIGHBORHOOD ENJOYS. WE ARE PROUD OF THE WORK THAT WE HAVE DONE FOR THE LIBRAR, IMPROVEMENTS TO BARTHOLOMEW PARK ON CRIME AND TRANSPORTATION ISSUES. OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE CITY, PARTICULARLY A.P.D. AND OUR ACTIVE OWE AND BEING ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MUELLER DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. WE HAVE NEVER BEEN NOT IN MY BACKYARD NEIGHBORHOOD. AND WE HAVE TAKEN SERIOUSLY OUR RESPONSIBILITY AS AN INNER CORE CITY NEIGHBORHOOD WHILE MAINTAINING OUR QUALITY OF LIFE. I SAY ALL THIS TO YOU TO EXPRESS TO YOU HOW SERIOUSLY WE TAKE THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. WE DID NOT JUST GO ALONG WITH PLANS THAT WERE BROUGHT TO US. WE STUDIED. A LOT OF US LEARNED MORE ABOUT URBAN PLANNING THAN WE EVER THOUGHT WE'D WANT TO KNOW. WE TOOK SERIOUSLY OUR POSITION AS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION TO HELP TO KEEP THE NEIGHBORS INFORMED OF THE PROCESS UN-- AS THE PROCESS UNFOLDED. I'M GOING TO HIGHLIGHT A COUPLE OF THE MAJOR PARTS OF THE PLAN THAT WE'D LIKE YOU TO CONSIDER. THE DOCUMENTED THOROUGH, WELL THOUGHT OUT PLAN, COMPREHENSIVE AND INCLUSIVE, AND IT REFLECTS THE GROWTH PATTERNS WE'D LIKE TO SEE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. MANY AREA RESIDENTS, ALONG WITH CITY PLANNING STAFF, HAVE SPENT HUNDREDS OF HOURS IN AND OUT OF MEETINGS, LEARNING, ABSORBING AND

THOUGHTFULLY CONSIDERING THE EFFECTS OF THIS PLAN. THERE IS MUCH COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND INVOLVEMENT. WE HAVE ALSO CONSIDERED THE EFFECTS OF NOT HAVING THE PLAN. WITH THE MUELLER REIN HIGH GEAR AND THE RAPID GROWTH OF OUR CITY, IT WOULD DO A DISSERVICE TO NOT HAVE A PLAN IN PLACE THAT WOULD DIRECT THE GROWTH THAT IS COMING TO THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. TO ALLOW FOR DEVELOPMENT ON A PIECEMEAL OR A SOLELY PHASED IN BASIS WILL NOT BE PRACTICAL OR WILL RESULT IN GROWTH THAT STAYS TRUTH TO OUR WONDERFUL, DIVERSE AREA OF TOWN. OUR PLAN PROTECTS SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING, WHILE ADDING DENSITY WHERE IT WOULD BE MOST APPROPRIATE. IT EMPHASIZES QUALITY OF LIFE BY PROTECTING PARK AND GREEN SPACES AND ENCOURAGING THE CONNECTIONS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY. THERE IS A STRONG EK FA SIS ON FOASHT -- EMPHASIS ON AFFORDABILITY. [BUZZER SOUNDS] LET ME JUST IT FINISH REALLY QUICK. IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION ABOUT VMU AND THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS, WE HAVE INDEED, WINDSOR PARK HAS INDEED OPTED IN TO THAT. WE HAVEN'T OPTED OUT OF HARDLY ANY OF THE PARTIALS OR TRACTS IN THERE. WE'VE STAYED IN. WE WANT CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS IN VMU. WE PASSED AFFORDABLE HOUSING AT 60% MFI. AND WE ALSO FEEL THAT THIS IS INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT FOR THE -- FOR THAT WINDSOR VILLAGE SECTION. AND I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CALL IT A CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR OR NOT, BUT WE WANT VMU THERE, BUT WE REALLY WANT THE PEDESTRIAN-FRIENDLY AREA AND THE DESIGN STANDARDS IN THAT AREA. WE THINK THAT THAT'S INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT FOR REVITALIZATION. AND I'M NOT GOING TO -- OWE SINCE I ANSWER THAT HAD QUESTION, I'M NOT GOING TO FINISH THE REST OF MY SPEECH, BUT I'LL GIVE IT TO YOU IF YOU WANT. I GUESS I'M DONE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. JO BETH WARDEN? HOW ARE YOU? YOU GOT SOME FOLKS WHO WANT TO DONATE TIME TO YOU. IS AL WEBBER HERE? HOW ABOUT SIERRA GIVENS? AND IS JEAN '80'S HERE? AND HOW ABOUT SETH BALLARD? SO JO BETSZ, YOU WILL HAVE UP TO 12 MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT. WELCOME.

I HOPE I WON'T NEED THAT MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: WE ALL DO. [LAUGHTER]

MAYOR WYNN, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS, MEMBERS OF THE LEGAL STAFF AND OF THE CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE. GOOD AFTERNOON, OR IT'S EVENING NOW. MY NAME IS JO BETD WARDEN. I'VE LIVED IN UNIVERSITY HILLS FOR THE LAST 30 YEARS. MY FAMILY SETTLED IN THE EARLY 1850'S IN CENTRAL TEXAS, SO WE'RE USED TO THIS PARTICULAR AREA AND WE TREASURE THIS AREA. I'M USING THIS TIME TO TALK ABOUT PROCEDURES OF THE PLAN BECAUSE I SUPPORT THE PLAN AS CORRECTED. THEY'VE MADE SOME EDITORIAL CHANGES AND THAT SORT OF THING. I DO RESERVE THE RIGHT THAT IF THE CHANGES THAT WE HAVE AGREED UPON ARE NOT IN THE FINAL DRAFT THAT WE'LL HAVE TO WORK WITH THE PLANNING STAFF TO RECTIFY THAT. I HAVE FRIENDS IN WINDSOR PARK, PERIOD. ACQUAINTANCES IN WINDSOR PARK. AND IT BROUGHT TO MIND THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL THINGS THAT WE COULD DO TO IMPROVE THE PROCEDURES OF THE PLANNING PROCESS. AND I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO LIST THE FOLLOWING: AND PLEASE CONSIDER THAT THESE ARE WELL INTENDED. PERSONALITIES ARE NOT INVOLVED. IT'S SIMPLY TO EXPRESS OPINIONS OF PRIMARILY THE CITIZENS AND RESIDENTS OF UNIVERSITY HILLS. IN OUR OPINION WE FELT THAT THE MARKETING ASPECT COULD BE IMPROVED. YOU KNOW, THE AUSTIN TOMORROW PLAN WAS BACK IN 1979, AND IN ALL PROBABILITY -- I'M NOT CURRENT, BUT PROBABLY MOST OF THOSE GUIDELINES HAVE ALREADY BEEN MET. THAT'S A LONG TIME IN A MOBILE SOCIETY. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST IS IF YOU USE THE UTILITY SERVICE ON YOUR NEXT PLANNING FOR NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS. IN OTHER WORDS, LOOK AT THE AUSTIN CLEAN WATER PROJECT IN THE UTILITY MAIL, THE AUSTIN ELECTRIC AND WATER SERVICE. WE PUT BROCHURES IN THERE ABOUT RAIN BARRELS, WE PUT BROCHURES IN THERE ABOUT THE LATERALS THAT CAN CONNECT THE SEWER FROM YOUR HOUSE OUT TO THE MAIN TAP. AND OVER THE YEARS THERE HAVE BEEN MANY, MANY THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN ADVERTISED THIS WAY. THAT WOULD TAKE OFF PART OF THE RELIEF OF LETTING EVERYONE BECAUSE EVERY UTILITY CUSTOMER IN THE CITY WOULD GET NOTIFICATION WITH

THEIR BILL. ALSO WE FEEL LIKE THAT THE DRERKT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD -- AND I'VE SAID THIS PLANNING PROCESS TO GREG HIMSELF -- IT SHOULD START OFF WITH A FANFARE. HELLO, I'M GLAD YOU ALL CAME, I'M GREG SIMMONS AND WE'RE -- I'M GREG GUERNSEY AND WE'RE GOING TO TALK TONIGHT ABOUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. WHAT HAPPENED, I HAVE NO QUARRELS. AND THESE ARE PEOPLE THAT WORK WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING DIVISION. THEY DID EXACTLY WHAT THEY WERE TOLD BY WHOMEVER TOLD THEM TO DO WHAT. BUT WHEN YOU HAVE AN ISSUE AS BIG AS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING, I THINK IT BE BEHOOVES US TO MEET THE PERSON IN CHARGE UP FRONT, LET HIM EXPLAIN THE HISTORY AND HOW WE GOT TO WHERE WE ARE, BECAUSE WE DON'T REMEMBER 19 SFIEB AND THAT SORT OF THING. ALSO, IT LEADS TO THE IDEA THAT THIS IS SUPER IMPORTANT. HERE WE EITHER HAVE A COUNCIL PERSON COME OR WE HAVE GREG COME OR SOMEBODY TO KICK OFF THE EVENT. ALSO, I KNOW YOU GUYS HAVE GOT A PR DEPARTMENT. THE TELEVISION STATIONS AND THE RADIOS JUST STAND IN LINE FOR ANYTHING THAT'S HAPPENING AT CITY HALL. ALL WE NEED TO DO IS GET A CALL AND THEY WILL ADVERTISE ON THE TELEVISION OR THE RADIO, THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IS ABOUT TO BEGIN, SAY, FOR TARRYTOWN. AND THEN THEY'VE GOT IT IN THEIR MAIL, THEY'VE GOT IT THERE AND THEN PEOPLE ARE ALWAYS WRITING ARTICLES ABOUT THE HAPPENINGS CONNECTED WITH CITY HALL. I KNOW THAT THE NEWSPAPER WOULD BE GLAD TO COME AND MAKE PICTURES, PULL LISH..... PUBLISH A MAP AND GIVE YOU AN ADDED LEVERAGE OF HOW IMPORTANT THIS IS TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE DID -- THAT DID CONCERN US IS THAT WE BELIEVE, AND I PARTICULARLY, IN THE INDIVIDUAL'S RIGHT TO KNOW, AND WHAT WE GOT INTO THIS TIME WAS A MASS MAILING. WELL, HAVING LIVED THROUGH MANY MASS MAILINGS IN MY LIFE, THINGS CAN HAPPEN IN THE MAILROOM, WHETHER IT'S CITY OF AUSTIN MAILROOM OR WHETHER IT'S THE POST OFFICE. AND I MYSELF HAVE A PRETTY GOOD NETWORK IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD BE AND I FOUND OUT ABOUT A COUPLE OF MEETINGS THAT I GOT NOTICES OF A WEEK OR SO LATER. BUT IF I WERE TO LOOK AT YOUR MARKETING AVAILABILITY SCALE, I THINK THAT WE WOULDN'T HAVE HAD OR WILL HAVE

IN THE FUTURE NEIGHBOR AGAINST NEIGHBOR. I HATE TO SEE THAT, AND THAT'S WHAT THIS HAS AMOUNTED TO. THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY OR AT LEAST THEY FELT LIKE AS I UNDERSTAND THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS THEMSELVES BECAUSE OF LACK OF NOTIFICATION. ADDITIONALLY, WE QUESTION GREG AND THE PLANNERS ABOUT THE PROCESS OF NOTIFICATION OF ZONING. YOU KNOW IF YOU'RE GOING TO CHANGE THE COW LOT OVER HERE TO A NIGHTCLUB, YOU PUT UP A SIGN THAT SAYS, THIS IS PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE. THAT DIDN'T OCCUR. AND THEY CAME BACK AND SAID, IF CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZED THEM NOT TO POST SIGNS OR IN MY SUGGESTION WAS TO SEND CERTIFIED MAIL TO THE PROPERTY OWNERS ONLY, WE HAD 220 PROPERTIES IN THE COMBINED WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. SURELY THE GOODNESS WAS ALL A BIG TIME SPOON AND GIVING AWAY WE'VE BEEN DOING LATELY, WE COULD AFFORD \$400 FOR CERTIFIED RECEIPT RETURN MAIL SO THAT THERE WOULD BE NO QUESTION IN ANYONE'S MIND THAT THEY HAD NOT BEEN NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF A ZONING CHANGE. THERE ARE SOME OF US THAT FEEL LIKE THIS NOTIFICATION DIDN'T OCCUR, THE SIGNS WERE NOT POSTED, AND WE WERE TOLD IT WAS A DIRECTIVE OF CITY COUNCIL AND I DO NOT BELIEVE IT WAS THIS CITY COUNCIL. I THINK TOWARD THE NEXT TO THE LAST MEETING WE LEARNED THAT IT WAS A CITY COUNCIL BACK IN '04 OR SOMEWHERE BACK THERE. BUT IF THE BACK DOOR TO EMINENT DOMAIN, WHEN YOU DON'T NOTIFY THE PERSON THAT YOU'RE GOING TO ARBITRARILY CHANGE THEIR ZONING OF THEIR HOME FROM, SAY, SF-2 TO SF-6. AND WHILE THERE MAY BE A LEGAL CONCEPT THAT THIS IS OKAY, IT'S NOT MORALLY RIGHT, AND I DON'T THINK ANY OF YOU WOULD WANT IT TO HAPPEN TO YOU. ADDITIONALLY, THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING COMMISSION SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT NOTIFYING OR ASKING THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS RESIDENTS IF A MEETING IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS CONVENIENT. BECAUSE FREQUENTLY WE FOUND THAT WE WERE ALREADY SCHEDULED FOR NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION MEETINGS OR SOMETHING ELSE. AND IN OUR PARTICULAR NEIGHBORHOOD, A LOT OF PEOPLE GO TO CHURCH ON WEDNESDAY NIGHT, SO THOSE DAYS ARE JUST NOT GOOD FOR US. AND WE WOULD HAVE FEWER PEOPLE

WHEN WE MET ON WEDNESDAY FLIEVMENT THE OTHER THING THAT I WANTED TO BRING UP TO YOU IS I'VE WORKED ON VARIOUS COMMITTEES AND BOARDS FOR THE CITIES AS FAR BACK AS CAROL KEETON MCCLELLAN, AND I KNOW THAT NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING HAS CHANGED, BUT IN A WAY MANY OF US THINK THIS IS JUST A LAND USE PLAN BECAUSE COMPREHENSIVE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING INVOLVES SCHOOLS, CHURCH, INFRASTRUCTURE, TRAFFIC STUDIES, THE GOAL GAMUT OF WHAT IT TAKES TO MAKE A NEIGHBORHOOD. AND AGAIN, I DON'T FAULT THE CURRENT PLANNING DEPARTMENT OR THE PLANNERS. I'M JUST SAYING HOW WE LOOKED AT IT IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. AND YOU SHOULD HAVE ALL THE INFRASTRUCTURES WORKING IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD BEFORE YOU START PUTTING IN MULTI-FAMILY UNITS. IT TOOK ME FLIERCHL YEARS, FIVE YEARS TO GET A LEAKY SEWER A HALF MILE UP THAT SLOWED DOWN ON MY LAND TO BECOME REPAIRED. AND IT WAS AN INDEPENDENT CONTRACT THROUGH THE AUSTIN CLEAN WATER PROJECT, AND I HAD TO GO TO A THEN MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL TO GET US ON THAT PARTICULAR AUSTIN CLEAN WATER PROJECT. THE OTHER DAY -- IT'S BEEN ABOUT TWO MONTHS. I WAS DRIVING HOME AND FOUR BLOCKS FROM MY HOME A GAS MAIN BLEW UP. HERE WAS A FIREMAN OUT THERE TELLING ME GO THIS ARE WAY, GET HER OUT OF HERE. GO. IT DESTROYED THE HOME. YOU SHOULD SEE THE PIPE THAT'S DUG UP. IT'S LAYING RIGHT OVER THERE ON HOPE LIEUTENANTS RAN EASEMENT -- ON HOPE LIEWTSZ RAN EASEMENT AND IT'S INDICATIVE THAT THE GAS LINES ARE NOT IN GOOD SHAPE EITHER. NORTH TEXAS YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR MORE FROM OTHER PEOPLE ABOUT THE BUILDING STANDARDS AND THAT SORT OF THING. SO I WON'T PARTICULARLY DWELL ON IT. BUT I DO THINK WE OUGHT TO CONSIDER THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF THIS TOWN BEFORE WE PUT DOWN TOO MANY MULTI-FAMILY DWELLING. I WILL TOUCH ON THIS. I SIGNED UP TO TALK ON THE ZONING. I HAVE SOME ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND THINGS THAT I WANT TO EXPRESS ON THE ZONING OF OUR SIX CONTESTED ISSUES. AM I TO DO THAT NOW OR COME BACK AND SEE YOU AGAIN?

Mayor Wynn: WELL, WE'VE CALLED ALL THE ITEMS UP AT THE SAME TIME, MS. WARDEN. IT COULD BE THAT ON THE

ACTUAL CONTESTED ITEMS WE ACTUALLY MIGHT -- WE PROBABLY WILL SOLICIT COMMENT FROM FOLKS SORT OF REPRESENTATIVE AT THAT TIME. BUT WE'RE TRYING TO GET EVERYBODY -- BECAUSE THERE'S SO MANY MORE HOURS' WORTH OF TESTIMONY BEHIND YOU, SO WE'RE TRYING TO GET EVERYBODY'S TESTIMONY NOINCHTS I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, MAYOR. LAST BUT NOT LEAST, THERE ARE SMUFS SOME OF US THAT STILL HAVE SNAIL MAIL, AND ONCE YOU GET INTO THE PLANNING PROCESS, THE ONLY ARE WITH WITH SUBPOENA COMMUNICATION IS VIA E-MAIL. SO IF HAVE YOU A LIST OF INTERESTED PARTIES AND YOU KNOW THEY DON'T HAVE E-MAIL, I'D LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT YOU GO AHEAD AND USE THE POSTAGE STAMP AND SNAIL MAIL. MAIL. AND I WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME BACK AND TALK ABOUT ZONING.

Mayor Wynn: I'LL BET WE SOLICIT OUR INPUT.

I PATIENT YOUR COURTESY AND PATIENCE.

Mayor Wynn: LIKEWISE. MARK POSITIVE BOWDEN IS YOUR NEXT SPEAKER. IS SANDRA WILCOX HERE? SO MARK, YOU WILL HAVE UP TO SIX MINUTES IF I NEED IT? AND YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY WILLIAM MOORE?

MY NAME IS MARK, AND PROBABLY MOST IMPORTANTLY HERE IS I LIVE WHERE THAT LITTLE GREEN DOT IS RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF WINDSOR PARK. I'M HERE IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAN, I'M HERE IN SUPPORT OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS IN OUR AREA SINCE SEVERAL YEARS BEFORE IT STARTED. IN 2002 I STARTED TALKING TO MR. RICARDO SO LIST TO TRY TO GET OUTSIDE THE AGENCY. THESE ARE SOME OF THE BROCHURES AND FLIERS THAT WENT OUT. THE FIRST MEETING WAS IN SEPTEMBER 20TH. I KNOW THAT OFFICIALLY WE STARTED IN NOVEMBER. THERE WERE ALSO PRINT UNDERSTAND SPANISH AND THEY WERE SENT TO UTILITY CUSTOMER ADDRESSES AS WELL AS PROPERTY OWNER ADDRESSES. I PERSONALLY WALKED THE NEIGHBORHOOD A MINIMUM OF 16 HOURS TRYING TO GET BUSINESSES INVOLVED. I'D ECHO THE PREVIOUS STATEMENT ON HOW TO GET BUSINESSES INVOLVED. WE NEED SOME HELP FROM COUNCIL ON THAT. I ATTENDED

OPEN HOUSE MEETINGS. I ATTENDED MOST OF THE WORKING GROUP MEETINGS THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS, AND IT WAS A GOOD OVERALL PROCESS. I ATTENDED SEVERAL OF THE OTHER PLANNING PROCESSES OFF AND ON THROUGHOUT TIME TRYING TO GET READY FOR IT, TRYING TO GET MY NEIGHBORHOOD ENERGIZED FOR THIS PROCESS. AND TRYING TO GET THE THINKING IN ORDER ABOUT IT. AND I THOUGHT THAT STAFF BROUGHT A VERY GOOD PROCESS TO US AND WORKED REAL HARD AND DILIGENT TO GET PEOPLE INVOLVED. THEY DIDN'T GET INVOLVED. THAT'S WHY WE'RE WHERE WE'RE AT NOW. BUT IT WAS A GOOD FORWARD MOVEMENT. THE PLAN REFLEX THE INPUT OF THE COMMUNITY. PRIORITIES. OVER AND OVER AGAIN THE COMMENT CAME UP IN ALL THE WORKING GROUPS, WAS THE PRESERVATION OF SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER, TO PRESERVE AND SUSTAIN AND PROMOTE AN OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE, TO REVITALIZE THE COMMERCIAL AND OFFICE SERVICE LOCATIONS, SO WE HAVE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD WHAT WE NEED THAT WE CAN WALK TO, RIDE OUR BIKE TO, GET TO EASILY AND NOT HAVE TO GO ACROSS TOWN. TO PROMOTE DIVERSITY. WE HAVE IT IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD ALREADY AND PEOPLE AND HOUSING AND TO KEEP ON WORKING TOWARDS THAT. ALSO, TO PRESERVE THOSE THINGS THAT WE FIND HISTORIC OR WORTH PRESERVING, SUCH AS THE BOTANICAL SOCIETY BUILDING PICTURED HERE. THERE'S A 1960'S RESTAURANT ON 290 THAT'S QUITE UNUSUAL. TO PROMOTE OUR PARKS, OUR GREEN SPACES, URBAN FORESTS. IT'S A WONDERFUL NEIGHBORHOOD WE HAVE FROM THAT STANDPOINT. TO FOCUS ON TRANSPORTATION AND TO FOCUS ON COMMUNITY, COMMUNITY LIFE, COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS, THOSE THINGS AROUND US THAT MAKE IT A GOOD QUALITY OF LIFE IN WINDSOR PARK. THERE WAS SOME PLAN OPPOSITION. AND THIS WAS ONE OF THE FLIERS THAT SHOWED UP. AND MOSTLY IT CENTERED NOT ON ALL THE OTHER THINGS EXCEPT THE ZONING ISSUE AND SOME STUFF WHAT I HEARD FROM IS THERE ENOUGH INFRASTRUCTURE. WELL, WE CAN BUILD MORE INFRASTRUCTURE AND THAT'S WHY WE ASKED DEVELOPERS TO PAY INTO A FUND TO DO THAT. AS INFRASTRUCTURE GETS FULL, WE BUILD IT A LITTLE BIT LARGER. IN FACT, I WORK ON THE AUSTIN CLEAN WATER PROGRAM AND I

FOUND IT UNUSUAL AND INTERESTING THAT THEY WANT TO RUN THOSE AT 80% BECAUSE IF THEY DON'T RUN THEM AT 80%, IT ACTUALLY MAKES THEM DETERIORATE A LOT FASTER. SO IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO BUILD A HUGE PIPE FROM A SEWER STANDPOINT. AND I CONFIRMED THAT WITH A BUDDY OF MINE WHO IS INTO SEWER ENGINEERING. THE OTHER OPPOSITION WAS LIKE MULTI-FAMILY, THAT THAT WOULD BRING IN TOO MANY PEOPLE, BRINGING OF CRIME AND STUFF. I'VE READ STUFF THAT IS ACTUALLY CONTRARY TO THAT. ALSO THE MANOR ROAD, 51st, CAMERON, PARTICULARLY THOSE BEING DESIGNATED CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS OR HIGH INTENSIVE USE CORRIDORS AS WELL FOR OUR NEIGHBORHOOD, AND THEN AT OUR NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING WHERE WE DID VOTE ON VMU LOOKING TEASHD PEOPLE FROM THIS GROUP IN OPPOSITION, THEY WERE ON OPPOSED TO THE RELAXED DESIGN STANDARDS, OPPOSED TO PARKING REDUCTION. WE BARELY GOT A SUPPORT FOR GROUND OFFICE AND THEN THERE WAS OVERWHELMING SUPPORT FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AND I FIND THAT HARD TO MESH TOGETHER TO ACHIEVE WHAT WE WANT TO DO, WHICH IS THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING WITHOUT BEING ABLE TO GIVE THE CARROT OF THE RELAXED DESIGN STANDARDS AND PARKING REDUCTIONS. SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO WORK THROUGH THAT. 51st CLOSE TO THE HIGHWAY, THE OPPOSITION GROUP HAS INDICATED THAT THEY'D LIKE TO KEEP THAT RESIDENTIAL. I JUST DON'T BELIEVE THAT IT MESHERS. IN TERM OF THE WAY THE CITY IS MOVING AND STUFF. NOW, WE CONTINUE TO STEP INTENSITY OFF THE CLOSER WE GET AWAY FROM THE HIGHWAY, AND THEN GO TO THE PARK AND THEN BACK TO RESIDENTIAL THERE. SO I BELIEVE THAT'S REALLY CON GREW ENT WITH HOW WE WANT TO PUT INCREASED DENSITY IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD AND ACROSS THE CITY. WEST OF CAMERON ROAD IS MOSTLY NONRESIDENTIAL. AND SO WE PUT MIXED USE AND THING LIKE THAT THERE TO ALLOW FOR DENSITY THERE, AGAIN FREKTING THE SINGLE-FAMILY. PROTECTING THE SINGLE-FAMILY. COMING BACK TO BERKMAN, I WOULD ECHO WHAT RICK SAID AND OTHERS, MOSTLY IT'S SINGLE-FAMILY. YOU SEE THOSE RED DOTS UP THERE AND THERE'S SOME OPPOSITION THERE TO THAT. WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT WAS TO HAVE THINGS LIKE SF-6. THERE ARE SOME HUGE BIG

LOTS THAT ARE SOMEWHAT RIPE FOR DEVELOPMENT. AND WE WANTED TO PROTECT -- TO KEEP THE SINGLE-FAMILY CHARACTER OF BERKMAN, BUT ALSO PROTECT AND TRANSITION INTO THE SMALLER SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES. AND THERE'S ALREADY SOME MULTI-FAMILY ALONG THERE. WE THOUGHT A MIX OF TOWN HOME TYPE SF-6 TYPE STUFF WOULD ACTUALLY WORK VERY WELL THERE. AND THEN OF COURSE THE DESIGN STANDARDS OR THE CTC DESIGNATION ALONG BRIARCLIFF AND GASTON THERE IT CHANGES NAME LIKE EVERY GOOD AUSTIN STREET DOES. [BUZZER SOUNDS] WE WANTED THOSE DESIGN STANDARDS THERE. AND THAT WAS REALLY THE KEY ISSUE. AND WE WERE LOOKING FOR AN INSTRUMENT TO DO THAT. I'LL QUICKLY ZIP THROUGH IT. YOU'VE HEARD ALL THESE PLANNED STRINGTHS THAT GO THROUGH. IT'S A GOOD PLAN. THE PROCESS HAS BEEN VERY INVOLVED. IT CAPTURES THE INPUT. THERE WERE LOTS OF CONSENSUS BASED DECISIONS BY EVERYBODY WHO CHOSE TO STEP UP. WE HAVE AN AMENDMENT PROCESS TO CARRY US FORWARD PAST WHATEVER WE ADOPT AT THIS POINT IN TIME. I BELIEVE IT'S TIME TO MOVE FORWARD. I LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR SUPPORT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MARK. NEXT SPEAKER IS -- THERE'S A WILLIAM MOORE AND A BILL MOORE WHO HAVE SIGNED UP BACK TO BACK. COULD BE THE SAME PERSON. THERE WE GO. WELCOME, BILL. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY JEFF WOOD WOODRUFF WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY RICK ROCK WM.

BASICALLY I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT IT'S A TREMENDOUS NEIGHBORHOOD. WE HAVE A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT WAS MADE BACK IN THE SCIKT'S, THE HOUSING IS INCREDIBLY WELL MADE. WE'RE FIVE MINUTES FROM DOWNTOWN. THIS IS A GREAT AREA. I HAVE TAWBD TO MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE -- I HAVE TALKED TO MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THE REALTY BUSINESS. WE'RE LOOKED DOWN UPON. LOOK AT OUR COMMERCIAL AREA AS BEING SHODDY, JUST A GENERAL VIEW BY THE CITY AND THE REALTORS IS THAT THIS IS NOT A PARTICULARLY GREAT AREA OF TOWN. I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THIS CHANGE. I THINK THIS PLAN THAT WE HAVE HERE COULD INCREASE OR ENHANCE THE VIEW OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. I THINK WE NEED BETTER COMMERCIAL

AREAS. OUR COMMERCIAL AREAS ARE NOT REALLY GREAT FOR PEOPLE IN MIDDLE CLASS NEIGHBORHOODS. WE HAVE FAST FOOD PLACES, WE HAVE AUTO STORES, WE HAVE VERY LOW IMPACT OR LOW COMMERCIAL AREAS THAT JUST AREN'T ENCOURAGING PEOPLE FROM MIDDLE CLASS NEIGHBORHOODS TO COME IN. I THINK THIS PLAN WILL HELP US TO LOOK BETTER TO THE CITY IN GENERAL. THAT'S BASICALLY ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, BILL. JEFF, WELCOME BACK. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY BRAD ROCKWELL, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY SILVER CHIS HOM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I LIVE ON ROGGE LANE. THIS IS A WONDERFUL PLAN, AND I HOPE THAT YOU WILL ADOPT IT. RIGHT NOW FROM JUST MY GUT FEELING OF LIVING IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THE ONLY PROBLEM WITH IT ARE ON THE FRINGE. YOU LOOK AT 51st STREET, YOU'VE GOT BERKMAN ROAD NORTH OF SWEETBRIAR ALONG MANOR ROAD, ALONG 51st. THOSE AREAS ARE A LITTLE RAGGED. AND YOU KNOW WHAT? THAT'S THE SAME WAY IT WAS WHEN I FIRST MOVED THERE IN 1978 THANKS TO CITY AFFORDABLE HOUSING BOND MONEY SUBSIDIZED BY INTEREST RATE, I ONLY HAD TO PAY 11 AND THREE-QUARTERS PERCENT. [LAUGHTER] FOLKS, WE MOVED OUT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD A FEW YEARS LATER BECAUSE THERE WAS CRIME IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. THERE WERE HOME SIDES IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. ALONG GASTON PLACE. WE MOVE AWAY, BUT I KEPT GOING BACK IN THIS RESPECT BECAUSE I WAS A MEMBER OF A CHURCH IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, SO WE WENT TWICE A WEEK FOR 15 YEARS. AS LIFE EVOLVED, I FOUND MYSELF IN HYDE PARK INVOLVED WITH THE TRIANGLE PROJECT, INVOLVED WITH THE CITY, DEVELOPER, DOZENS, I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY NEIGHBORS IN ACTUALLY BE DEVELOPING THE MIXED USE COMMERCIAL CONCEPT IN THIS TOWN, WHICH IS BROUGHT INTO THE TRIANGLE. AND I REALLY BELIEVE IN IT AND I THINK THAT THAT WOULD REPAIR IN THE LONG-TERM THESE FRINGES OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WHICH ARE PROBLEMATIC AT THIS TIME. FOR THE PAST ABOUT THREE YEARS, HOUSES HAVE BEEN SELLING IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD FOR \$250,000. RIGHT NOW THERE'S A HOUSE ON CORONA THAT'S LISTED FOR 300,000 -- EXCUSE ME, \$349,000. I WANT TO TELL YOU, I WANT

MY VALUE PROTECTED. AND IF YOU PROTECT THE FRINGES OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH THE DEVICES THAT ARE IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, I BELIEVE THAT THAT WILL TAKE CARE OF THE BUSINESS AND I THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, JEFF. WELCOME BACK, BRAD.

THANK YOU. GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS BRAD ROCKWELL. I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK. THERE'S SEVERAL -- MANY PEOPLE THAT WILL BE FOLLOWING ME AND PROVIDING YOU WITH DETAILED TESTIMONY. PEOPLE WHO KNOW WINDSOR PARK BETTER THAN I DO AND ARE MUCH MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE HISTORY OF THIS PLANNING PROCESS THAT RESULTED IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, BUT I WANT TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO SOME VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES HERE. FIRST OF ALL, WHAT YOU'RE BEING ASKED TO APPROVE TODAY, THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND ZONING, THIS IS SOME OF THE MOST IMPORTANT TOOLS YOU HAVE, UNIQUE TOOLS AS CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. THERE'S VERY LITTLE AREAS WHERE YOU HAVE SO MUCH DISCRETION AND ZONING. YOU HAVE HUNDREDS OF AMOUNTS OF DISCRETION. IT'S A VERY POWERFUL TOOL. AND MANY TIMES IT'S USED ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS TO EXTRACT VERY IMPORTANT COMMUNITY BENEFITS BY THE EXERCISE OF THE ZONING PROCESS. ON THE OTHER HAND, ONCE YOU MAKE A ZONING DECISION, IT'S PRETTY MUCH IRREVERSIBLE. IF YOU GO AHEAD AND APPROVE THIS ZONING, IT'S PRETTY MUCH IRREVERSIBLE BECAUSE OF THE GRANDFATHERING LAWS. IT'S STUCK. A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, IF YOU APPROVE THAT, THAT CAN BE REVERSED. YOU CAN REVISE IT, YOU CAN DO IT, BUT ONCE YOU APPROVE THE ZONING, IT'S SET IN STONE AND IT'S PRETTY HARD TO BACKTRACK AFTER THAT. WHAT I THINK THE TESTIMONY AFTER ME WILL SHOW IS THAT THE PLANNING PROCESS FOR THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WAS VERY SEVERELY FLAWED. AND THAT THERE'S ALSO VERY SIGNIFICANT DEFECTS IN THE PRODUCT AND THE OUTCOME THAT YOU'RE BEING ASKED TO APPROVE TODAY. WINDSOR PARK HAS ALREADY ACHIEVED SOME OF THE MOST IMPORTANT GOAL OF PLANNING. IT'S AN EXTREMELY HIGHLY DIVERSE NEIGHBORHOOD, RACIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY.

WE'VE DIN A SURVEY, WE'VE GOTTEN SOME RESULTS IN, VERY GOOD RESPONSE RATE, COMPARABLE TO ANYTHING ELSE THAT'S BEEN DONE SO FAR I THINK IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. PEOPLE ALREADY LIVE CLOSE TO WHERE THEY WORK. 57.7% DO NOT TRAVEL MORE THAN FIVE MILES TO WORK. SO WHAT I'M ASKING YOU TODAY IS YOU BETTER BE ABSOLUTELY SURE YOU KNOW WHAT YOU'RE DOING WHEN YOU'RE BEING ASKED TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY 220 PROPERTIES, MASS UPZONING TO A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT IS ALREADY FUNCTIONING IN A VERY HIGH LEVEL IN TERM OF MANY OF THE VERY IMPORTANT ZONING AND PLANNING GOAL. THIS MASS UPZONING HAS HUGE RAM FA.....RAMIFICATIONS. IT'S A VERY BIG PROCESS. AND THE PLANNING PROCESS THAT'S BEEN GONE THROUGH AND NOTICE PROCESS IS MUCH MORE LIMITED THAN IF EACH PROPERTY HAD BEEN ZONED INDIVIDUALLY. AND GONE THROUGH THE PROCESS. YOU'VE HEARD SOME TESTIMONY ALREADY ABOUT WITH THAT ABOUT THE LACK OF NOTICE. [BUZZER SOUNDS] I GUESS MY TIME'S UP, BUT I THINK YOU'LL GET A LOT OF TESTIMONY HERE SHOWING HOW SEVERELY FLAWED THE PLANNING PROCESS IS AND FOR SOMETHING AS MASSIVELY IMPORTANT AS THIS AND IRRELEVANT REVIRS I BELIEVE AS THIS, IT HAS TO BE DONE RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS SILVER CHIS CHIS HOL AM.

I DO ECHO THE COMMENTS OF BRAD. THERE'S BEEN A BIG EXCLUSION OF NOTIFICATIONS TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IT'S ABOUT 17,500 PEOPLE. I'M GRATEFUL THAT I FINALLY FOUND OUT ABOUT IT THROUGH RESPONSIBLE GROWTH. I'M BEEN IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD 18 AND A HALF YEARS WITH MY WIFE. WE'VE BEEN SATISFIED FOR SOME TIME AND FOUND OUT THAT THINGS WERE HAPPENING UNBEKNOWNST TO US THAT AFFECTED OUR QUALITY OF LIFE. IT THREATENED -- BECAUSE WE DIDN'T KNOW. I'M JUST THANKFUL THAT THESE ARE SAYING WHAT A GOOD PLAN THIS IS, THEY'VE GOT SOMETHING TO BITE ON AND CHEW ON LIKE WE DO, BUT THERE ARE A LOT OF FOLKS OUT THERE THAT DON'T KNOW ANYTHING, EXCUSE MY ENGLISH, ABOUT THIS PLAN. WE HAD A PRIVILEGE OF DISTRIBUTING ALMOST 800 SNAIZ.....800SURVEYS THESE PAST FEW DAYS. I ONLY HAD

ABOUT 179 TO DID. THE RESULTS WERE REALLY FAVORABLE. MOST OF THE FOLKS DID NOT KNOW ABOUT THE PLAN. NUMBERS ARE IRRELEVANT. THEY DIDN'T KNOW. I'LL GIVE YOU THE NUMBERS. 56 WERE AT HOME. ONE WAS VERY HOSTILE TOWARDS ANYTHING. 104 WERE UNINFORMED. 104 OUT OF 179 WERE UNINFORMED. I WAS THANKLY WE VISITED. WE HAD GOOD RESPONSES ABOUT THE PROCESS. I TELL YOU I GOT TO WORK WHENEVER I GOT THE NOTICE FROM RESPONSIBLE GROWTH THAT SOMETHING WAS HAPPENING. I'VE LIVED THERE 18 AND A HALF YEARS, I'VE WORKED FOR WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. I'VE DELIVERED PAIRNZ, I'VE SOLD ADVERTISING WITH THEM. I'VE BEEN WITH AND PART OF THE GROUP FOR A LONG TIME. I'M NOT OUTSIDE THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. BUT EVERYONE WEARING A RED SHIRT IS A MEMBER. I WANT US TO GET TOGETHER AND THERE'S A POSSIBILITY WE CAN IF WE DON'T RUSH INTO THIS BLINDLY WITHOUT CONSIDERATION THAT WE'LL PROTECT OUR PROPERTY RIGHTS, OUR DEED RESTRICTIONS AND GIVE US A BREATH OF TIME TO PRESENT THE PLANS TO YOU THAT WE'VE BEEN DEVELOPING. GOD BLESS TO YOU LISTEN TO GO ALL THESE THINGS, BUT WE NEED TO HAVE A PULL BACK. I DON'T CARE ABOUT DELAYS. I THINK THEY'RE POSSIBLE IF THEY'RE WORST WHILE AND THE LAST WUNGZ WERE. WE GOT OUR SURVEY DONE. THERE'S STILL A LOT OF WORL TO DO. I WANT YOU TO SEE A PLAN THAT'S JUST AS WELL CONCEIVED. IT'S WHAT'S BEEN PRESENTED TO YOU. AND JUST TAKE CARE OF SOME OF THE THING THAT FRIGHTEN THOSE OF US THAT HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE POWER THAT YOU HAVE. AND JUST ASK THAT YOU BE RESPONSIBLE AS YOU KNOW TO BE. AND WE DO APPRECIATE YOUR WORK AND I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU SIT THROUGH ALL THESE HOURS EVERYDAY AND DO WHAT YOU'RE DOING. I'LL EXCUSE MYSELF OF ANY MORE TIME. THANK YOU. MOISTURE MAYOR THANK.....

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. IT GREGORY NAULL.

COUNCIL, I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT I APPRECIATE WHAT THE STAFF HAS DONE AND EVERYONE THAT IS BRINGING THE PLAN. I DIDN'T GET NOTIFIED OF THIS UNTIL THE LAST PART OF POLITICAL, MAYBE THE -- THE LAST PART OF APRIL, MAYBE THE FIRST OF MAY, AND IT WASN'T BY THE

NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION OR THE CITY. IT WAS BY A FRIEND OF MINE, JO BETS, WHO CALLED AND ASKED ME IF I WAS AWARE OF SOME UPZONING OF PROPERTY ACROSS THE STREET FROM ME. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

... AND I WAS TOLD THE THREE LOTS WERE ACROSS THE STREET FROM ME WERE TAKEN OFF THE TABLE. THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO GO FROM SF-3 TO SF-6 AND SO NOW THEY WILL STAY AS THEY ARE. I DO NOT GET THE NEWS LETTER FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. I NEVER HAVE. UNTIL THIS SUMMER, UNTIL AFTER THE MEETINGS, AND THERE'S A LADY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT DOES BRING BY SOME, BUT AS FAR AS A PERSON THAT MAILES IT TO ME, I DON'T GET THAT. I NEVER HAVE. SO WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT THE CITY NEEDS TO THINK ABOUT THEIR NOTIFICATION PROCESS, HOW THINGS -- BECAUSE ALL I COULD DO IS JUST SAY I DON'T WANT THIS. I WAS NEVER PART OF THE ACTUAL PLANNING PROCESS. AND SO THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME WAY THAT THE CITY CAN SEND STUFF OUT AND THEN YOU WILL KNOW THAT I ACTUALLY RECEIVED IT. IT'S LIKE FEEDBACK. INSTEAD THE WAY IT IS RIGHT NOW, THE CITY CAN SAY WE JUST MAILED IT ALL OUT AND I HOPE YOU ALL GOT IT. WE NEVER DID. WHEN I DID FIND OUT FROM JO JOBETH THIS WAS GOING ON, THERE WAS MEETINGS, I CALLED AND I THINK I GOT THREE PACKS FROM STAFF. THEY WERE VERY HELPFUL AND WERE TOTALLY AND ALWAYS ASSUMED I WAS INFORMED. I WAS NOT. SO THERE JUST NEEDS TO BE A CHANGE IN HOW THE CITY DOES ITS NOTIFICATION. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. JOY MACINTOSH SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK. WELCOME, MA'AM. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY SCOOTER CHEATHAM.

HELLO. AND I'M GLAD TO BE HERE IN FRONT OF A DEMOCRATIC BODY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'VE LIVED IN WINDSOR PARK FOR -- SINCE THE BICENTENNIAL, WHICH IS SIGNIFICANT AS WE TALK ABOUT THIS PLAN. I GOT ON BOARD VERY LATE AND IT WAS MY OWN FAULT MAYBE, BUT NOBODY MADE IT CLEAR. I GOT STUFF IN THE MAIL, BUT I NEVER SAW THAT 80-PAGE PLAN, AND I WAS NEVER INVITED TO ANY KIND OF A MEETING. AND I'M PRETTY ACTIVE IN THE

NEIGHBORHOOD, AND I WAS SURPRISED ABOUT THAT. AND I WANT TO TELL YOU OF THREE OF MY NEIGHBORS WHOM I AT THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, AND THIS IS ALL I'M GOING TO SAY ABOUT THEM, BUT THEY SAID THEY ARE INCONSEQUENTIAL. THESE ARE THREE PEOPLE WHO LIVE WITHIN 1,000 FEET OF ME, THREE FAMILIES, AND THEY ARE A LONG WAY FROM INCONSEQUENTIAL. PERSON NUMBER 1 IS A RETIRED SOCIAL STUDIES TEACHER FROM REAGAN HIGH SCHOOL. HE TAUGHT FOR 36 YEARS. HE TAUGHT MY KIDS AND MOST OF THESE OTHER PEOPLE'S KIDS. HIS WIFE DIED, HE LIVES IN HIS BATHROBE. IS HE NOT INCONSEQUENTIAL. MY NEXT NEIGHBOR IS A LADY OF COLOR WHO HAS LIVED NEXT DOOR TO ME FOR 36 YEARS SINCE THE BICENTENNIAL AND ONE OF MY DEAREST FRIENDS IN THE WORLD. ROSE DOESN'T READ WELL. SHE DOESN'T MAKE SENSE OF THINGS THAT COME TO HER, BUT SHE AND HER HUSBAND, HER LATE HUSBAND, LIVE ON A CORNER. AND ROSE HAS TURNED FORWARD SIX KIDS INCLUDING A CHEMIST, INCLUDING A STATE FARM EXECUTIVE, INCLUDING AN R.N., INCLUDING A PRETTY CLOSE TO MILLION NONE AREA INTERNET ENTREPRENEUR. THE KIDS SHE HAS TURNED OUT ARE MARVELOUS AND IT KEEPS GOING BECAUSE HER GRANDSON IS GRADUATING FROM HUSTON-TILLOTSON JUST ABOUT THIS MINUTE, AND HER GRANDDAUGHTER HAS JUST BEEN GIVEN A FULL, EXPENSIVE PAID SCHOLARSHIP TO THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE. SHE IS NOT INCONSEQUENTIAL EVEN THOUGH SHE DOESN'T GO TO MEETINGS AND SHE DOESN'T MAKE SENSE THAT COMES IN THE MAIL. SHE LIVES ON THE CONNER AND HONOR AND SHE WILL NOT KNOW THERE WAS A MEETING ABOUT CHANGING THE ZONING ON THE CORNER. THE THIRD PERSON I WANT TO TALK ABOUT I DON'T KNOW THE NAME OF, THEY ARE A YOUNG FAMILY AND THEY MOVED RIGHT ACROSS THE CORNER FROM ME. THEY SPENT \$160,000, 240,000, SOMETHING LIKE THAT, A HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY TO GET THEIR HOUSE. SHE IS A LAWYER. SHE WORKS FOR THE STATE, AS I DID WHEN I MOVED INTO THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. THEY HAVE TWO LITTLE GIRLS HEADED FOR HARRIS ELEMENTARY. THEY LIVE ON A CORNER. THEY HAVE NOT RECEIVED EITHER A CALL FROM ANYBODY OR A SINGLE -- [BUZZER SOUNDING] BIT OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE CHANGES IN THE SCHOOL DISTRICT THAT THEY THOUGHT -- THE SCHOOL THEY

THOUGHT THEY WOULD GET OR THE FACT THAT THEY LIVE ON A CORNER OR THE FACT THEY ARE TRYING TO TURN THE STREET IN FRONT OF THEIR HOUSE INTO A COMMERCIAL STREET. I HAPPEN TO LIVE ON THE FORT BRANCH OF BOGGY CREEK, ABOUT 1,000 FEET FROM BRIARCLIFF, AND THE NOISE AT CALVIN AND BRIARCLIFF IS ABOUT 85 DECIBELS RIGHT NOW. THESE PEOPLE ARE NOT INCONSEQUENTIAL. THEY DID NOT KNOW AND WE SHOULD NOT GO FORWARD UNTIL THEY DO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. MACINTOSH. SCOOTER CHEATHAM IS OUR NEXT SPEAKER. I SEE FOLKS WANTED TO DONATE TIME TO YOU. LET'S SEE, AUBRY MACINTOSH HERE? WELCOME. HOW ABOUT MARGIE BEALLERMAN. AND LYNN MARSHALL, HELLO, LYNN. HOW ABOUT ZORA MAE HIGHS. SO ACUTER, YOU HAVE UP TO 15 MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

GOOD NGS, I DON'T THINK I'LL NEED THAT MUCH. I'M SCOOTER CHEATHAM, MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS. I AM GOING TO BE TALKING MOSTLY ABOUT -- I THINK WE'RE -- AS A GROUP, WE'RE NOT OPPOSED TO THE PLAN. WE THINK THE PLAN CAN BE IMPROVED. WE THINK THERE'S SOME MODIFICATIONS THAT WILL MAKE IT WORK BETTER FOR THE LOCAL BUSINESSES AND BETTER FOR THE RESIDENTS. AND SOME OF THESE THINGS WE CAN TALK ABOUT A BIT FURTHER WHEN WE GET TO THE ACTUAL ZONING TRACTS. I THINK MOST OF US FEEL LIKE THE UP ZONING ISSUES AND THE DENSITY OVERLAYS ARE A MAJOR -- THE MAJOR THINGS WE HAVE TO WORK THROUGH HERE. WHEN I CAME TO THE PROCESS IN FEBRUARY AND SAW THE FIRST RENDITION OF THE UP ZONING THAT ROUGHLY 20% OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THERE WERE SEVERAL THINGS THAT STRUCK ME THAT I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT. ONE IS THAT THERE DIDN'T SEEM TO BE WHAT I'M USED TO OR WAS USED TO WHEN I DID PLANNING IN THE '80s, '70s AND '80s, PARTICULARLY FOR THE LAND OFFICE, WE ALWAYS REQUIRED IMPACT PROJECTIONS WHEN BIG CHANGES WERE GOING TO BE MADE. AND SO I HAD SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THAT. THOSE WERE MY INITIAL CONCERNS. AND SO I BEGAN TO TRY TO RESOLVE THAT. I TALKED TO GREG GUERNSEY AND RYAN ROBINSON AND EVENTUALLY WE GOT SOME POPULATION PROJECTIONS THAT SUGGEST WITH THESE ZONING CHANGES THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, WE

WOULD BE BRINGING ABOUT 12,000 MORE PEOPLE INTO WINDSOR PARK IN THE NEXT 10 YEARS. IN ADDITION TO DEALING WITH ADJACENT POPULATION INCREASE OF ABOUT 10,000 PEOPLE IN MILLER. BUT WE STILL HAVEN'T FIGURED OUT HOW THAT'S GOING TO AFFECT THE INFRASTRUCTURE, WHETHER IT WILL HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO HANDLE IT AND WE'RE STILL GETTING INFORMATION ON THAT SO THAT INFORMATION IS STILL NOT COMPLETE. SO WE FEEL VERY UNCOMFORTABLE ABOUT MAKING FINAL DECISIONS ABOUT LARGE-SCALE UP ZONING WITHOUT THAT INFORMATION AT HAND. WE'VE ALREADY TALKED SOME ABOUT THE NOTIFICATION PROCESS, AND I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS THAT MAY HAVE HAPPENED HERE AT WINDSOR PARK, UNIVERSITY HILLS, MS. FUTRELL MENTIONED AT THE TOWN HALL MEETING THAT SOME OF THE BUDGET HAD BEEN TAKEN OUT FOR THE -- IS IT NEIGHBORHOOD ACADEMY? IS THAT WHAT THE PROJECT WAS AT ONE TIME? AND AS OTHER PEOPLE HAVE SAID, ZONING IS A VERY COMPLEX PROCESS, AND I THINK AN AWFUL LOT OF PEOPLE COULD HAVE BENEFITED IF THERE HAD BEEN MORE DOOR-TO-DOOR SURVEYING DONE, MORE EDUCATION EARLIER IN THE PROCESS. I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS, YOU KNOW, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF PEOPLE FEELING LIKE THEY'VE BEEN LEFT OUT OF THE PROCESS. WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT IT, I THINK THERE'S SEVEN PEOPLE CREDITED IN THE PLAN RIGHT NOW FROM THE WINDSOR PARK -- MOST OF THEM ARE FROM THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, AND THAT IS LIKE ONE FOURTH THOUSANDTH OF A PERCENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND WE HAVE ACCUMULATED A GROUP OF ABOUT 35 PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN INVOLVED, VERY INVOLVED AND HAVE DONE A TREMENDOUS JOB IN DOING ZONING RESEARCH AND FROM MANY DIFFERENT PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS, JUST DONE AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF WORK, PUT THOUSANDS OF HOURS INTO IT IN THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS. BUT THAT'S STILL ONLY 200th OF A PERCENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AS A WHOLE. SO SOMEHOW WE'RE NOT GETTING THE KIND OF PARTICIPATION THAT WE NEED IN GETTING THE NOTIFICATION OUT. WHEN WE TALK TO PEOPLE, THEY WANT TO GET INVOLVED, BUT SOMEHOW WE'VE GOT TO OVERCOME THAT. LET ME JUST FOR A SECOND I'M GOING TO MOVE OVER HERE. I THINK THIS -- NO. OKAY. I DON'T HAVE A

POWER POINT PRESENTATION, BUT I'VE GOT A COUPLE OF MAPS TO SHOW YOU. ONE OF THE THEMES THAT WE THINK IS IMPORTANT HERE, ONE OF THE PLANNING THEMES THAT WE THINK IS IMPORTANT IS THAT THERE'S A GREAT CONCERN ABOUT THE POTENTIAL FOR GENTRIFICATION BY BRINGING TOO MANY PEOPLE IN, DOING TOO MUCH ZONING TOO QUICKLY. SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER HERE IS THAT THE EXISTING COMMERCIAL SITES, PROPERTY OWNERS ARE ALREADY UNDER GREAT DISTRESS. YOU'VE BEEN SEEING KIND OF A DOWN TREND IN THE KINDS OF BUSINESSES THAT MAINTAIN AND STAY IN THE MAJOR COMMERCIAL SITES. BUT WITH MILLER COMING IN, THERE'S EVEN A GREATER COMPETITION. SO OUR LOCAL RETAIL SITES ARE SIGNIFICANTLY EMBATTLED, WE THINK. SO ONE OF THE THINGS WE THINK MAY BE IMPORTANT TO DO AND IF WE TREAT THIS IN A PHASED ZONING PROCESS IS TO GIVE THE ADVANTAGE OF MIXED USE INTENSELY SO TO SOME OF THE OTHER MAJOR RETAIL AREAS LIKE CAPITAL PLAZA AND WE AGREE IN GENERAL THAT WINDSOR VILLAGE IS A VERY IMPORTANT SITE WHERE ALL OF US ARE CONCERNED WHEN WE TALK ABOUT VERTICAL MIXED USE AND PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY, WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT WHAT WE KNOW OF THE TRIANGLE BEING STUCK IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH THOSE KIND OF DESIGN STANDARDS, IT'S A HORRIFIC IDEA. I DON'T THINK ANYBODY WANTS TO SEE, YOU KNOW, SOMETHING OH,...., EVEN AT A LOWER HEIGHT. VERTICAL MIXED USE IN THE RIGHT WAY IS VERY VALUABLE AND IT CAN BE USED AS A PHYSICAL BARRIER TO TRAP SPACE, BUT IF YOU USE IT IN THE WRONG WAY, IT INTERRUPTS THE PERMANENTABILITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AN EXAMPLE OF A GOOD PLACE TO USE IT WOULD BE IF YOU USED IT ALONG THE I-35 ACCESS ROAD HERE, IT WOULD ENABLE YOU TO TRAP THE SPACE AND DEVELOP AN AXIS AND INTEGRATE THE COMMERCIAL WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AS IT IS NOW, BASICALLY CAPITAL PLAZA TURNS ITS BACK ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IT SHOWS ITS REAR END TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE FIND THAT OFFENSIVE. BUT IF WE PUT IT IN CAMERON ROAD IN THAT LOCATION WE MISS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE A VERY NICE URBAN KIND OF GARDEN CENTER THERE. BY THE SAME TOKEN, THE

CROCKETT PROPERTIES ALONG 2901 ANOTHER SORT OF IDEAL PLACE TO PLACE MIXED USE AS ARE THE OTHER COMMERCIAL SITES THERE. AN OCCASIONAL NODE LIKE THE WALNUT CREEK VILLAGE WOULD BE ANOTHER IDEAL SPOT FOR THAT. AND WHAT THAT DOES, WE HOPE, IS TO PUT -- INSTEAD OF PUTTING MIXED USE OR VMU ALONG MANOR ROAD AND 51st STREET FIRST PHASE DO IT THIS WAY ALLOWS US TO KEEP THE RESIDENTIAL QUALITY WE ARE VERY FOND OF THERE. THE CONTESTED TRACTS ALSO HAVE A THEME, AND THEY ARE REALLY PRETTY SIMPLE. THERE IS - - THERE ARE A NUMBER OF SITES THAT CREATE -- WOULD CREATE THE FIRST INTRUSION INTO A RESIDENTIAL AREA LIKE THE SITE NUMBER 16, UP... UPZONING THAT INSTEAD OF KEEPING IT SF-3 WOULD START WHAT WE THINK OF AS A CASCADING EFFECT OR A BLOCKBUSTER. THERE ARE OTHER PROPERTIES LIKE THAT. 67, 62, WHATNOT. A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE ALSO CONCERNED ABOUT MOVING SCHOOLS LIKE BLANTON FROM SF-3 UP TO P BECAUSE P HAS A WHOLE SERIES OF -- 140 SOMETHING VERY BAD THINGS THAT CAN HAPPEN IN THE MIDDLE OF A NEIGHBORHOOD AND THAT IS A VERY SENSITIVE AREA. IF PEOPLE HAVE TO MOVE PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO START WITH SF-3. WE'LL TALK MORE ABOUT THESE AS THEY COME UP, BUT MOST OF OUR TIME AND INTEREST IS GOING TO BE SPENT ON THIS. AND THE DENSITY OVERLAYS AND THE DESIGN OVERLAYS THAT PUT A BROAD -- CAST A BROAD SET OF DENSITY INDUCING QUALITIES OVER THE NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE IN MANY CASES WE'VE GOT -- WE HAVE COVENANTS AND SUCH THINGS AS THAT THAT ARE IN CONFLICT WITH THOSE OVERLAYS. AND WE THINK THAT OVERLAYS SHOULD BE HANDLED BY SUBDISTRICTS SO IF PEOPLE REALLY WANT THAT IN A PARTICULAR AREA, THEY ADOPT IT THAT WAY RATHER THAN SORT OF GIVING EVERYBODY KIND OF A BLANKET TREATMENT. YEAH. I THINK THAT'S ALL I'VE GOT TO SAY FOR NOW. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ONE MOMENT PLEASE]

THIS WHOLE AREA IS BUILT ON TAYLOR CLAY, ALSO KNOWN AS THE SPRINKLE FORMATION. FROM CHAPTER 2 OF ROCKS OF THE AUSTIN AREA PUBLISHED BY THE GEOLOGY LIBRARY AT U.T. AUSTIN, THE SPRINKLE IS ONE OF THE MOST UNSTABLE FORMATIONS IN THE AUSTIN AREA. IT HAS

CAUSED MANY CONSTRUCTION FAILURES AND THE CONSTRUCTION UPON THIS FORMATION SHOULD BE DONE ONLY UNDER THE WATCHFUL EYE OF AN ENGINEER OR GEOLOGICAL ENGINEER. FROM CENTER BY CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING AND THE DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, IT SAYS THE TAYLOR CLAY IS A HIGHLY EXPANSIVE SOIL FOUND IN CENTRAL TEXAS. QUOTING FROM TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STUDY DONE WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, MAY 2003, NUMEROUS INSURANCE CLAIMS FROM RESIDENTIAL HOMEOWNERS AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPERS RESULT FROM THE HIGH SWELLING PRESSURES OF TAYLOR CLAY. AND THE ASSOCIATE VOLUME YOU MEMBER TRICK CHANGES THAT COULD WITH SEASONAL MOISTURE CHANGES. PASTE DISTRESS IN THE FORM OF LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE TRACTS IS PREVALENT IN ALL KINDS OF PAVEMENT CONSTRUCT IN TAYLOR CLAY EAST OF I-35 IN CENTRAL TEXAS. SO YOU HAVE TO GO DOWN TO HIT THAT ROCK AT LEAST 60 FEET TO 300 FEET. I WORKED AT VIRGINIA COLLEGE. IT HAS A PUMPING SYSTEM UNDER ITS PAVEMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT IT DOES NOT CRACK AND FALL. YOU ARE PROPOSING TO BUILD HIGH DENSITY STRUCTURES, MULTI-STORY STRUCTURES ON THE MOST UNSUITABLE FOUNDATION. YOU ARE PROPOSING TO BUILD A SAND. AND IF YOU GOOGLE THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THIS ASSOCIATION -- [BUZZER SOUNDING] -- THEIR ASSOCIATION WAS FOUNDED FIVE YEARS AGO, NOT 25. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. LET'S SEE. MR. ADAMS. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY ARTHUR SAM SON.

GOOD EVENING, MR. MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS AND STAFF. I AM A RESIDENT OF WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD. I'VE LIVED THERE FOR 23 YEARS. I'VE BEEN A HOMEOWNER, RESIDENT FOR 19 YEARS. I CAME HERE THINKING ORIGINALLY THAT I WOULD BE SPEAKING PRIMARILY AS A RESIDENT NEIGHBOR; HOWEVER, I REALIZED NOW THAT I NEED TO SPEAK ALSO IN THE SENSE THAT I HAVE EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE IN THE WASTEWATER INDUSTRY. I POSSESS A CLASS A WASTEWATER OPERATOR'S CERTIFICATION AND I'M INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. THE POINT I PRIMARILY WANT TO MAKE IS THAT WHAT ZONING, REZONING AND DEVELOPMENT

OCCURS IN THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD SHOULD BE DONE IN TWO SEPARATE PHASES. THIS IS BASED ON THE AGE OF OUR EXISTING SEWAGE SYSTEM THROUGHOUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD. I'VE BEEN IN COMMUNICATION WITH THE SERVICES PLANNING DIVISION OF THE AUSTIN WATER UTILITY AND AS A RESULT OF THE RESPONSES FROM SEVERAL NEIGHBORS, INCLUDING MYSELF, THEY LOOKED INTO INFORMATION CONCERNING PERCENT CAPACITY FOR THE COLLECTION SYSTEM THROUGHOUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AFTER A NUMBER OF WEEKS, THEY RESPONDED AND SAID WE DO NOT HAVE A DATABASE THAT WE CAN DRAW INTO. THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF SETTING UP A PROGRAM TO MONITOR PERCENT CAPACITY CROTHON THE ENTIRE CITY BECAUSE THEY REALIZE THAT THIS IS IMPORTANT TO DETERMINING THE CAPABILITY OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS AS THEY DEVELOP. I'VE SPENT THE LAST FOUR DAYS EXAMINING THE G.I.S. MAPS OF WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD FOR A COLLECTION SYSTEM, AND I'VE NOTICED SOME PARTICULAR TRENDS THAT ARE POINTED OUT IN THE MAPS. FIRST OF ALL, THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE CAPITAL PLAZA AREA ALONG I-35 AND THAT SECTION OF 290 WEST OF CAMERON ROAD WOULD PROBABLY BE THE BEST TO DEVELOP FIRST. THESE ARE LARGE TRACTS OF LAND. IF YOU DIG UP THE WASTEWATER SYSTEMS THERE WHICH ARE ACTUALLY VERY OLD, THEY ARE STILL 8-INCH CONCRETE PIPES THAT WERE LAID PROBABLY 40 YEARS AGO, THOSE TRACTS OF LAND WILL ALLOW YOU TO PUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE AND THEN DEVELOP THE BUILDINGS AROUND THEM WITH A MINIMUM OF DISTURBANCE TO THE REST OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. A DEVELOP ALONG BURKMAN ROAD, WHELISS AND CAMERON ROAD IS GOING TO REQUIRE UPSIZING OF ALL THE MAINS THAT RUN NORTH TO SOUTH. [BUZZER SOUNDING] IN CLOSING, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY IF THE PROPOSED TRACTS ARE OPENED ALL AT ONCE FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PROCEED ON A RANDOM SCHEDULE, THEN THERE WILL BE A PROBABLE DISRUPTION ACROSS THE ENTIRE ENTIRE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE THERE WILL BE OVERLAPPING AREAS OF THE COLLECTION SYSTEM THAT WILL BE REPLACED RANDOMLY. AND THIS WILL COMPLETELY DISRUPT TRAFFIC FLOW AND THE LIVES OF THE NEIGHBORS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. ADAMS. ARTHUR SAMPSON. WELCOME. GOOD TO SEE YOU AGAIN. IS DAVID GOLDEN HERE? MR. GOLDEN. SO YOU WILL HAVE UP TO SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY MARY BROWN. WELCOME.

MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBER, MY NAME IS ARTHUR SAMPSON. I'VE BEEN LIVING IN THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD FOR OVER 30 YEARS. I AM A MEMBER OF THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. AND I HAVE BEEN THERE FOR SOME TIME. I'M ALSO A MEMBER OF THE RESPONSIBLE GROWTH. I'M AGAINST THE PLAN THAT YOU ARE DOING AT THIS TIME. NOT THE WHOLE PLAN, IT'S SOME THINGS THAT NEED TO BE DONE TO MODIFY THAT AND BRING EVERYTHING ON BOARD WITH EVERYTHING ELSE. YOU'VE HEARD A LOT OF TALK ABOUT THE PLAN AND I'M NOT GOING TO GO OVER THAT. I'M GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. I'M GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT THE SECONDARY APARTMENTS, SETBACKS AND IMPERVIOUS COVER ON PARKING. THE CITY ITSELF HAS RULES AND GUIDELINES THAT THEY HAVE PUT THAT WE ARE TO FOLLOW. ON THE SF-3 PROPERTY, WHENEVER A PROPERTY IS ZONED SF-3, THE MINIMUM -- THE LOT SIZE, THE MINIMUM LOT SIZE IS 5,750, AND I'M READING FROM CITY STAFF REQUIREMENTS THAT REQUIRES WHENEVER YOU ARE GOING TO BUILD. ALSO, WHEN YOU HAVE THE MINIMUM SIZE OF 5,750 SQUARE FEET TO BUILD ON, AND WHEN YOU ARE TRYING TO PUT SECONDARY APARTMENTS, FRONT PORCH SETBACKS AND IMPERVIOUS COVER ON THAT PIECE OF PROPERTY, THAT IS A PROBLEM. STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE SF-3 PROPERTIES BEING REDUCED DOWN TO 5,750 SQUARE FEET SO YOU CAN PUT A SECONDARY APARTMENT ON THERE. ARE...IN FRONT OF YOU I HAVE PUT TOGETHER A GENERAL LAYOUT OF PROPERTY, OF A STANDARD SIZED LOT, WHICH OF THAT STANDARD SIZE LOT IS 50 BY 125 FEET WHICH IS GOING TO GIVE YOU 6,250 SQUARE FEET. THE ONE THAT YOU SEE IN THE PURPLE, THAT IS THE REGULAR, THE HOME THAT IS ACTUALLY SITTING ON THAT LOT THERE AS THOUGH THAT YOU DON'T HAVE A SECONDARY APARTMENT ON THAT LOT. AND THEN ALSO YOU HAVE A CONCRETE DRIVEWAY. THAT'S

STANDARD. WITH YOUR SECONDARY APARTMENT, WHICH YOU CANNOT EXCEED 800 SQUARE FEET, YOU WILL BE PLACING THAT IN BACK OF THAT PROPERTY. YOU WILL BE PUTTING THAT ON THAT PROPERTY AT THE REAR. WHEN YOU ADD THAT ON TO THAT PROPERTY, YOUR SECONDARY APARTMENT, AND THEN YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT ADDING ON A FRONT PORCH SETBACK. AND THAT FRONT PORCH SETBACK, THE FRONT PORCH THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BE ADDING ON THE SETBACK, YOU ARE GOING TO BE PUTTING THAT ON THE FRONT OF YOUR HOUSE. WITH THAT IN MIND, THE CITY REQUIRES THAT YOU HAVE A SETBACK FROM YOUR PROPERTY LINE OF 25 FEET OR 35 FEET FROM THE FACE OF THE CURB TO YOUR PROPERTY. THE FRONT PERCH SETBACKS IS GOING TO BE ENCROACHING ON YOUR OWN REQUIREMENTS THAT YOUR HOME SIT BACK 25 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE. IT'S GOING TO BE SITTING IN THAT EASEMENT, IN THAT FRONT PART OF 10 FEET. THEY ARE SAYING THIS NEW REGULATION THAT THEY WANT TO DO IS THEY WANT TO PUT A FRONT PORCH SETBACK WHICH IS GOING TO SIT 10 FEET IN FRONT OF YOUR PROPERTY. THEY CAN GO ALL THE WAY CROTION THE FRONT OF YOUR HOME THERE. IF YOU CHOOSE TO DO SO. AND WHEN YOU TAKE THAT INFORMATION AND YOU ADD THAT ON A REGULAR SIZE LOT, THE 6,250 SQUARE FEET, BY THE TIME YOU ADD ON 800 SQUARE FEET OF A SECONDARY APARTMENT, PUT YOUR FRONT PORCH SETBACK IN FRONT OF IT THAT'S EVEN CROAMPING ON THE 25... 25 FEET, YOU ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY PROPERTY LEFT. PLUS, THAT MEANS YOU ARE DENSIFYING THAT ONE LOT. YOU ARE DENSIFYING. WHERE ARE THE PEOPLE GOING TO PARK? WHERE THE KIDS ARE GOING TO GO TO SCHOOL. WHEN YOU ACTUALLY TAKE AN EXISTING PIECE OF PROPERTY AND PUT THAT ON. IN THE WINDSOR PARK, MOST OF THE PROPERTIES IN THAT AREA ARE OVER 7,000 SQUARE FEET, WHICH IS ANOTHER REQUIREMENT THAT YOU HAVE THAT YOU CAN PUT -- IF YOU WANT TO PUT A SECONDARY APARTMENT OR DUPLEX, YOU HAVE 7,000..... 7,000 SQUARE FEET. THERE'S NO NEED TO REDUCE THE SIZE OF THE PROPERTY FROM 7,000 SQUARE FEET TO 5,750 SQUARE FEET IN ORDER TO PUT A SECONDARY APARTMENT TO DENSIFY THAT AREA EVEN MORE SO. THEN THAT'S GOING TO AFFECT YOUR INFRASTRUCTURE. IF YOU GOT ABOUT 3,000 HOMES, AND

MORE THAN THAT, 17,500 PEOPLE IN THE AREA, IF YOU GOT 3,000 HOMES AND EVERYBODY DECIDES TO PUT A SECONDARY APARTMENT ON THEIR PROPERTY AND PUT A PORCH ON THE FRONT OF IT, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A BIG PROBLEM OVER THERE. AND THEN WHEN YOU -- ONCE YOU DO THAT, ALSO IT REQUIRES THAT YOU HAVE IMPERVIOUS COVER OF 45% OF THE LOT SIZE EXCEED THAT ONCE YOU MAXIMIZE THAT OUT. THE SAME THING WITH THE BUILDING. THE BUILDING CALLS FOR -- THE MAXIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE ON A LOT BASED ON A PERCENTAGE IS 40%. WHEN YOU PUT THAT ON THERE, YOU ARE GOING TO EXCEED THAT -- HOW MUCH BUILDING THAT YOU HAVE TO HAVE ON THAT LOT. IF YOU LOOK AT THAT, I JUST KIND OF RAN SOME FIGURES. IF YOU TAKE A LOT SIZE OF 62 -- 6,250 SQUARE FEET AND YOU PUT A BUILDING THAT NORMALLY 40% WOULD BE 2500 SQUARE FEET, THAT'S THE MAXIMUM YOU CAN PUT, WHEN YOU ADD THE SECONDARY APARTMENT AND THE FRONT PORCH, YOU ARE GOING TO END UP 2700 SQUARE FEET, WHICH YOU.... EXCEED. IT'S THE SAME ON THE IMPERVIOUS COVER. WITH THE 45% YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE 2818. YOU ARE GOING TO EXCEED IT IF YOU PUT ALL THIS STUFF ON THERE. IT'S 2970. I DON'T THINK THOUGHT HAS BEEN PUT INTO THIS WHOLE THING. WE ARE PUTTING SOMETHING IN THE INFIELD, BUT YET WE'RE NOT USING THE FIGURES TO SEE IF THIS STUFF IS GOING TO WORK. ALSO, WHEN YOU PUT A FRONT PORCH SETBACK, WHO IS GOING TO REGULATE THIS. [BUZZER SOUNDING] ALSO IT MAY BE AGAINST THE DEED RESTRICTIONS. AND I'M GOING TO CLOSE BY PUTTING ONE MORE PICTURE UP HERE. THIS IS A PIECE OF PROPERTY YOU ALL HAVE BEEN DEALING WITH FOR I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG. NOW, TEAR DOWN A MAN'S HOUSE, HE STILL IS PARKING OVER THERE. AND IF WE START PUTTING FRONT PORCHES ON -- PUT FRONT PORCHES ON FRONT OF OUR HOUSE, WHO IS GOING TO REGULATE THAT? YOU ARE GOING TO END UP HAVING A SITUATION LIKE THIS WHERE NEIGHBORS ARE FIGHTING NEIGHBORS ABOUT A FRONT PORCH ON THE FRONT OF THEIR HOUSE. PRETTY SOON THEY ARE GOING TO BE CLOSING IT IN AND PUTTING AIR CONDITIONERS AND NEXT THING YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A BED. THIS IS SOMETHING YOU ALL HAVE BEEN FIGHTING. THAT PROPERTY IS -- I THINK IS 17 -- 1703 WEST MOORE. YOU ALL ARE STILL FIGHTING

TRYING TO GET THAT MAN TO GET THAT STUFF OFF OF THERE AND THAT'S BEEN GOING ON FOR YEARS. CAN YOU IMAGINE WHAT YOUR NEIGHBORS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH? IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL BE GLAD, AND I RAN FIGURES ON THIS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS MARY BROWN. WELCOME, MARY. LET'S SEE, IS PATSY ANDERSON HERE? PATSY WANTED TO DONATE TIME TO YOU, BUT OUR RULES ARE SHE NEEDS TO BE PRESENT IN ORDER TO DO SO. SO YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES. WELCOME. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY ROBERT BLACK.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR WYNN, MAYOR PRO TEM DUNKERLEY AND CITY MANAGER FUTRELL. MY NAME IS MARY ALICE BROWN. MY HUSBAND AND I HAVE BEEN UNIVERSITY HILLS HOMEOWNERS FOR 34 YEARS AND ACTIVE MEMBERS OF THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, A GROUP WHICH PHARMACY.....FORMALLY ORGANIZED IN 1974. THE ASSOCIATION'S ADVOCACY WORK SOMETIMES HAVE BEEN BATTLES TO PROTECT OUR YOU NECK NORTHEAST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD AND OUR QUALITY OF LIFE INCLUDING ONE SPECIFIC INCIDENT -- INSTANCE OF KEEPING A SEWER LINE REPLACEMENT OUT OF OUR LITTLE WALNUT CREEK. WORKING ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, PARTICULARLY ON ZONING ISSUES, WAS OFTEN A SIMILAR EXPERIENCE. I PARTICIPATED IN THE PLANNING PROCESS SINCE THE FIRST MEETING HELD IN THE FALL OF 2005. THE UNIVERSITY HILLS WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN REFLECTS HUNDREDS OF VOLUNTEER HOURS SPENT MEETING, READING DOCUMENTS AND LEARNING DETAILS OF THE CITY'S RULES AND REGULATIONS ON ZONING AND ALL OTHER ISSUES. AND I DO WISH AT THIS TIME TO THANK THE CITY PLANNERS THAT HAVE WORKED WITH US FOR ALWAYS PROVIDING INFORMATION THAT WE WERE REQUESTING. OF COURSE, THERE WERE TIMES WE WEREN'T SURE WHAT WE NEEDED TO REQUEST SO THAT WAS A HANDICAP, BUT THEY WERE VERY HELPFUL IN PROVIDING THAT INFORMATION. THE FINAL DRAFT OF THE PLAN IS A LONG WISH LIST, AND AS THE PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 3 STATES, BY ADOPTING THE PLAN, CITY COUNCIL DEMONSTRATES THE CITY'S COMMITMENT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN.

HOWEVER, THAT'S MY EMPHASIS, APPROVAL OF THE PLAN DOES NOT LEGALLY OBLIGATE THE CITY TO IMPLEMENT ANY PARTICULAR ACTION ITEM. END QUOTE. THAT CHRIS CLAIMER IS ONE -- DISCLAIMER IS ONE OF THE REASONS THE PROCESS SHOULD BE REEVALUATED AND ORGANIZED SO THAT EACH AREA'S PLAN HAS A BASIC COMMIT MANY OF CITY FUNDING. THE LEGAL OBLIGATION THAT DOES EXIST IS WITH ZONING CHANGES. THEY ARE NOT A PART OF THE PLAN BUT PRESENTED TODAY. SINCE THE ZONING AND PLANNING ISSUES CREATE THE MOST INTEREST, CONCERN AND CONTROVERSY, I RECOMMEND THAT A REORGANIZED PLANNING PROCESS FIRST BRINGS ZONING AND LAND USE TO THE COMMUNITY. THE BEST PRACTICES OF URBAN PLANNING SHOULD BE INCORPORATED STARTING WITH SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CITY AUDITOR'S REPORT FROM SPRING 2006. ALL THINGS CONSIDERED, THE PLAN AND ZONING CHANGES SHOULD BE THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S PLAN, NOT THE CITY'S PLAN FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THE CITY SHOULD BE ONE OF THE STAKEHOLDERS IN THE PROCESS, BUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD, NOT THE CITY, MUST BE THE MAJORITY STAKEHOLDERS. THERE ARE TWO REQUESTS FOR THE PLAN THAT I WILL MAKE. ONE FOR A CHANGE AND ONE ADDITION THAT I WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE AS ORIGINALLY REQUESTED BY UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. [BUZZER SOUNDING] I'LL MAKE THIS QUICK. CONSIDERING THE VOLUME OF THE MATERIAL, IT WOULD STREAMLINE THE PLAN TO PUT THE ESSENTIAL GOALS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AT THE FRONT OF THE BOOK AND THE MANY NON-ESSENTIAL MATERIALS IN THE BACKGROUND IN APPENDIX SECTION. ALSO WE HAD REQUESTED A LIST OF ALL PARTICIPANTS THAT SIGNED IN AT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN MEETINGS. AS STAFF INDICATED, THAT WOULD ADD TOO MANY PAGES, BUT WITH 150 PAGES ALREADY, I THINK A FEW MORE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO INCLUDE ALL THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND HEAD DWREE GACE SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION F, SO COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL OF OUR FOLKS AT THIS TIME. IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE, I'M TRYING TO COMBINE THESE FOUR ITEMS, WHO SIGNED UP FOR A DIFFERENT ITEM WHO HASN'T HAD A CHANCE TO ADDRESS US. IF YOU WOULD CONNELL FORWARD AND -- COME

FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND MS. GENTRY AND I WILL FACE YOU DOWN. STATE YOUR NAME. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.

MY NAME IS AUBRY MACINTOSH, I SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ONCE AND SIGNED UP TO DONATE TIME TO SCOOTER AND SO DO I HAVE TIME TO SPEAK NOW OR NOT?

Mayor Wynn: IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS US, YOU MAY, SIR.

SIR?

Mayor Wynn: YES, GO AHEAD AND SPEAK.

EVERYBODY WHO IS PART OF THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION STAND, NO MATTER WHAT COLOR SHIRT THEY ARE WEARING. SOME OF THE COUNCIL AREN'T HERE AND SOME OF US AREN'T HERE AND IT'S LATE AND I'LL TRY TO BE SHORT. YOU'VE HEARD FROM US. WE'RE PROUD OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. COMPARED TO THE POPULATION OF AUSTIN, WE'RE A SMALL GROUP. WE'RE A VOCAL GROUP. WE ARE RESIDENTS WHO ARE PROUD OF OUR HOMES. WE HAVE -- I HAVE HEARD TONIGHT THINGS THAT RING TRUE TO WHAT WE THINK ABOUT OUR NEIGHBORHOOD TO THE PROBLEMS. I'VE HEARD A PLAN THAT ADDRESSES IT. I HOPE THAT YOU ARE NOT CONFUSED THAT ONE PLAN IS AUTOMATICALLY THE BEST PLAN. I HOPE YOU ARE NOT CONFUSED BY THINKING THAT A PLAN THAT'S MADE RELATIVELY QUICKLY IS GOOD TO LOOK GOOD AFTER FIVE YEARS. I HOPE YOU ARE NOT GOING TO MAKE A PLAN THAT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO ADJUST LATER ON. WHILE THERE'S MUCH PROGRESS HERE, WHILE WE HAVE TRIED VERY HARD TO DO WHAT'S GOOD FOR OUR NEIGHBORHOOD, EVEN THOUGH WE DON'T AGREE ON ALL THE PARTICULARS, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT YOU NOT VOTE FOR AWFUL THESE UPGRADES AT THIS TIME. WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HOW THINGS WORK OUT AND COME TO A STRONGER CONSENSUS IN THE COHESIVE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO US.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, SIR. PLEASE JUST STATE YOUR

NAME FOR THE RECORD AND YOU TOO WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

MY NAME IS KATHERINE SARR WITH NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF AUSTIN. I BRIEFLY WANT TO THANK THE COUNCIL FOR APPROVING ITEM NUMBER 4 ON THE AGENDA EARLIER. NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF AUSTIN IS A NONPROFIT COMMUNITY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION. WE DEVELOP AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR FAMILIES AND INDIVIDUALS WITH INCOMES AT 80% OR LESS OF THE MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME. SINCE BEING FOUNDED IN 1992, WE HAVE DEVELOPED 72 SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES WHICH HAVE BEEN SOLD TO FAMILIES AGAIN AT 80% OR LESS M.F.I. I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK SPECIFICALLY TO ONE OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS, TRACT NUMBER 210. WE ARE CURRENTLY UNDER CONTRACT TO PURCHASE THIS TRACT. AND I WOULD ASK THAT THE COUNCIL GO WITH THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION OF LR-MU VERSUS THE LO, WHICH IS WHAT THE ZONING COMMISSION IS PROPOSING. NHSA HAS MET WITH SEVERAL OF THE COUNCILMEMBERS SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR. COUNCILMEMBER McCRACKEN, COUNCILMEMBER COLE AS WELL AS MARTINEZ, AND THEN WE ALSO MET WITH MAYOR PRO TEM DUNKERLEY EARLIER IN THE YEAR. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE UNFAMILIAR WITH OUR DEVELOPMENT AND AS A REFRESHER FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE BEEN PRESENTED THE DEVELOPMENT, COULD I GET THE MAP, PLEASE? THANK YOU. THIS PROJECT IS A 72-UNIT MULTI-FAMILY MIXED USE PROJECT WHICH WILL BE TARGETED TO HOMEOWNERS THAT ARE AT 60% -- I'M SORRY, 80% OR LESS M.F.I. THERE ARE 60 HOMEOWNERSHIP UNITS WHICH WILL HAVE KIND OF A CONDO-TYPE OWNERSHIP MODEL, AND THERE WILL ALSO BE 12 COMMERCIAL UNITS ALONG THE FRONT WHICH IS MANOR ROAD AND THEN LOYOLA IS JUST SOUTH OF THERE. THE 12 COMMERCIAL UNITS WILL BE RENTED TO SMALL BUSINESSES IN AUSTIN FACING COMMERCIAL GENTRIFICATION IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA OF EAST AUSTIN, AND NHSA HAS WORKED REALLY HARD WITH OUR ARCHITECT HALEY JOHNSON TO CREATE KIND OF AN URBAN WALKABLE NEIGHBORHOOD IN THIS DEVELOPMENT. RIGHT NOW IT'S A VACANT SITE. THERE ARE A LOT OF CRIME ISSUES IN THIS AREA, AND WE THINK THAT HAVING THIS

TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT, CREATING A COMMUNITY WITHIN A COMMUNITY WILL REALLY HELP DETER SOME OF THAT ACTIVITY, IF YOU WILL. WE WOULD LIKE THE LR ZONING BECAUSE WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SPACE FOR A CAFE OR COFFEE SHOP. [BUZZER SOUNDING] JUST BRIEFLY, THE L.O. ZONING WOULD PREVENT US FROM DOING THAT. WE WANT THE CAFE TO GIVE PEOPLE A PLACE TO GO, INTERACT, MEET THEIR NEIGHBORS AND JUST GIVE THAT SENSE OF COMMUNITY. AND IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'D FEEL FREE TO ANSWER THEM.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. WE'LL STUDY THAT TRACT CLOSELY IN A FEW MINUTES. WELCOME, SIR. STATE YOUR NAME AND GIVE US YOUR TESTIMONY.

AL WEBBER, VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. AND I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION I HAD SIGNED UP OUTSIDE WHEN I GOT MY CONFIRMATION BUT I WASN'T SURE HOW YOUR COMPUTER SYSTEM WORKS. I'M HERE REPRESENTING MEMBERS OF THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. I'M ALSO HERE REPRESENTING MEMBERS OF THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING CONTACT TEAM AND ZONING COMMITTEE. AND I MAKE THAT DISTINCTION BECAUSE IT'S IMPORTANT TO CITY STAFF TO MAKE A DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING COMMITTEE. IN UNIVERSITY HILLS, WE DON'T HAVE A TIME OF RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT FOR PEOPLE TO VOTE IN NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS, NOR DO IF PEOPLE -- IF YOU WANT TO VOTE FOR AN OFFICER AT A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, YOU HAVE TO HAVE YOUR DUES PAID UP. BUT OTHER ISSUES WE DON'T REQUIRE THAT PEOPLE HAVE THEIR DUES PAID UP. SO BASICALLY WE CONSIDER THE TWO GROUPS TO BE THE SAME. I'M HERE TO DISCUSS THE NUMBER 56 IN THE PACKET. THE YELLOW SHEETS. AND WE WORKED WITH CITY STAFF ON THESE DIFFERENT FRACTURES AND WE REACHED AGREEMENT WITH THEM ON THE MAJORITY OF THE TRACTS. TRACT NUMBER 201, THE ONES WE REACHED AGREEMENT ON WERE 201, 203, 205, 206, 207, 208, 212, 213, 214, 216 AND 223. AND THAT'S WITH THE ZONING THAT'S RECOMMENDED IN THE TWO COLUMNS FOR THIS PARTICULAR TRACTS ON THE YELLOW SHEET. ON SOME OF THE OTHER TRACTS, TRACT

215 IS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN BECAUSE AS A POTENTIAL PARK LAND ACQUISITION TO ADD TO THE UNIVERSITY HILLS SITE, WE WOULD LIKE THE ZONING LEFT G.R. AS OPPOSED TO GR-M. MU BECAUSE WE DON'T SEE ANY REASON TO UP ZONE IT IF IT'S PROPOSED FOR PARK LAND ACQUISITION. WE WOULD LIKE IT TO BE EVENTUALLY ACQUIRED TO ADD TO THE UNIVERSITY HILLS LIBRARY BRANCH AREA AS THE POPULATION OF THE AREA GROWS. TRACT 202, THESE ARE TWO CHURCHES THAT ARE ON ED BLUESTEIN. THE MOTION SHEET FOR THE CONTESTED TRACTS LISTS TWO CHURCHES AND THE BOY SCOUTS OFFICE, BUT ACTUALLY THE BOY SCOUTS OFFICE IS ON A TRACT THAT IS ON AN ADJOINING PIECE OF PROPERTY, BUT IT'S NOT A PART OF TRACT 202. OUR RECOMMENDATION AS A NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION ON THAT WAS NEIGHBORHOOD OFFICE BECAUSE WE WANTED IT LIMITED TO TWO STORIES. THESE TRACTS ARE UPHILL FROM THE HOUSES THAT ARE OBVIOUSLY DOWNHILL FROM THEM, AND PEOPLE DID NOT WANT OFFICE BUILDINGS THAT PEOPLE COULD LOOK OUT OF AND LOOK AT THEM IN THEIR BACKYARDS. THEY FELT THAT THEIR PRIVACY WOULD BE INVADED. IN THE COLUMN THAT'S RATED CIVIC ON THAT LINE, THE LAST PART SAYS AND CLUB OR LODGE USE. WE DON'T WANT ANY CLUB OR LODGE USES ALLOWED ON THE PROPERTY BECAUSE IF THEY DID PLAY MUSIC, THE NOISE WOULD CARRY INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. TRACT 210, 211 AND 222, THE LADY WHO JUST GOT UP AND TALKED A MOMENT AGO AND THERE'S ALSO ANOTHER GROUP OF PEOPLE, THEY ARE INTERESTED IN DEVELOPING THAT PROPERTY. OUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THAT WAS NEIGHBORHOOD OFFICE. WE WOULD LIKE TO ESTABLISH AN ECONOMIC BASE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD THAT IS SOMETHING BESIDES RETAIL. MOSTLY WE'RE RESIDENCES AND RETAIL. WE WOULD LIKE SOME SORT OF AN ECONOMIC BASE BEYOND THAT. AT THE SAME TIME WE DON'T WANT BIG BUILDINGS THAT, ONCE AGAIN, LOOK INTO PEOPLE'S BACKYARDS. AND SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS INVITE THEM TO OUR NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION MEETING ON THE 18th TO HAVE THEM TALK TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION ABOUT WHAT THEY WANT AND EXPLAIN WHAT THEY PLAN TO DO ON THE PROPERTIES. AND WE'LL TAKE A VOTE AT THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION MEETING.

LET'S SEE. TRACTS 220 AND 228, MS. VERA GIBBONS WILL TALK ABOUT AFTER I'M FINISH. AND TRACT 221 IS A TRACT THAT'S ON ONE SIDE IS ED BLUE SEEN, ON THE OTHER SIDE IS LITTLE WALNUT CREEK. A LOT OF THAT TRACT IS IN THE FLOOD PLAIN. OUR RECOMMENDATION ON THAT WAS L.O. STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION IS G.R. I THINK THAT WAS JUST A MATTER OF MISCOMMUNICATION. WE DON'T THINK G.R. WOULD BE GOOD THERE BECAUSE IT'S -- I MEAN WE JUST DON'T SEE PEOPLE GOING TO THAT PARTICULAR LOCATION TO SHOP. IT'S AWAY FROM EVERYTHING ELSE AND WE JUST DON'T SEE RETAIL WORKING THERE. TRACTS 217 AND 219 ARE PRESENTLY OCCUPIED BY MULTIPLE-FAMILY APARTMENT COMPLEXES. OUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THAT WAS MF 3 TO MAKE CURRENT JUST COMPATIBLE WITH ZONING. ONE OF THE APARTMENT COMPLEXES IS TRAVIS STATION, IT'S A HUGE COMPLEX, I'M NOT SURE HOW HOLD IT IS. WHEN I MOVED IN IT WAS FAIRLY NEW. THE OTHER IS AN APARTMENT COMPLEX BEHIND A CAR WASH AND IT ACTUALLY INTRUDES INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. WE DON'T THINK A RETAIL LOCATION THERE WOULD BE GOOD. IT'S OFF MANOR ROAD INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND WE DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD LOCATION FOR RETAIL. AND I THINK THAT CONCLUDES.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER CITIZENS THAT HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO GIVE TESTIMONY ON ANY ELEMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY HILLS OR WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME. I'M WORKING OFF DIFFERENT LISTS HERE. SOME PEOPLE SIGNED UP FOR SOME ITEMS AND NOT THE OTHERS. SO PLEASE JUST STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND GIVE US YOUR TESTIMONY.

MR. MAYOR AND COUNCIL, MY NAME IS VERA GIBBONS. I SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ABOUT A PROPERTY THAT'S OWNED BY AISD. THIS LAND IS PUBLIC LAND. IT WAS PURCHASED IN A BOND ELECTION AND SHOULD NOT BE DISPOSED OF BY A VOTE IN A CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE SCHOOL BOARD. AS A MATTER OF ZONING POLICY, LAND OWNED BY THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE ZONED PUBLIC. A CHANGE IN ZONING SHOULD ONLY TAKE PLACE AFTER THE LAND IS SOLD TO A NON-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR OWNER. OTHERWISE THE GOVERNMENT BECOMES AN ACTIVE

PARTICIPANT IN MANIPULATING REAL ESTATE. I'M VERY UPSET ABOUT THIS. THE REASON I'M SO UPSET IS I'VE LIVED IN THIS AREA FOR 30 YEARS. MY CHILDREN GREW UP IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE WERE PROMISED WAS A SCHOOL, A JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL. AND -- THIS BOTHERS ME. A CHANGE IN ZONING SHOULD ONLY TAKE PLACE AFTER THE LAND IS SOLD TO A NON-GOVERNMENTAL OWNER. OTHERWISE THE GOVERNMENT BECOMES AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT IN MANIPULATING THE REAL ESTATE MARKET. IN A GIVEN AREA. WHICH COULD, AS IN THE CASE OF THE PROPERTY THAT I'M TALKING ABOUT, BE AGAINST THE INTERESTS OF THE VERY PEOPLE WHO PAY THE TAXES TO BUY THE LAND IN THE FIRST PLACE. AND PROMISE A SCHOOL. A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THIS WOULD BE ST. JOHN'S ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. AISD SOLD THAT PROPERTY TO HOME DEPOT. IT'S ON SAINT JOHN. IT WAS ON SAINT JOHN BUT IT'S NOT THERE ANYMORE. AS THE NEIGHBORHOOD GREW AND DEVELOPED, THERE WAS A NEED FOR ANOTHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. AISD BOUGHT PROPERTY FROM HOMEOWNERS, TORE THAT PROPERTY DOWN AND BUILT J. J. PICKLE. I THINK THAT THE PROPERTY THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED TO BE FOR SCHOOLS SHOULD BE REMAIN PUBLIC AND SHOULD REMAIN FOR THE CHILDREN. AND NOT FOR COMMERCIAL USE. THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO ME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. GIBBONS. YES, MA'AM.

I'M MARY RICHTER. MY PARENTS HAVE BEEN LIVING AT 1206 EAST 51st WHICH IS RIGHT ACROSS FROM THE HOSPITAL. WE GREW UP THERE. MY MOTHER JUST PASSED AWAY AND I'M ONE OF THE HEIRS TO THE PROPERTY AND WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE REZONING FOR MEDICAL BUILDINGS. IT WOULD BE A GREAT ACCESS TO THE HOSPITAL. AND WE'RE REAL EXCITED ABOUT THE REZONING AND WE HOPE IT GOES THROUGH. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

I'M SANDRA WILL COX, PASTOR OF MA SEE I CAN'T LUTHERAN CHURCH AND WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO TRACT 16 THAT'S A DISPUTED TRACT. IT'S LISTED AS 1200 RIDGE HAVEN AND OUR ADDRESS IS ACTUALLY 5700 CAMERON

ROAD. AND WE HAVE BEEN IN THE PROCESS WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING FROM THE VERY BEGINNING AND HAVE BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. WE WOULD -- WE'RE ASKING THAT THE ZONING BE CHANGED TO THE LO-MU-NP. JUST TO DESCRIBE WHAT WE ARE ALREADY T NEIGHBORS AROUND US WERE GIVEN MISINFORMATION SO I WANTED TO CORRECT THAT. WE'RE NOT IN THE PROCESS OF MOVING. WE'RE INTENDING TO CONTINUE TO BE A VIABLE CHURCH AND MEETING THE NEEDS AND WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE NOR FLEXIBILITY IN OUR FUTURE PLANNING, BUT WE'RE NOT MOVING. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. ANY MORE TESTIMONY OF FOLKS WHO HAVEN'T GIVEN US TESTIMONY ON THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN? AND I GUESS WE HAVE SOME SPECIFIC ZONING TESTIMONY TO GIVE NOW.

WE DO, AND MAY I EXERCISE MY OPTION OF THE ADDITIONAL TIME. AL, COULD YOU COME -- I WANT TO TALK PRIMARILY ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES. YOU WILL NOTE -- YOU HAVE THIS PACKET WITH THIS MAP IN IT THAT SHOWS THE CONTESTED ZONES. JUST NOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS. -- FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS. AND I APOLOGIZE FOR THE QUALITY OF THIS COPY, BUT AL IS GOING TO PUT IT ON THE SCREEN FOR US. IF YOU WILL NOTE, THE HIGHWAY, THE ACCESS ROAD OF 290 TO 183 IS VERY CLOSE TO THE PROPERTY. NOW, THAT'S THE BOY SCOUT AND THE CHURCH. WE ALSO HAVE MAJOR FLOODING AREAS IN THAT AREA. WE DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH RIGHT-OF-WAY THEY HAVE PURCHASED FROM THERE, BUT YOU CAN SEE BY YOUR MAP THAT AS YOU COME AROUND THAT CORNER, THAT CURVE RIGHT THERE WHERE 202 IS, THE SERVICE ROAD WILL RUN RIGHT IN FRONT OF US. IN FACT, WHEN THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT IS BUILT ON THAT MAP THAT YOU CAN SEE THAT AL HAS PUT ON THE PROJECTOR, YOU SEE THERE'S A FLYOVER THERE. AND YOU'LL HAVE TO EXIT ON BURKMAN AVENUE OF A OF 290 AND GET ON THE SERVICE ROAD AND STAY ON THE SERVICE ROAD. IF YOU WANT TO GET ON 183 WITH THE PROPOSED TOLLWAY, YOU WILL HAVE TO GO UP AND USE THE LOOP-DE-LOOP ON THE FLYOVER. WE'RE GOING TO BE AN ISOLATED NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE ONLY ENTRANCE WILL BE FROM THAT AREA. YOU WILL THEN HAVE

THREE OPTIONS FROM ENTERING INTO UNIVERSITY HILLS PROPER. IT WILL BE THROUGH GENEVA DRIVE, LANGESTON AND MANOR ROAD. THIS IS GOING TO CONTINUE TO LOYOLA LANE AND TO 51st STREET. ANY OTHER TRAFFIC WILL BE ON THE TOLLWAY. SO YOU ARE BEGINNING TO LOOK AT THE PROXIMITY OF THAT TOLL ROAD AND THE PROXIMITY OF THE SERVICE ROAD, I HONESTLY DO NOT KNOW IF THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT WILL ALLOW ADDITIONAL ROAD CUTS ON THAT CURVE. I KNOW THAT I FREQUENT TELECO OVER ON THE ACCESS ROAD AND DEPENDING UPON WHICH LANE YOU WANT TO GET INTO EXIT THERE IF IT'S AT 4:00, THREE AND A HALF MINUTES. SO THEY COME WHIZZING BY THERE LIKE YOU WOULDN'T BELIEVE. AND I JUST DON'T KNOW. THAT'S WHY WE HAVE ASKED FOR NEIGHBORHOOD OFFICE ONLY IN THAT. ADDITIONALLY, WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW MUCH RUNOFF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE FROM THE HIGHWAY EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE PUTTING IN MAJOR DRAINS ALONG THAT WAY. BUT THIS WILL -- GO AHEAD. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU THE FLOOD PLAIN MAPS. [BUZZER SOUNDING] WHICH WERE RECEIVED FROM WATERSHED FOR THE CITY OF AUSTIN. IN THE CURRENT MASTER PLAN FOR WATERSHED, THEY HAVE STATED -- NOW, THIS IS NOT THE ONE THEY ARE DOING FOR THE YEAR 2007, THIS IS THE PRIOR ONE -- THAT OUR PORTION OF LITTLE WALNUT CREEK LOSES APPROXIMATELY TWO FEET PER YEAR FOR THE LAST 20 YEARS. PART OF THAT, OF COURSE, IS DUE TO THE LACK OF RETENTION COLUMNS AND THE LARGE AMOUNT OF IMPERVIOUS COVER THAT IS GRANTED ON UPSTREAM FROM OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. LITTLE WALNUT CREEK IS A HUGE WATERSHED AND IT'S VERY RICH AND IT STARTS OUT, AND I THINK YOU ALL KNOW IT, BUT I'LL SAY IT FOR THE PUBLIC, STARTS OFF UP AT MCNEIL ROAD AND MEANDERS ALL THE WAY DOWN. THERE ARE THREE LIVE SPRINGS THAT ARE MONITORED IN THE WALNUT BUSINESS PARKS. I WENT DOWN BEFORE THE RAINS CAME AND DISCOVERED TWO LITTLE SPRINGS UNDER THE BRIDGE AT [INAUDIBLE]. IT'S A VERY RICH WATERSHED. WE NEED TO PROTECT THAT WATERSHED BECAUSE, AS ONE SPEAKER HAS ALREADY SAID, WE ARE VESTED WITH THAT TAYLOR CLAY, WHICH IS A HIGHLY EXPANSIVE CLAY. AND PEOPLE BLAME ALL OF THE FOUNDATION PROBLEMS ON THE CLAY, BUT YOU CAN PACK DOWN A TRIBUTARY, A CREEK, WHATEVER, EVENTUALLY

THAT WATER IS GOING TO RESURFACE. YOU HAVEN'T GOTTEN RID OF THE WATER. LOOK AT SPRINGDALE ROAD. IT'S LIKE A WASHBOARD. AS SOME OF YOU YOUNGER PEOPLE MAY NOT KNOW WHAT A WASHBOARD IS, BUT IT'S THE OLD HAND WAY TO WASH WITH RIDGES IN IT. THAT'S WATER UNDER THERE THAT'S CAUSING THAT TO POP UP. HOW DO I KNOW? BECAUSE WE HAD A SEVERE WATER BREAK ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO AND I WENT UP AND I TALKED TO THE REPAIR MAN. THEY HAD TO PUT PORTABLE TOILETS IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE WE WERE WITHOUT WATER FOR SO LONG. AND HE TOLD ME WHILE WE WERE HAVING IT THAT THERE WAS A BIG UNDERGROUND STREAM THERE AND THEY COULDN'T KEEP THE STREAM WATER DAMMED UP AND KEEP THE CITY WATER DAMMED UP AT THE SAME TIME. [SIRENS] SO I OFFER THAT FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION. WE LIKE OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. OUR NEIGHBORHOOD IS AT A CROSSROADS RIGHT NOW. THEY HAVE ALLUDED TO THE CRIME FACTOR AND I HAVE ALLUDED TO THE DISREPAIR OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE, AND WE ARE VERY CONDITION..... CONSCIOUS OF WHAT WE WANT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND LIKE ONE SPEAKER HAD SAID, IT SHOULD BE UNIVERSITY HILLS OR WINDSOR PARK OR TARRYTOWN'S PLAN NOT THE CITY OF AUSTIN'S PLAN. WE FEEL LIKE WE KNOW WHAT WOULD BEST SERVE OUR NEIGHBORHOOD ON A REZONING ISSUE AND THEREFORE FOR THE ENTIRE BUNCH OF CONTESTED ZONING, WHICH THERE ARE SIX, WE WANT TO SOLICIT YOUR SUPPORT. I WANT TO SPEAK ON TWO OTHER --

Mayor Wynn: YOUR TIME HAS LONG SINCE EXPIRED. WE STILL HAVE SEVERAL HOURS WORTH OF PEOPLE WHO WANT TO GIVE US TESTIMONY.

WHATEVER YOU SAY, MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: WELL, SO IF YOU COULD SPEAK VERY SPECIFICALLY TO VERY SPECIFIC ZONING CASES I COULD ALLOW YOU MORE TESTIMONY. WE'RE GOING TO BE HERE SIX MORE HOURS AND FOLKS WANT TO GIVE US TESTIMONY TONIGHT.

THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED IN 1981. AND THIS IS WHAT THEY PROJECT WILL HAPPEN AGAIN IF WE HAVE A MAJOR FLOOD.

THAT IS RIGHT THERE AT WILLAMETTE. AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE SO CONCERNED WITH THESE BIG PROJECTS THAT WANT ADDITIONAL IMPERVIOUS COVER. NOW I'M GOING TO ELABORATE ON SCHOOL PROPERTY AND I'M GOING TO PACK UP WHILE I'M DOING IT AND I APPRECIATE -- THAT SCHOOL PROPERTY, I EVEN CHECKED BACK WITH WILHELMINA DELCO ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO WHEN THIS CAME UP FOR DISCUSSION BEFORE. IT WAS BOUGHT WITH SCHOOL BOND MONEY. THAT MEANS THAT IF YOU HAVE A PARK THAT YOU DON'T DEVELOP BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, YOU HAVE TO PUT THAT PARK ON A BOND REFERENDUM TO SELL THAT LAND. I MEAN THAT'S THE WAY IT IS. SO IT'S GOING TO BE ZONED P. AND THIS IS WHAT WE REALLY WANT THAT TO BE. IT IS BOUGHT FOR SCHOOL, IT SHOULD STAY FOR SCHOOL. AND THAT'S THE END OF IT. NOW, THE PLANNING COMMISSION, AND IT'S NOT ON THESE MAPS, INSTRUCTED THE PLANNERS TO TAKE THE BOTTOM PART OF THAT OFF AND MAKE IT 220-A. I DON'T EVEN HAVE A MAP OF THAT, BUT I HAVE SEEN ONE. FIRST OF ALL, THE PROPERTY SHOULDN'T BE DIVIDED. I'M NOT LEGALLY SURE THAT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT CAN SELL THAT PROPERTY SINCE IT IS BOUGHT WITH BOND MONEY. NOW THEY ARE HAVING TROUBLE FILLING GUS GARCIA SCHOOL. IF THEY WOULD HAVE PUT IT ON THAT CORNER, THEY WOULDN'T HAVE HAD ANY TROUBLE FILLING IT. SO I ASK YOU PLEASE TO SUPPORT OUR RECOMMENDATION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD UNTIL THIS CAN BE RESOLVED LEGALLY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. WARDEN. ANY OTHER CITIZENS WHO WOULD LIKE TO GIVE US TESTIMONY ON THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PARK -- WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN BEFORE WE START TAKING UP THE MOTION STAGE. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH AND FOR THE FOLKS HERE TO GIVE US TESTIMONY LATER ON THE BUDGET. CAN YOU WALK US THROUGH CERTAINLY THE UNCONTESTED PIECES OF THIS, LET SOME FOLKS GO HOME.

MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS AND CITY MANAGER, I WOULD LIKE THE CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO THE MOTION SHEET THAT'S ON THE -- THE FIRST PAGE OF YOUR PACT NUMBER 1. -- PACKET NUMBER 1. THIS MOTION SHEET IS FOR THE PORTIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY HILLS, WINDSOR PARK PLAN AND THE INDIVIDUAL ZONING DISTRICTS IN WINDSOR

PARK AND UNIVERSITY HILLS THAT ARE UNCONTESTED. SO THE FIRST MOTION -- MOTION NUMBER 1 WOULD BE -- OH, ALSO I WANTED TO BACK UP BEFORE WE DO THAT. I DO WANT TO TELL YOU THAT STAFF IS READY AND IS PREPARED FOR ALL THREE READINGS ON THIS PLAN, SO IF YOU WERE TO FOLLOW THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION ON THESE TRACTS, WE ARE PREPARED FOR ALL THREE READINGS, SO I DID WANT TO BRING THAT TO YOUR ATTENTION. SO FOR MOTION NUMBER 1 WOULD BE TO APPROVE THE UNIVERSITY HILLS, WINDSOR PARK COMBINED NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND A FUTURE LAND USE MAP WITH THE EXCEPTION OF TRACTS 202, 210, 211, 213, 215, 220, 220-A AND 222 IN THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA. AND TRACTS 6, 16, 22, 29, 30, 32 THROUGH 37, 44, 48 THROUGH 50, 54, 55, 57 THROUGH 61, 63, 67, 72, 77, 79, 84, 87, 88, 89, 91 AND 92 IN THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA, AND ALSO THE TEXT THAT IS PROPOSED BY THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION WHICH WERE INCLUDED IN YOUR PACKET AS WELL. THOSE TRACTS LISTED OUT ARE THE CONTESTED TRACTS WHICH WE'LL GO OVER INDIVIDUALLY AFTER WE DO THIS SET OF MOTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS FOR STAFF, COUNCIL? COMMENTS? MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM TO APPROVE MOTION NUMBER 1 AS OUTLINED BY STAFF, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM. PROBABLY INCLUDES I GUESS TECHNICALLY CLOSING THE PUBLIC HEARING. FOR ALL THREE READINGS. COMMENTS? QUESTIONS? THESE ARE THE UNCONTESTED TRACTS. HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON ALL THREE READINGS ON A VOTE OF 5-0 WITH COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AND MARTINEZ OFF THE DAIS.

OKAY, WE'LL MOVE ON TO MOTION NUMBER 2 WHICH WOULD BE FOR THE PROPOSED ROW ZONINGS IN THE UNIVERSITY NEIGHBORHOOD COMBINED DISTRICT. THIS IS AGENDA ITEM 56. THE PROPOSED ACTION ON THIS ITEM WOULD BE APPROVE THE -- PARKING PLACEMENT DESIGN TOOLS AND REZONING OF THE TRACTS AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF. THE ZONING COMMITTEE FOR THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION WITH THE

EXCEPTION OF THE FOLLOWING TRACTS AND THOSE WOULD BE 202, 210, 211, 213, 215, 220, 220-A AND 222.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, COUNCIL? COMMENTS? MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER McCRACKEN, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER COLE TO ON THIS AGENDA ITEM 56, MOTION NUMBER 2 AS OUTLINED BY STAFF, TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE ON ALL THREE READINGS. THOSE BEING THE UNCONTESTED ELEMENTS OF THIS MOTION. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0 WITH COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL OFF THE DAIS.

WE'LL MOVE ON TO MOTION 3, AGENDA ITEM 57. IT WOULD BE FOR THE PROPOSED REZONINGS IN THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD COMBINING DISTRICT. PROPOSED ACTION ON THIS SITE -- ON THIS MOTION WOULD BE TO APPROVE THE SMALL LOT [INAUDIBLE] INFILL OPTION AND PROPOSED REZONINGS IN THE WINDSOR PARK PLANNING AREA AS RECOMMENDED BY PLANNING COMMISSION, STAFF, THE ZONING COMMITTEE FOR THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA AND RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE FOLLOWING TRACTS. THOSE WOULD BE 6, 16, 22, 29, 30, 32 THROUGH 37, 44, 48 THROUGH 50, 54, 55, 57, 61, 63, 67, 72, 77, 79, 84, 87, 88, 89, 91 AND 92. I WOULD LIKE TO BRING YOUR ATTENTION BACK TO I MENTIONED THIS WHEN GOING THROUGH YOUR PACKET TO TRACT 77, ARTHUR SAMPSON'S PROPERTY. HE IS PROPOSING TO -- TRACT 77 IS THE FRONT PORTION OF HIS PARCEL AND IT WAS NOTICED AS A ZONING CHANGE DUE TO PLANNING PROCESS. HE WOULD LIKE A ZONING CHANGE ON THE REAR PORTION OF THE PARCEL WHICH WAS NOT NOTICED AS PART OF THIS HEARING SO WE'RE ASKING THAT YOU TAKE NO ACTION ON THAT TRACT AND THEN WE'LL COME BACK AND RENOTICE IT FOR ZONING CHANGE AT A LATER TIME.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, COUNCIL? COMMENTS ON THESE UNCONTESTED REZONINGS FOR THE WINDSOR PARK NPCD. MOTION NUMBER 3. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER COLE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THESE CASES AND APPROVE THIS MOTION NUMBER 3 AS

OUTLINED BY STAFF, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM.
ON ALL THREE READINGS. FURTHER COMMENTS?
COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

[INAUDIBLE]

Mayor Wynn: YES. FURTHER COMMENTS? MOTION AND
SECOND ON THE TABLE --

MAYOR, CAN I MAKE A CORRECTION REAL QUICK.

Mayor Wynn: PLEASE DO.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT ON THE MOTION IT
SHOULD BE TRACTS 57 THROUGH 61, WHICH IS WHAT IT
SAYS UP ON THAT FIRST MOTION WHERE WE LIST THE
TRACTS IN WINDSOR PARK. THE DASH GOT CUT OFF ON THE
-- ON YOUR CHART. I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR IT'S 57
THROUGH 61.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. A MOTION AND
SECOND ON THE TABLE. THIS IS MOTION NUMBER 3 AS
OUTLINED BY STAFF, ALL THREE READINGS. FURTHER
COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE
SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

YOU'LL NOTICE THAT THE NEXT PAGE IN THIS MOTION SHEET
ARE THE MOTIONS FOR THE PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENTS
AND STAFF WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE HOLD OFF ON THOSE
MOTIONS UNTIL WE GO THROUGH THE ZONING CHANGES IN
UNIVERSITY HILLS AND WINDSOR PARK.

Mayor Wynn: ALL RIGHT.

OKAY. WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN THE
UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINE ISING
DISTRICT. JUST TO MAKE SURE YEAR ALL LOOKING AT THE
SAME THING, YOU WILL BE LOOKING AT YOUR UNIVERSITY
HILLS MAP OF THE TRACTS PROPOSED FOR REZONING
WHICH ALSO HIGHLIGHTS THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN
PURPLE. AND THEN YOUR MOTION SHEET FOR THE
UNIVERSITY HILLS CONTESTED TRACTS. AND ALSO WHEN I
GO THROUGH THESE CONTESTED TRACTS, WHEN YOU MAKE

A MOTION, YOU WILL BE MAKING A MOTION ON BOTH THE LAND USE AND THE ZONING ON THE SITES. OKAY, I'LL START OFF WITH TRACT 202. IT'S LOCATED AT 7540 AND 7650 ED BLUE..... BLUESTEIN BOULEVARD. CURRENT USE IS CIVIC AND THE ZONING IS SF 2. IT'S OCCUPIED BY A CHURCH CURRENTLY. THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WAS CIVICS WITH A ZONING OF LO-MU-NP. STAFF AND ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WAS CIVIC WITH A ZONING RECOMMENDATION OF LOMMT NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDATION WAS CIVIC AND THEIR PROPOSED ZONING WAS NEIGHBORHOOD OFFICE WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY SO IT WOULD BE NO-CO MP WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FATS AND THEY'VE ALREADY EXPRESS TO DO STAFF THEY WOULD BE SUPPORTED I HAVE OF LOCOMP WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES AND [INAUDIBLE].

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, COUNCIL, COMMENTS? IS THIS FOR TRACT 13?

NO, THIS IS TRACT 202. WHICH IS LOCATED ON YOUR MAP, IT'S AT THE -- KIND OF AT THE CORNER OF -- EXCUSE ME OF ED BLUESTEIN AND HIGHWAY 290.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION ON THE TABLE TO APPROVAL PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON TRACT 202. 7540, 7650 ED BLUESTEIN. A HEARD A SECOND. COUNCILMEMBER KIM SECONDED. FURTHER COMMENTS? THAT'S FOR ALL THREE READINGS, COUNCILMEMBER? FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE MOTION? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

Martinez: THAT TURNER STREET DOESN'T ACTUALLY EXIST, AND IT NEVER HAS. I DON'T KNOW WHY, BUT IT JUST DOESN'T. IT'S A VACANT LOT BEHIND AN H.E.B. RIGHT THERE. AND SO I JUST WANT US TO KEEP THAT IN MIND THAT WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS A PARCEL OF LAND THAT SIMPLY FRONTS MANOR ROAD AND IS NOT BORDERED BY ANOTHER STREET NEXT TO IT. SO -- SINCE IT'S ON MANOR ROAD AND SINCE WE HAVE SOMETHING THAT I HAVE MET WITH THEM ABOUT THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, I WILL BE IN FAVOR

OF SUPPORTING THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

Mayor Wynn: SO MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ,
SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM ON TRACT 210.

Martinez: CAN YOU REMIND ME WHAT THE COS.

THE CONDITIONAL OVERLAY ON THAT SITE WOULD BE FOR --
IT WOULD BE JUST FOR A SERVICE STATION.

Mayor Wynn: AGAIN, MOTION AND A SECOND SUPPORTING
STAFF ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS OF LR-MU-
CO-NP. AND THAT WOULD BE IN LINE WITH THE PETITIONER'S
PE PETITION, SO A SIMPLE MAJORITY COULD CARRY THIS ON
FIRST READING, FIVE VOTES ON ALL THREE READING.

I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

MAYOR AND COUNCIL, MARTHA TERRY, ASSISTANT CITY
ATTORNEY. I BELIEVE MR. KNUCKLES HAS A COPY OF THE
VALID PETITION AND I WANTED TO DEFER TO HIM AND LET
HIM EXPLAIN WHAT THE VALID PETITION GOES TO BECAUSE I
THINK THERE'S A LITTLE BIT AFTER CATCH HERE AND I THINK
IT MAY REQUIRE SIX VOTES, BUT I WILL DEFER TO HIM TO
EXPLAIN THAT.

Mayor Wynn: FAIR ENOUGH.

THE VALID PETITION OBJECTS TO ANY ZONING OTHER THAN
LR-MU-NP, SO IT WILL TAKE SIX VOTES FOR YOU TO ADD THE
CO TO THAT.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? THE MAKER OF THE
MOTION WAS AWARE OF THAT. MOTION AND A SECOND ON
THE TABLE, ALL THREE READINGS, TRACT 210, LR-MU-CO-NP.

Kim: CAN I JUMP IN? --

THE ORDINANCES THAT CAN BE SUBMITTED AS PART OF
YOUR BACKUP REFLECT THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S
RENGS, SO IN THIS CASE THIS ONE MAY ONLY GO FOR FIRST

READING BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE AN ORDINANCE THAT REFLECTS A ZONING CHANGE OF LR-MU-CO-NP. SO THAT'S THE CONFUSION ON THAT.

Mayor Wynn: FRANKLY ORGANIZATION THESE CONTESTED ONES, FIRST READING MAKES MORE SENSE ANYWAY. SO WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE, FIRST READING ONLY. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON FIRST READING ONLY ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

OKAY. NEXT WE'LL MOVE TO --

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM.

Dunkerley: COULD I ASK ONE CLARIFICATION? ON THE COLUMN YOU HAVE YOUR PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, THE NEXT COLUMN SAYS STAFF AND ZONING RECOMMENDATION. ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT ZONING AND PLATTING?

NO, I SHOULD HAVE CLARIFIED THAT PROCESS EARLIER TO YOU ALL. WHAT THE ZONING COMMITTEE REFERS TO, THE WAY THE ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS WERE MADE THROUGH THIS PLANNING PROCESS WAS THAT AT THE LAND USE WORKSHOPS, STAFF MADE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND SAID, OKAY, WE'RE DOING THESE LAND USE -- WE'VE BEEN DOING THESE LAND USE CHANGES AND AT SOME POINT WE'LL START LOOKING AT THE PROPOSED ZONING CHANGES THAT WOULD GO WITH -- THAT WOULD IMPLEMENT THE LAND USE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE PLAN. THEY INVITED NEIGHBORHOOD RESIDENTS THAT HAD BEEN PARTICIPATING -- ANYBODY BASICALLY TO WORK WITH STAFF ON DEVELOPING THOSE ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS. DUNK SO WHEN WE APPROVE THE STAFF AND ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS, WE'RE APPROVING THE PLAN THAT THE NEIGHBORHOODS WORKED ON, IS THAT IT?

THAT'S CORRECT. THEY WORKED WITH STAFF TO MAKE THE ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS. MANY OF THE ZONING COMMITTEE MEMBERS FROM UNIVERSITY HILLS ARE ALSO

ON THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION.

Dunkerley: SO THEY MAY BE ON BOTH OF THEM. OKAY.

OKAY. I'LL MOVE ON TO TRACT 211. THIS IS ADJACENT TO TRACT 210. AND I WOULD ALSO WITH THIS -- I WOULD LIKE TO GO A LITTLE BIT OUT OF ORDER FROM THE ORDER ON YOUR CHART RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE HAVE -- IT'S NOT A PETITION, BUT WE DO HAVE A LETTER FROM A PROPERTY OWNER ON TRACTS 211 AND 222. SO I'LL GO OVER EACH OF THOSE TOGETHER. TRACT 211 IS FOR 10.369-ACRE TRACT. THIS IS ALSO AN UNADDRESSED PARCEL OF ABS... AND LOT 1 B OF THE BLUFFS AT UNIVERSITY HILLS RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 1, BLOCK B. THE CURRENT USE ON THIS SITE IS VACANT. THE ZONING DISTRICT IS SF-3. ON THE 10.369-ACRE PORTION OF THE SITE AND N.O.-C.O., NEIGHBORHOOD OFFICE WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY ON LOT 1-B. THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RELIGIOUS FOR LAND USE WOULD BE HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. THE ZONING -- WITH A ZONING RELIGIOUS OF SF-6-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LAND USE AND THE ZONING OF... UNIVERSITY HILLS RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE WITH LAND USE AND A ZONING OF NO-CO-NP WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR CLASS 2 GROUP HOMES. WE DO HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER OF THE -- HE OWNS THE UPPER PORTION OF TWO PARCELLS ON THIS ONE TRACT, SO THIS IS A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER OF THE 10.369-ACRE PORTION OF THIS ONE TRACT. AND THEY WOULD PREFER A LAND USE DESIGNATION OF COMMERCIAL AND A ZONING DISTRICT OF GR-NP. I'M GOING TO JUMP TO TRACT 222. AND YOU DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO MAKE A MOTION ON EACH OF THESE TOGETHER, BUT I WANTED TO SHOW THEM TO YOU TOGETHER. THEY ARE ADJACENT AND OWNED BY AT LEAST THE TWO ADJACENT UPPER PORTIONS OF THE PARCEL ARE OWNED BY THE SAME PROPERTY OWNER.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

SO TRACT 222 IS AN APPROXIMATELY 600-FOOT BY 600-FOOT PORTION OF THE 10.369-ACRE TRACT OF ABS 22 SUR

TANNER HILL JC. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS VACANT. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RELIGIOUS IS COMMERCIAL AND THE ZONING DISTRICT WOULD BE LR-CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RELIGIOUS IS RECOMMENDATION. THE UNIVERSITY HILLS RELIGIOUS.....RECOMMENDATION IS COMMERCIAL. AND THE PROPERTY OWNER'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE COMMERCIAL WITH THE ZONING DISTRICT OF GR-NP. AND YOU ALSO HAVE A LETTER FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER ON THESE SITES AS WELL.

Mayor Wynn: SO ON 222, RELATIVE CONSENSUS AMONG THE PLANNING COMMISSION, ZONING COMMITTEE, STAFF AND THE UHNA.

THAT'S CORRECT. IT WAS NOT A CONTESTED TRACT UNTIL I HEARD FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER. SO I BROUGHT IT FORWARD FOR THAT REASON.

Mayor Wynn: THEY'RE JUST CONTESTING THE LEVEL OF INTENSITY OF COMERNL.

THAT'S CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS OF STAFF? COMMENTS?

IS THIS THE LETTER YOU'RE RFERG TO FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER?

I BELIEVE IT'S SIGNED BY DAVID HUFF.

I CAN'T MAKE THE NAMES OUT.

THAT IS THE LETTER, I RECOGNIZE THE WAY THE SIGNATURE'S FORMED.

THIS LETTER READS EXACTLY LIKE THE LAST LETTER THAT WE'RE REGARDING AS A VALID PETITION, SO I THINK THIS ONE IS A VALID PETITION ALSO.

MY UNDERSTANDING IS IT WAS NOT CONSIDERED A VALID PETITION BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT ASKING FOR A -- THEY'RE NOT PROTESTING A DOWN ZONE. THE PORTION OF THE

LETTER IS INCORRECT. IT'S ZONED N.O. --

WE DO HERE BY PROTEST AGAINST ANY CHANGE THAT WOULD ZONE THE PROPERTY TO ANY CLFKS OTHER THAN GR.

Mayor Wynn: SOUNDS LIKE A PROTEST TO ME. [LAUGHTER]
COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: ADRIAN, ON THIS TRACT OF LAND, YOU MENTIONED THAT THERE'S A PORTION OF IT THAT'S SHARED OR THAT'S OWNED BY THE SAME PERSON. IS THAT DUE TO A LAND LOCK ISSUE? IF WE ZONE 211 DIFFERENTLY FROM 212, DOES 211 BECOME LAND LOCKED AT THAT POINT?

NO. ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD BE PROPOSED ON THAT SITE WOULD TAKE ACCESS FROM TURNER LANE AND THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO IMPROVE TURNER LANE AS PART OF THAT DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED ON THE SITE. THE REASON WHY A PORTION OF THE SITE OWNED BY THE SAME PEOPLE IS PROPOSED TO BE ZONED TWO DIFFERENT WAYS IS BECAUSE THERE'S A FORMER LANDFILL ON TRACT 222, AND THERE WAS SOME CONCERN ABOUT PERMITTING RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OVER THE LANDFILL. THAT ACTUALLY IS PERMITTED THROUGH THE CITY'S CODE THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OVER LANDFILL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, BUT THERE WAS A LOT OF CONCERN ABOUT THAT, SO THAT'S WHY IT'S ONLY A COMMERCIAL ZONING DESIGNATION ON THAT PORTION. AND THE PORTION OF THE TRACT THAT'S OUTSIDE THAT'S NOT LOCATED ON THE FORMER LANDFILL SITE WAS PROPOSED TO BE ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT.

Martinez: SO WHAT PORTION OF THESE TWO TRACTS DID YOU SAY WAS OWNED BY THE SAME PERSON? YOU SAID THAT THERE WAS -- WHERE IT CROSSES OVER BOTH PARCELS.

IT'S FWEFT..... BEST IF I SHOW IT TO YOU ON THE MAP.

TRACT 222 IS OWNED BY THE PERSON THAT YOU HAD THE LETTER FROM AND THE UPPER PORTION OF TRACT 211 IS ALSO OWNED BY THAT -- UNDER THAT SAME OWNERSHIP.

McCracken: I'LL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON BOTH ITEMS, 211 AND 222.

Dunkerley: SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: ALL RIGHT. SO MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER COLE ON COMBINED MOTION -- THE PROBLEM IS WE HAVE TO TAKE THESE SEPARATELY, I'LL BET. I THINK WE NEED TO TAKE THEM SEPARATELY TO WALK THROUGH THIS PROPERLY. THE STAFF, ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ON TRACT 211, THE HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DESIGNATION, SF-6-NP ZONING. AND WHICH ALSO HAPPENED TO HAVE BEEN THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, SO DOES THAT IMPLY THAT STAFF WOULD BE READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS?

YES IT, DOES.

Mayor Wynn: THE ORDINANCES ARE ALL BASED ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION RELIGIOUS?

.....RECOMMENDATION.

YES.

MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE, ALL THREE READINGS, TRACT 211, PLANNING COMMISSION RELIGIOUS. PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION. HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

McCracken: I HAVE A MOTION ON 222 TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, WHICH IS THE SAME AS THE STAFF ZONING COMU RECOMMENDATION AND THE UNIVERSITY HILL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDATION.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM ON TRACT 222 TO APPROVE ON ALL THREE READINGS PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, WHICH HAPPENS TO BE EVERYBODY'S BUT THE OWNER. THAT BEING COMMERCIAL DESIGNATION

AND ZONING DISTRICT OF LR-CO-NP. ALL THREE READINGS.
FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: DID YOU SAY WHAT THE CO WAS?

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL
THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION
PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

WE'LL MOVE ON TO TRACT 213. WE'LL BE LOOKING AT TRACT
213 LOCATED AT 4720 LOYOLA LANE. IT'S CURRENTLY A
VACANT SITE THAT'S ZONED GR. PLANNING COMMISSION'S
RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR A COMMERCIAL LAND USE
DESIGNATION WITH A ZONING DISTRICT OF GR-CO-NP WITH A
CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR CLASS 2 GROUP HOMES AND
AUTOMOBILE ORIENTED USES. THOSE USES ARE LISTED
SPECIFICALLY IN YOUR ORDINANCE, BUT IN GENERAL THEY
CONSIST OF THING LIKE SERVICE STATION, CAR REPAIR
SHOPS, AUTO SALE, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. STAFF AND
THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RELIGIOUS..... RECOMMENDS
IS LAND USE IS MIXED USE. UNIVERSITY HILLS
NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDATION FOR
LAND USE WOULD BE FOR COMMERCIAL WITH A ZONING
DISTRICT OF GR-CO-NP WITH A CO FOR CLASS TWO GROUP
HOMES. SO YOU WILL SCENIC PLK AND THE UNIVERSITY
HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDATIONS
AGREE AND THE DIFFERENT RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF
AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE.

> THE DIFFERENCE FROM THE RECOMMENDATIONS IS THE
MU IN TERMS OF ALLOWING RESIDENTIAL UNITS, BUT IT
DOESN'T REQUIRE RESIDENTIAL UNITS, IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT'S CORRECT.

Kim: SO IT'S ENTIRELY COMMERCIAL?

CORRECT.

Kim: I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION FOR THE STAFF
RECOMMENDATION OF GR-MU-CO-NP.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM, SECONDED

BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN ON TRACT 213.

Kim: DOES THAT NEED TO BE ON FIRST READING?

YES, IT WOULD BE BECAUSE THE ORDINANCE SHOWS GR-CO-NP.

Mayor Wynn: FIRST READING ONLY. MIXED USE LAND USE DESIGNATION, GR-MU-CO-NP. THE STAFF AND ZONING COMMITTEE RELIGIOUS.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL, I'M ADVISED BY MARTHA TERRY THAT IF IT'S JUST A PLAIN MU THAT THAT COULD BE CLEAR ENOUGH DIRECTION TO STAFF TO ADD TO THE ORDINANCE. THAT WE COULD DO SOMETHING IF WE'RE JUST ADDING AN MU ON ALL THREE READINGS THIS EVENING. HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

OKAY. ON THE NEXT PAGE IS TRACT 215 LOCATED AT 4701 LOYOLA LANE. IT'S CURRENTLY A VACANT SITE ZONED GR. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR MIXED USE AND A ZONING DISTRICT OF GR-MU-CO-NP. STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH THE --. THE UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR A COMMERCIAL LAND USE WITH A ZONING OF GR-NP. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM ON TRACT 215 TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION OF MIXED USE DESIGNATION, GR-MU-CO, NP.

SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN. ARE YOU READY FOR -- STAFF IS READY FOR THREE READINGS BECAUSE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION?

CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: THE PROPERTY THAT'S LOOLTING AT THE MAP --

THAT'S LOOKING AT THE MAP DIRECTLY SOUTH OF THIS TRACT, IS THAT THE LIBRARY?

CORRECT. THAT'S THE UNIVERSITY HILLS LIBRARY.

Martinez: THAT WAS THE REASONING WHY THE NEIGHBORHOOD WANTED TO NOT UPZONE THIS?

IT'S CURRENTLY A VAIBT SITE AND IT IS ON THE LIST OF POTENTIAL PARKLAND ACQUISITION SITES IDENTIFIED IN THE PLAN, SO 13 CONCERNED ABOUT ADDING THAT MIXED USE DESIGNATION AND CHANGING THE ZONING ON THE SITE THAT'S ON THAT LIST.

Mayor Wynn: IT INCREASES THE ATTRACTIVENESS FOR DEVELOPMENT IN THEORY, SO IT GETS DEVELOPED BEFORE IT CAN BE ACQUIRED, IF IT'S GOING TO BE ACQUIRED, AND THEREFORE IT MIGHT BE ARGUED THAT IT INCREASES THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY. MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE. PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION FOR TRACT 215, ALL THREE READINGS. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SIX TO ONE WITH COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ VOTING NO.

NEXT WE'LL MOVE ON TO TRACT 220 AND I'D LIKE TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO TRACT 220-A. THIS IS ONE PARCEL THAT'S BEEN -- WHERE IT'S BEEN USING OUR TERMINOLOGY, SPLIT ZONED. THERE'S TWO ZONING DISTRICTS PROPOSED ON ONE SITE. THIS IS THE SITE OWNED BY -- CURRENTLY OWNED BY AISD THAT YOU HEARD SEVERAL SPEAKERS TALK ABOUT DURING THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. IT'S TRACT 220, SO I'LL START OFF WITH THAT. IT'S LOCATED AT 5301 LOYOLA LANE. IT'S CURRENTLY A VACANT SITE ZONED SF-3 AND LI. PLANNING COMMISSION DID NOT TAKE ACTION ON THIS PARCEL. THEY GAVE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO LOOK AT A ZONING RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ENTIRE SITE WAS NOT SINGLE-FAMILY. IT'S CURRENTLY ZONED SF-3 AND THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT HAVING SINGLE-FAMILY ADJACENT TO HIGHWAY 183 SO THEY DIRECTED STAFF TO ZONE A PORTION OF THAT AS COMMERCIAL, SO THAT BECAME TRACT 220 A. JUST TO CLARIFY, THE ONLY CHANGE THAT'S BEING PROPOSED ON THIS SITE WOULD BE TO BRING

THE SECTION OF IT THAT'S ZONED LIMITED INDUSTRIAL TO SF-2 AND THEN TO ADD THE RESIDENTIAL INFILL OPTION. THE SF-3 ZONING WOULD REMAIN THE SAME. IT'S STAFF'S UNDERSTANDING THAT AISD IS PROPOSING TO SELL THE SITE, WHICH IS WHY THE ZONING RECOMMENDATION ON STAFF'S END IS REMAINING AS SF-3. PAIR MAYOR QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? MAYOR PRO TEM?

Dunkerley: I WANT TO UNDERSTAND YOU BROKE 220-A OFF IN RESPONSE TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION.

THAT'S CORRECT.

SO REALLY NOW 220 AND 220-A TOGETHER WOULD IN ESSENCE PROBABLY MEET THEIR RECOMMENDATION AS WELL. EXCEPT THEY DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT.

RIGHT. BE.

Dunkerley: I WOULD MOVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON 220 AND 220 A.

IF YOU WOULD LIKE, I CAN READ IT INTO THE RECORD IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION ON BOATS OF THEM TOGETHER.

Mayor Wynn: SURE.

IT'S CURRENTLY A VACANT SITE ZONED LF-3. AS I MENTIONED PLANNING COMMISSION DID NOT -- THIS IS WHAT

Mayor Wynn: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON A COMBINED TRACT 220 AND 220-A FOR STAFF AND ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION. I TRUST THERE'S NOT AN ORDINANCE READY.

THE ORDINANCE SHOWS TRACT 220 AS BEING ZONED FOR SF-3 FOR SINGLE AND 220-A AS COMMERCIAL.

Mayor Wynn: ON SEVERAL OF THESE CONTESTED TRACTS WE'VE HAD COMMENT OR WE UNDERSTAND THE POSITION

OF THE OWNER. I'M HESITANT HERE WITH AISD BEING THE OWNER AND THERE'S NO NOTE SHOWN AS TO WHAT THEIR ATTITUDE MIGHT BE.

Martinez: MAYOR AND COUNCIL, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE DEFER ACTION ON 220 AND 220 A. STAFF WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY WHETHER THIS WAS AN ACTUAL RECOMMENDATION BY THE COMMISSION OR A SUGGESTION BY THE COMMISSION. I CAN'T CONFIRM THAT THEY ACTUALLY MADE A RECOMMENDATION TO DO THIS AS A DIRECTION OR THEY JUST TOOK NO ACTION AND SAID STAFF, GO LOOK AT THIS. SO I WOULD SUGGEST TO COUNCIL THAT WE DEFER ACTION ON 220 AND 220 A AND BRING THIS BACK IN TWO WEEKS AT YOUR NEXT MEETING. IN THE MEANTIME WE CAN ALSO CONTACT AISD IF THERE'S A QUESTION ABOUT MOVING FORWARD ON THIS AS WELL, BUT BECAUSE I CAN'T CLARIFY THAT THERE'S ACTUAL RECOMMENDATION BY THE COMMISSION ON THIS, AND THERE'S A SUGGESTION BY THEM, I WOULD REQUEST THAT WE POSTPONE 220 AND 220 A AT THIS TIME.

MAYOR, I HAVE A QUESTION.

Dunkerley: I WOULD WITHDRAW MY REPRESENTATION.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: CAN YOU TELL US IF YOU KNOW IF AISD HAS THIS PROPERTY ON THE MARKET?

TO MY UNDERSTANDING IT'S CURRENTLY FOR SALE. WE'VE SPOKEN WITH THEIR PLANNING OFFICE AND CURRENTLY ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA THAT THEY USE FOR DETERMINING WHERE A SCHOOL SHOULD BE LOCATED THRKS SITE NO LONGER MEETS THAT CRITERIA AND THEY MADE A DECISION TO PUT IT UP FOR SALE IN 2004.

Dunkerley: I'LL WITHDRAW MY MOTION.

Leffingwell: JUST FOR MY INFORMATION, AREN'T WE LEGALLY REQUIRED TO HAVE A PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION?

NO. [LAUGHTER]

YES. [LAUGHTER]

Leffingwell: THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN SIMPLER.

DETAILS, DETAIL.

Mayor Wynn: ACTUALLY, WHAT WE'RE LEGALLY REQUIRED TO DO IS ENTERTAIN A MOTION NOW TO AMEND COUNCIL RULES TO GO PAST 10:00 P.M. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO AMEND COUNCIL RULES TO GO PAST 10:00 P.M. ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? [LAUGHTER] MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

.. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL BROUGHT UP A GOOD QUESTION F WE'RE GOING TO POSTPONE THIS FOR TWO WEEKS, ONLY TWO WEEKS, WHICH WAS I THINK MR. GUERNSEY'S SUGGESTION, DOES THAT GIVE PLANNING COMMISSION TIME TO MAKE A FORM AT RECOMMENDATION? A FORMAL RECOMMENDATION?

THIS WOULD BE SIMPLY TO FIND OUT IF THEY MADE A RECOMMENDATION OR IT WAS JUST A SUGGESTION. IF IT IS JUST A SUGGESTION AND NOT A RECOMMENDATION, THEN WE WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT BE POSTPONED AGAIN AT THAT TIME. AND THEN WE WOULD ALLOW FOR THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO BRING THIS BACK AND LOOK AT IT. THAT WOULD ALLOW US TIME TO CONFIRM THAT. AND IF THEY DID MAKE A REPRESENTATION, THEN YOU COULD ACT ON IT IN TWO WEEK.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL TO POSTPONE ACTION ON TRACT 220 AND 220 A TO AUGUST 23rd, 2007. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION TO POSTPONE PASSES ON A

VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

OKAY. THAT CONCLUDES ALL OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN UNIVERSITY HILLS. OKAY. SO NEXT WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA.

Mayor Wynn: BEFORE WE GET STARTED, SORRY, I SHOULD HAVE MADE THIS COMMENT EARLIER. THERE ARE FOLKS, I BELIEVE, WHO ARE STILL WAITING TO GIVE US TESTIMONY ON OUR PUBLIC HEARING THAT WE'VE YET TO CONDUCT ON OUR PROPOSED 2007-'08 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET. WE BREAK OUR -- WE BREAK OUR BUDGET PRESENTATIONS AND THE POTENTIAL PUBLIC HEARINGS INTO AS MANY COUNCIL MEETINGS AS WE HAVE DURING THE MONTH OF AUGUST, SO WE HAVE POSTED THREE STAFF BRIEFINGS AND WE BRACKET THOSE BY DEPARTMENTS. AND THEN AT 6:00 P.M. EACH NIGHT -- TONIGHT THE 23rd AND THE 30TH OF AUGUST WE TAKE CITIZEN TESTIMONY AS TO OUR PROPOSED BUDGET. FOLKS ARE NOT LIMIT UNDERSTAND THEIR TESTIMONY TO ONLY GIVE US TESTIMONY ABOUT THE CITY DEPARTMENTS WHOSE PRESENTATIONS WERE MADE THAT DAY. SINCE WE HAPPEN TO HAVE OUR PARKS DEPARTMENT, OUR COMMUNITY DEPARTMENTS HAD THEIR PRESENTATION TODAY, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF FOLK WHO HAVE BEEN WAITING TO GIVE US TESTIMONY AND WILL STILL HAVE TO WAIT QUITE AWHILE. I'LL JUST LET THEM KNOW THAT THEY ARE WELCOME TO COME TO ANY OF THE NEXT TWO CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS, THE 23rd OR THE 30TH AND GIVE US FEEDBACK TESTIMONY ON ANY PART OF THE CITY BUDGET THAT THEY WOULD LIKE. SO YOU DON'T HAVE TO WAIT ALL NIGHT TONIGHT IN ORDER TO GIVE US SPECIFIC TESTIMONY SAY ABOUT OUR PARKS DEPARTMENT OR ABOUT OUR LIBRARY DEPARTMENT OR OUR HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. SO PLEASE KNOW THAT AND I APOLOGIZE FOR NOT GIVING YOU THAT HEAD'S UP EARLIER. SO IF YOU FEEL THE NEED TO, GO HOME AND GET SOME REST AND COME BACK EITHER OF THE NEXT TWO CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS AND YOU'LL HAVE HOPEFULLY THE ABILITY TO GIVE THAT TESTIMONY. SO WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN.

OKAY. I'LL CALL YOUR ATTENTION AGAIN TO SEVERAL

DOCUMENTS IN YOUR PACKET. YOU'LL BE LOOKING AT THE MAP OF CONTESTED TRACTS THAT'S UP ON THE SCREEN RIGHT NOW. AND THE TRACTS THAT ARE CONTESTED ARE HIGHLIGHTED IN SEVERAL DIFFERENT COLORS AND I'LL EXPLAIN THOSE COLORS RIGHT NOW. YOU'LL ALSO BE LOOKING AT THIS OTHER LIST OF TRACTS WHICH BREAKS UP THE CONTESTED TRACTS INTO GROUPS. WE'RE GOING TO DO THIS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY THAN IN UNIVERSITY HILLS DUE TO THE NUMBER BE OF CONTESTED TRACTS IN WINDSOR PARK. THE CONTESTED TRACTS HAVE BEEN BROKEN UP INTO THREE CATEGORIES ACCORDING TO THE TYPES OF ZONING CHANGES THAT ARE PROPOSED AND THE TYPES OF ZONING CHANGES THAT -- THE TYPE OF ZONING CHANGES THAT ARE PROPOSED IN THE ORIGINAL PLAN RECOMMENDATION, WHICH WOULD BE THE STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE REPRESENTATION, AND THE PROPOSED CHANGE OF THE RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK ORGANIZATION. SO SO HOPEFULLY THAT WILL MAKE IT A LITTLE EASIER TO GO THROUGH THE TRACTS BECAUSE YOU WILL SUBSTANTIATE TO SIGH PATTERNS EMECIALG OF THE TYPES OF CHANGE THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED ON THESE SITES.

Mayor Wynn: I'M SORRY, I JUST SAID THIS. SO THE COLUMN THAT HAS STAFF ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION, YOU WOULD CHARACTERIZE THAT AS THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AS WELL AS OPPOSED TO THE NEXT COLUMN, WHICH IS THE RG 4 WP.

RIGHT. AND JUST TO CLARIFY, SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF THE ZONING COMMITTEE FROM WINDSOR PARK WERE MEMBERS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, BUT THEY -- WHEN THEY WERE ON THE ZONING COMMITTEE THEY WEREN'T REPRESENTING THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, THEY WITH JUST WERE ALSO MEMBERS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. OKAY. SO WE'LL START OFF WITH GROUP ONE. THERE ARE THREE GROUPS. GROUP ONE IS THE LARGEST NUMBER BE OF TRACTS AND YOU'LL START TO SEE THIS AS I READ OUT THE DIFFERENT ZONING CHANGES, BUT IN GENERAL THE ZONING CHANGES PROPOSED WITH THE TRACTS IN GROUP ONE, THE OCIALGHT ZONING RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO UP

ZONE AN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICT, A RESPONSIBLE GROWTH RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO IN GENERAL MAINTAIN THE RESIDENTIAL ZONING ON THAT SITE OR TO DOWN ZONE TO A RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICT. AND THIS WOULD BE IN THE CASE OF A RESIDENTIAL USE ON A COMMERCIAL ZONED SITE. SO IF YOU HAD A HOUSE ON A COMMERCIAL ZONED SITE, THEIR RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO MATCH THE ZONING WITH THE LAND USE AND ZONE THAT HOUSE SF-3, FOR EXAMPLE. OKAY. SO WE'LL START OFF WITH TRACTS -- YOU'LL SEE BECAUSE WE'RE TRACK BREAKING THEM UP INTO CATEGORIES WE'RE GOING OUT OF ORDER NUMBER IN THE TRACT, BUT YOU'LL BE LOOKING AT YOUR INDIVIDUAL PACKETS OF -- THE PACKET I'M LOOKING AT IS THIS CHART WITH THE BLUE FIRST COLUMN. I'LL START OFF WITH CHAKT FRAKT 16. THAT'S LOCATED AT 1200 RIDGE HAVEN DRIVE, 5701 CAMERON ROAD. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS CIVIC. IT'S A CHURCH. WE HEARD FROM A SPEAKER ON THIS SITE. THE ZONING DISTRICT ON THE SITE IS SF-3. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR A CIVIC LAND USE. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S ROADS.....COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR A CIVIC LAND USE. THE RESPONSIBLE GROWTH'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR A CIVIC LAND USE AND A ZONING OF SF-3-NP AND THERE IS A VALID PE... PETITION ON THIS SITE.

Mayor Wynn: THAT'S THE OWNER?

MY THE NEIGHBOR TO NOT CHANGE THE ZONING ON THE SITE. ALSO, I WILL LIKE TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION THAT WHEN WE DID THIS AT PLANNING COMMISSION I WENT THROUGH ALL OF THE TRACTS -- I WENT THROUGH ALL OF THE TRACTS IN THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND MADE A MOTION ON ALL OF THEM AT THE SAME TIME. WE CAN DO THAT THAT WAY OR WE CAN GO THROUGH THEM INDIVIDUALLY. I THINK STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND THAT YOU GO THROUGH THEM AS A WHOLE AND MAKE A MOTION ON THEM AS A WHOLE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE ONES WITH THE VALID PETITION BECAUSE YOU WILL SEE THAT THE PATTERN OF CHANGES COME OUT AS I GO THROUGH EACH ONE OF THEM TOGETHER. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

McCracken: SO WILL THE PATTERN BE THAT WE WOULD SAY PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION -- THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION RLGHTD THE STAFF REPRESENTATION THE SAME IN ALL OF THEM?

I BELIEVE SO. AND... OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO MOVE ON TO TRACT 29, WHICH IS LOCATED AT 1207 EAST 52nd STREET. THE CURRENT USE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND THE ZONING IS SF-3. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR MULTI-FAMILY LAND USE AND A ZONING OF MF-2-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MULTI-FAMILY LAND USE AND THE ZONING OF MF-IT-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY LAND USE AND A ZONING OF SF-3-NP. SO YOU CAN SCENIC THE CURRENT ZONING IS SF-3 AND RESPONSIBLE GROWTH WANTS TO MAINTAIN THAT CURRENT ZONING WHEREAS PLANNING COMMISSION AND STAFF ARE RECOMMENDING A ZONING OF MULTI-FAMILY. TRACT 30 IS LOCATED AT 1306 TO 1312 EAST 57 STREET. THE CURRENT USE ON THE.... THE SITE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL IS A ZONING DISTRICT OF SF-3. PLANNING COMMISSION IS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH THE ZONING OF SF-6-CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH THE ZONING OF SF-6-CO-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LAND USE AND A ZONING OF SF-3-NP. JUST TO REFERENCE, THE CONDITIONAL OVERLAY ON THAT SITE FWOB A SETBACK OF 100 FEET FROM THE CENTER LINE OF TANNER HILL CREEK AND IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION EARLIER THAT'S ONE OTHER MECHANISM OF GETTING STARTED ON DEVELOPING THAT GREENBELT. TRACT 33 IS LOCATED AT 5106 TO 51... 5114 LANCASTER COURT. THE EVEN NUMBERS WITHIN THOSE ADDRESSES. THE CURRENT USE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND THE ZONING IS SF-3-NP. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE WITH A ZONING OF NO-NU-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR AN OFFICE MIXED USE LAND USE AND A ZONING OF NO HNL MU-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SINGLE-

FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LAND USE. TRACT 34 IS LOCATED AT 5105 TO 5109 LANCASTER COURT. THE CURRENT USE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE FOR ZONING. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SF-3-NP. TRACT NUMBER 36 IS LOCATED AT 1316 EAST 51st STREET. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND THE ZONING IS SF-3. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A CIVIC LAND USE WITH A ZONING OF LO-MU-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A CIVIC LAND USE WITH A..ZONING.

Mayor Wynn: WHAT'S THE RATIONALE FOR CIVIC USE? IS THIS AJAY STOANT THE CHURCH, I GUESS?

IT'S ADJACENT TO AND OWNED BY THE CHURCH. WE'LL MOVE ON TO 33 WHICH IS LOCATED AT 5106 TO 5114 LANCASTER COURT WITH THE EVEN NUMBERS. THE CURRENT USE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WIN SORE PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR LAND USE OF SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND A..... TRACT NUMBER 34 -- I'M SORRY, I THINK I'M READING ONES -- I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. LET'S MOVE ON TO TRACT NUMBER 58. I THOUGHT THAT SOUNDED FAMILIAR. TRACT NUMBER 58 IS LOCATED AT 1712 WHEELLESS LANE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. LASTLY IN THIS GROUP, TRACT 59 IS LOCATED AT 62 ON 07 BERKMAN DRIVE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH A ZONING DISTRICT OF SF-3-NP. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S

RECOMMENDATION IS ALSO FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY. AND RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. SO THAT'S ALL OF -- I'VE GOT A COUPLE MORE, EXCUSE ME. WE'LL MOVE ON TO TRACTS 60 AND 61. I'M GOING TO JUST READ THE ADDRESSES ON 60 AND 61 AND THEY HAVE THE SAME CURRENT ZONING, PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS, STAFF AND ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION AND SPONL GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK RECOMMENDATION. TRACT 60 IS LOCATED AT 6305 AND 6309 BERKMAN DRIVE AND TRACT 61 IS LOIKTED AT 6405, 6409 AND 6501 BERKMAN DRIVE. BOTH OF THESE TRACTS ARE CURRENTLY HAVE A LAND USE OF SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND A ZONING DISTRICT OF SF-3. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION ON BOTH OF THESE TRACTS WAS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR HIGHER DENSITY OF SINGLE-FAMILY. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH'S RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RANLDLAND USE AND A ZONING OF SF-3-NP. WE'LL MOVE ON TO TRACT 67, WHICH IS LOCATED AT 1806 PATTON LANE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS CIVIC WITH A..... PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A CIVIC LAND USE..... STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SPECIFIC LAND USE. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A CIVIC LAND USE AND ZONING OF SF-3-NP.

Leffingwell: MAYOR, ON 67 THE DESCRIPTION HERE SAYS MULTI-FAMILY ZONING ON BOTSZ SIDE OF THE PARCEL. AND THE LAND NORTH IS APARTMENTS AND COMMERCIAL. AND I'M LOOKING AT THE COLORED CHART HERE AND IT SHOWS STO MY THAT THE LAND ON THE EAST SIDE IS SINGLE-FAMILY. ON THE WEST SIDE IS MULTI-FAMILY. AND NORTH IS KIND AFTER MIX. IN FACT, DIRECTLY NORTH IT'S TRANSPORTATION. AND PART OF IT IS SINGLE-FAMILY AND PART OF IT IS APARTMENTS. CAN YOU CONFIRM THAT?

ON THAT NOTE I WAS REFERRING TO THE PARCELS ON THE EAST AND WEST SIDE OF THE PARCEL. AND YOU ARE CORRECT ON THIS MAP IT DOES SHOW SINGLE-FAMILY ON THE EASTERN PORTION OF THAT PARCEL. IT MAY HAVE

BEEN A MISTAKE WHEN I WAS WRITING.

Leffingwell: SOUNDS LIKE ONE, YES.

WREEL MOVE ON TO TRACT 87, WHICH IS LOCATED AT 2201 TO 2213 OLD MANOR ROAD. CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE LAND USE. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH A RESPONSIBLE GROWTH IS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LAND USE. AND THE LAST ONE IN THIS GROUP IS TRACT 91 LOCATED AT 5807 COULD VENT TRY LANE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS... STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH A ZONING OF SF-3-MP. SO AS YOU SAW IN THESE TRACT, THE DIFFERENCE IN THE RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO MAINTAIN OR TO NOT MAINTAIN THE EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING ON THE SITE. SO IF YOU WOULD LIKE, YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION ON ALL OF THEM, KEEPING IN MIND THAT TRACTS -- THERE'S A VALID PETITION ON TRACT 16.

Mayor Wynn: THE VALID PETITION ON TRACT 16 IS BY ADJACENT NEIGHBORS THAT DON'T WANT ANYTHING OTHER THAN SF-3.

THAT'S CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: COMMENT, QUESTIONS? MOTIONS? WE COULD SAY FOR THIS MOTION SHEET OF GROUP ONE, YOU COULD CHOOSE ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATION COLUMNS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF TRACTS A, B AND C.

Martinez: I'D BE FINE WITH GROUPING EVERY ONE OF THEM OTHER THAN TRACT 67. I THINK THAT'S THE ONLY ONE. I JUST DON'T SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION. SO I'LL MOVE THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR -- WE STARTED ON 16, DIDN'T WE?

Mayor Wynn: WHEN YOU SAY THESE ARE ALL SHOWN AS THE MOTION SHEET FOR GROUP ONE OF THE CONTESTED

TRACTS, GROUP TWO --

IT WOULD BE THEEING.... SUBGROUP, MAINTAIN SINGLE-FAMILY GROUP OF GROUP ONE, LOOKING AT THIS LISTING OF TRACTS. I'VE BROKEN THEM UP INTO THREE GROUPS OF GROUP ONE. SO IT'S JUST THE FIRST GROUP.

Mayor Wynn: SO I THINK WE WOULD HAVE ENOUGH DIRECTION IF WE WERE TO SAY GROUP ONE OF THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINING DISTRICT CONTESTED TRACTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY ZONED SINGLE-FAMILY. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ FOR PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON ALL TRACT OTHER THAN 67.

Martinez: LEAVING IT SF-3.

Mayor Wynn: AND FOR THAT TRACT DOING THE SF-3-NP WITH THE CIVIC DESIGNATION. THAT IS, THE RG 4 WP COLUMN RECOMMENDATION. MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE. COMMENTS? QUESTIONS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

Mayor Wynn: NOW THE MULTI-FAMILY TRACT, CORRECT?

CORRECT. SO YOU MOVE TO YOUR NEXT PAGE, AND THERE ARE ONLY TWO PARCELS IN THIS GROUP. AND BOTH OF THEM ARE CURRENTLY ZONED MULTI-FAMILY. SO WE'LL START OFF WITH TRACT 32. TRACT 32 CONSISTS OF LOT TWO-A OF A RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 2 OF A RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 3 AND HAD OF RIDGETOP GARDENS, 1200 EAST 51st STREET AND 1200 THROUGH 1218 EAST 51st STREET, THE EVEN NUMBERS. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS MULTI-FAMILY AND THE ZONING DISTRICT IS A COMBINATION OF MF-2 AND LO. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE FOR LAND USE DESIGNATION OF MIXED USE AND A ZONING OF GR-MU-CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A LAND USE OF MIXED USE. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION BREAK IT UP INTO AN INDIVIDUAL RECOMMENDATION FOR EACH ADDRESS. SO ON LOT 2-A THEY'RE PROIPING MF-2-NP. THE LOT ON 1200 EAST 51st

STREET THEY'RE PROPOSING MF-3-NP. THEY'RE NO LONGER CONTESTING THE ZONING RECOMMENDATION ON 1206 EAST 51st STREET. SO I'LL MOVE ON TO 1201 EAST 51st STREET, ZONING RECOMMENDATION OF LO-NP. AND 1210 TO 1218 EAST 51st STREET A ZONING OF (INDISCERNIBLE). NEXT IS TRACT 84, WHICH IS LOCATED 2500 THROUGH 2507 MANOR CIRCLE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS MULTI-FAMILY AND THE ZONING DISTRICT IS MF-2. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MULTI-FAMILY AND A ZONING DISTRICT OF MF-3-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MULTI-FAMILY LAND USE AND A ZONING OF MF-3-NP. SPONL GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S REPRESENTATION IS FOR MULTI-FAMILY LAND USE AND ZONING OF MF-2-NP.

Mayor Wynn: AND ON TRACT 32, IS THIS A SINGLE OWNERSHIP OR THESE ARE MULTIPLE OWNERS AND WE JUST GROUPED THEM AS A TRACT FOR THIS --

THEY'RE GROUPED AS ONE. WHICH IN GENERAL THIS IS THE ZONING RECOMMENDATION FOR ALL OF 51st STREET WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE TWO CHURCH SITES THAT YOU SAW ON THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP.

Martinez: MAYOR, REMIND ME AGAIN, DID THEY OPT IN ON 51st STREET?

I BELIEVE THEY DID. YES, THEY DID.

Martinez: I'LL MOVE STAFF REPRESENTATION ON TRACTS 32 AND 84.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO APPROVE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION BOTH DESIGNATION AND ZONING FOR TRACTS 32 AND 84. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

OKAY. NEXT WE'LL MOVE ON TO --

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC].

ALL OF THESE ARE PROPOSED FOR THIRD READING IF YOU GO WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION. THE NEXT GROUPING OF TRACTS IS TRACTS 57, 72 AND 88. THEY ALL HAVE THE SAME EXISTING LAND USE AND ZONING AND THE SAME RECOMMENDATIONS FROM AMONG THE PLANNING COMMISSION, STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE AND RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK. SO I'LL READ THE ADDRESSES AND THE TRACT NUMBERS AND THEN I'LL JUST READ ONE RECOMMENDS FOR ALL THREE TRACTS. TRACT NUMBER 57 IS LOCATED AT 1701 AND 1711 WHEELLESS LANE AND ALSO 1718 BRIARCLIFF BOULEVARD. TRACT 72 IS LOCATED AT 6401 WILL MET DRIVE AND TRACT 88 IS LOCATED AT 2006 GREEN BROOK PARKWAY AND WESTMINSTER DRIVE. THE CURRENT USE ON ALL SITES IS CIVIC LAND USE. THESE ARE ALL SCHOOL SITES WITHIN THE PLANNING AREA. THE ZONING DISTRICT IS SF-3. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR CIVIC ON ALL THREE PARCELS, WITH A ZONING OF P-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS ALSO FOR CIVIC WITH A ZONING OF P-NP AND RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR LAND USE DESIGNATION OF CIVIC AND A ZONING OF SF-3-NP. AND TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION, THERE IS A VALID PETITION ON TRACT 88 FROM THE NEIGHBORS TO NOT CHANGE THE ZONING TO P-NP.

Mayor Wynn: TO NOT CHANGE FROM SF-3 TO ANYTHING? AND REMIND ME, WE DON'T DO IT FREQUENTLY, BUT DESCRIBE TO ME AGAIN BRIEFLY THE P ZONING DESIGNATION? IT STANDS FOR PUBLIC, CORRECT?

IT STANDS FOR PUBLIC. IT'S A ZONING DESIGNATION THAT IS PUBLICCABLE TO PUBLIC YIEWS, SO IT CAN BE PUBLIC BUILDINGS, SCHOOLS. PARK ARE OFTEN P.

SO PRIVATE SECTOR, A DEVELOPER COULDN'T COME IN WITHOUT -- IF IT'S ZONED P, COULDN'T COME IN AND BUILD ANYTHING.

RIGHT. THEY WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH BOTH THE ZONING CHANGE AND A PLAN AMENDMENT TO DO THAT. TO DO SOMETHING. IF THEY WANTED TO DO SOMETHING OTHER THAN -- IF THEY WANTED TO DO SOME KIND OF PRIVATE

DEVELOPMENT ON THE SITE, THEY WOULD HAVE TO REQUEST A ZONING CHANGE AND A PLAN AMENDMENT WHICH WOULD GO BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND YOUR COUNCIL.

Mayor Wynn: A HOUSING DEVELOP ARE.

HOME BUILDER, COULD COME IN AND BUY THE TRACT AND NEVER COME TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION OR THE CITY COUNCIL IF IT'S SF-3. OBVIOUSLY THERE'S CONSTRAINTS TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN FOR SINGLE-FAMILY, BUT THERE WOULDN'T BE PUBLIC DISCUSSION ABOUT DEVELOPMENT ON THE TRACT IF THEY WANTED TO BUILD TO SF-3 STANDARDS.

THAT'S CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS ABOUT THESE THREE TRACTS? COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: I'LL MOVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON ALL THREE TRACTS.

Dunkerley: SECOND.

Leffingwell: ON THREE READ TION.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON THESE THREE SCHOOL SITES, 57, 72 AND 88. ON ALL THREE READING. NOTING THAT WE'LL NEED SIX VOTES ON TRACT 88. COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. OUR MIXED USE SHEET.

ACTUALLY, WE'RE GOING TO DO ONE MORE IN THAT GROUP THAT I RECEIVE A VALID PETITION FOR JUST BEFORE THE HEARING. I'LL PASS OUT A MOTION SHEET FOR YOU FOR THIS ONE SITE. THIS IS LOCATED AT 2120 AND 6104 TO 6114 NORTH HAMPTON DRIVE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS -- THAT'S INCORRECT. IT SHOULD BE FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, NOT VACANT. THE ZONING

DISTRICT IS GR. THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH A ZONING OF GR-MU-CO-NP. THE STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH A ZONING OF GR-MU-CO-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S REPRESENTATION IS FOR HIGHER DENSITY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH A ZONING DISTRICT OF SF-6-NP. WE HAVE A VALID PETITION FROM TWO OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS ON THIS TRACT, SO THERE ARE SEVERAL PARCELS AS WITH MANY TRACTS, THERE ARE SEVERAL PARCELS WITHIN ONE TRACT. TWO OF THE PARCELS WITHIN THIS ONE TRACT ARE PROTESTING ANY OTHER ZONING -- ANY ZONING CHANGE ON THEIR SITE OTHER THAN SF-6.

Mayor Wynn: WHY CAN'T I FIND THIS ON A MAP? I'M SORRY, I JUST FOUND IT.

IT'S THIS PARCEL LOCATED JUST AT THE INTERSECTION OF GASTON PLACE AND WHEELLESS.

McCracken: I'LL MOVE TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO APPROVE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON TRACT 51.
COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: I WON'T BE SUPPORTING THE MOTION. I JUST THINK THAT SF-6 IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE MORE SUITABLE FOR THIS AREA. HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.? MOTION PASS ON A VOTE OF SIX TO 1 WITH COUNCILMEMBER KIM VOTING NO.

OKAY. SO NOW WE MOVE ON TO GROUP 2 OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN WINDSOR PARK AND THESE ARE THE TRACTS THAT ARE COLORED GREEN ON YOUR TRABLGH T MAP AND ALSO GREEN ON YOUR CHART. IN GENERAL THE ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS GROUP ARE TO PROPOSE ON OWE TO KEEP THE EXISTING ZONING ON THE SITE, BUT THE PLAN RECOMMENDS ADDING THE MIXED USE COMBINING DISTRICT TO THOSE SITES. AND THE RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PART'S

RECOMMENDATION IN GENERAL THEY DO NOT AGREE WITH ADDING MIXED USE ON THESE PARCELS. SO THE DIFFERENCE BASICALLY IS ONLY BETWEEN THE MIXED USE, BUT THEY AGREE ON THE EXISTING BASED ZONING ON THOSE SITES. SO AS WE DID BEFORE, I'M GOING TO READ THROUGH ALL OF THEM AND THEN YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION AND TAKE OUT CERTAIN TRACTS AS YOU SEE FIT AFTER WE GO THROUGH ALL OF THE PARCELS. STARTING A TRACT 6. THE CURRENT USE IS OFFICE AND THE ZONING DISTRICT IS GO. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE WITH A ZONING OF GO-MU-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED USE WITH A ZONING OF GO-MU-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR AN OFFICE LAND USE WITH A ZONING OF GO-NP. SO YOU CAN SEE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THOSE TWO RECOMMENDATIONS IS THE MIXED USE COMBINING DISTRICT. TRACT 22 IS LOCATED AT 1222 BROAD MOORE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS CIVIC AND THE ZONING IS GR. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR GR-MU-CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR CIVIC WITH A ZONING OF GR-MU-CO-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR CIVIC THEY'RE ALSO PRONING...KING CONDITIONAL OVERLAY TO LIMIT THE HEIGHT TO 35 FEET. TRACT 35 IS LOCATED AT 5101 LANCASTER COURT AND IT ALSO INCLUDES 1302, 1304, 1308, 1310 AND 1314 EAST 51st STREET. THERE ARE SEVERAL LAND USES AND ZONING DISTRICTS WITHIN THIS ONE TRACT, SO I'M GOING TO READ THEM OUT BY ADDRESS. 5101 LANCASTER COURT AND 1302 AND 1304 EAST 51st STREET, THE LAND USE IS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL. 1308 EAST 51st STREET IS AN OFFICE LAND USE. 1314 EAST 51st STREET IS OFFICE. THE EXISTING ZONING ON 5101 LANCASTER COURT AND 1302 AND 1304 EAST 51st STREET IS SF-3 AND THE EXISTING ZONING ON 1308 EAST 51st STREET IS LO. ON 1310 EAST 51st STREET IT'S CF. AND ON 1314 EAST 51st STREET IT'S ALSO LO. THE PLAN'S COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS TRACT IS FOR MIXED USE LAND USE DESIGNATION. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION IS ALSO FOR MIXED USE, WITH A ZONING OF GR-MU-CO-NP. AND RESPONSIBLE

GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION BREAKS IT DOWN ACCORDING TO THE EXISTING ZONING DISTRICT ON THE SITE. 1310, ALSO 1314 EAST 51st STREET WOULD BE A LAND USE DESIGNATION OF OFFICE. 5101 -- FOR THE ZONING, 5101 LANCASTER COURT, THE ZONING IS RECOMMENDED AS SF-3. AND ON 1308, 1310 AND 1314 EAST 51st STREET, THE ZONING RECOMMENDATION IS LO. I WANT TO CORRECT SOMETHING ON TRACT 22. I'VE BEEN INFORMED THAT RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK IS NOT REQUESTING THE CONDITIONAL OVERLAY TO LIMIT THE HEIGHT TO 35 FEET ON THAT SITE. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY'RE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE EXISTING CONDITIONAL OVERLAY THAT'S PROPOSED, WHICH WOULD BE FOR THOSE AUTOMOBILE ORIENTED USES THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER. SO ON TRACT 44, IT'S LOCATED AT 5801 WESTMINSTER DRIVE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS CIVIC AND THE ZONING IS GR. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH A RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR CIVIC WITH A.....TRACT 48 IS LOCATED ON GASTON PLACE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS COMMERCIAL WITH A ZONING OF GR. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH A ZONING OF GR-MU GR-MU-CO-NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A LAND USE DESIGNATION OF COMMERCIAL WITH A ZONING OF GR-CO-NP. AND I WOULD IMAGE THAIN THEY ARE NOT PROPOSE TO GO LIMIT THE HEIGHT TO TWO STORIES AND 35 FEET ON THIS PARCEL AS WELL. TRACT 49 IS LOCATED AT LOT 27, BLOCK T OF THE ROYAL OAK ESTATE, SUBDIVISION PA. IT'S CURRENTLY A VACANT SITE ZONED GR. THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE WITH A..... STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE. COMMERCIAL -- RESPONSIBLE GROWTH'S RECOMMENDATION IS COMMERCIAL. AND TRACT 50 IS LOCATED AT 2300 WHEELLESS LANE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE THE SITE IS COMMERCIAL AND THE ZONING IS GR. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED USE AND A ZONING OF GR-CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR LAND USE MIXED

USE. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR COMMERCIAL WITH A GR -- ZONING RECOMMENDATION OF GR-CO-NP AND ALSO STRIKE THE LIMITING THE HEIGHT ON THAT SITE. THE CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR THAT. IT THEY'RE NO LONGER PROPOSING THAT. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS] CHER CLER

TRACT 79 IS LOCATED AT 5812 MANOR ROAD AND JUST TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION THERE IS A VALID PETITION ON TRACT 79. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS COMMERCIAL AND THE ZONING IS CS, PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED-USE WITH A ZONING OF CS-MU-CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR MIXED-USE WITH A ZONING OF CS-MU MP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR WINDSOR PARK IS FOR COMMERCIAL ZONING DISTRICT WITH THE ZONING -- EXCUSE ME, COMMERCIAL LAND USE WITH ZONING OF CSCUMP. AND I DO NOT HAVE ON THIS WHAT THE VALID PETITION IS FOR. GIVE ME A MOMENT I CAN LOOK IT UP.

SO THEY'RE BASICALLY OBJECTING TO INCLUDING MIXED-USE.

THANK YOU.

MAYOR WYNN: SO IT'S AN ADJOINING NEIGHBOR PETITION.

THAT'S A VALID PETITION FROM THE SURRENDERING PROPERTY OWNERS.

THESE ARE OWNERS.

MAYOR WYNN: NO, THE --

OWNERS OF AFFECTED PROPERTY.

MAYOR WYNN: RIGHT BUT THE OWNER OF THIS TRACT ISN'T ARGUING ABOUT MIXED-USE? I CAN'T BELIEVE.

WE'LL MOVE ON TO TRACT 89, WHICH IS LOCATED AT 5800 TO 5816 BERKMAN DRIVE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS

OFFICE AND THE ZONING IS LO. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE MIXED-USE WITH A ZONING OF LO-MU CO-NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS ZONING OF LO-MU MP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK IS OFFICE LAND USE WITH ZONING OF LO-MU-NP. AND LASTLY TRACT 92 LOCATED AT 24 HOW SOON WHEEL HE IS LANE. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE IS COMMERCIAL WITH ZONING OF GU. MIXED-USE AND GR-MU-CO NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS FOR GR-MU-CO NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S REPRESENTATION IS FOR ZONING OF GR-CO-NP AND YOU CAN SCRATCH THE HEIGHT LIMITATION CONVENTIONAL OVERLAY FROM THE RECOMMENDATION. THAT'S ALL OF THE TRACTS WITHIN GROUP 2 OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS IN WINDSOR PARK.

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, COUNCIL? MOTIONS?

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION?

MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER LEFFINGWELL, TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON THESE -- THIS GROUP 2 OF CONTESTED TRACTS CONCERNING THE ADDITION OF MIXED-USE. FURTHER COMMENT?

TO REMIND YOU THAT YOU'RE CLOSING THE PUBLIC HEARINGS?

MAYOR WYNN: YES, THAT'S -- WE HAVE BEEN DOING THAT. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

OKAY. WE'LL MOVE ON TO GROUP 3 AND THERE'S ACTUALLY ONLY TWO TRACTS IN GROUP 3. THE ZONING RECOMMENDATIONS GENERALLY IN THIS GROUP, THE

ORIGINAL PLANNING RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO UP
ZONE THE EXISTING COMMERCIAL ZONING DISTRICT AND
RESPONSIBLE GROWTH RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO
DOWN ZONE OR MAINTAIN THE EXISTING COMMERCIAL
ZONING DISTRICT ON THE SITE. SO THERE IS A VALID
PETITION TO BRING YOUR ATTENTION FOR TRACT 54 AND
ACTUALLY THERE'S ONLY A PORTION OF -- IF I COULD
CONFIRM WITH OUR ATTORNEY ON THE ADDRESSES FOR
THE CONTESTED TRACTS THAT ARE SUBMITTED WITH THE
TRACT 54, ORIGINALLY ONLY A PORTION OF IT WAS
CONTESTED BUT THAT MAY NOT BE THE CASE NOW WITH
THE VALID PETITION. TRACT 54 IS LOCATE LOCATED AT 5800
MANOR ROAD. THE CURRENT USE IS OFFICE AND THE
ZONING IS LR.

MAYOR WYNN: THERE ARE

THERE ARE PETITIONS FOR ALL OF THOSE --

FOR ALL -- OKAY. THERE ARE THREE PARCELS WITHIN TRACT
54 SO THOSE ADDRESSES ARE 5800, 5722 AND 5730 MANOR
ROAD. THE CURRENT USE ON 5800 MANOR ROAD IS AN
OFFICE, AND ON 5722 AND 5730 THOSE ARE SINGLE-FAMILY
DWELLINGS. I'M ADDING THAT TO YOUR CHART THAT YOU'RE
LOOKING AT. PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE ENTIRE SITE WAS MIXED-USE WITH A ZONING OF
LR-MU-CO NP. STAFF AND THE ZONING COMMITTEE'S
RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR MIXED-USE AND A ZONING OF
LR-MU-CO NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S
RECOMMENDATION IS FOR OFFICE WITH A ZONING OF
LONP..... LOMP. AND I BELIEVE THE -- THE VALID PETITION IS
TO PROTEST ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE EXISTING LR
ZONING CURRENTLY ON THE SITE.

MAYOR WYNN: INCLUDING ADDING THE MU DESIGNATION?

YES. DOUBLE-CHECK THAT BUT --..... YES, WE THE
UNDERSIGNED OWNERS OF PROPERTY DO PROTEST ANY
CHANGE WHICH WOULD ZONE THE PROPERTY TO ANY
OTHER CLASSIFICATION OTHER THAN THE CURRENT LR. SO
MOVE ON TO TRACT 63 WHICH IS LOCATED AT 6600, 6516,
6510, DRIVE AND 1620 WHEELLESS LANE. AS YOU SEE IN
OTHER PARCELS THERE ARE A COUPLE DIFFERENT LAND

USES IN THIS TRACT. AT 6600 BERKMAN DRIVE IT'S UNDER MULTIFAMILY LAND USE. 6516 BERKMAN DRIVE IS A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LAND USE AND 6510 BERKMAN DRIVE AND 6620 WHEELLESS LANE IS SPECIFIC LAND USE. THE ZONING DISTRICT ON 6600 BERKMAN DRIVE IS MF-3. AT 6513 BERKMAN DRIVE IT'S CURRENTLY LO, 6510 BERKMAN DRIVE AND 1620 WHEELLESS LANE ARE LO. THE RECOMMENDATION ON THE LOCU. STAFF IS MIXED-USE AND FOR ZONING IT'S LR-MU-CO NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION FOR A 6600 BERKMAN DRIVE IS A LAND USE OF MULTIFAMILY FOR 6516 BERKMAN DRIVE FOR LAND USE AS SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, FOR 6510 BERKMAN DRIVE AND 1620 WHEELLESS LANE, IT'S CIVIC. THE ZONING ON 6600 BERKMAN DRIVE IS RECOMMENDED FOR MF-3-NP. FOR 6516 BERKMAN DRIVE IT'S RECOMMENDED FOR LONP AND FOR 6510 BERKMAN DRIVE AND 1620 WHEELLESS LANE THE ZONING IS LONP. THAT'S ALL OF THE TRACTS WITHIN GROUP 3.

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? ACTUALLY I JUST -- I FIND IT INTERESTING THAT OUR RULES ON VALID PETITIONS WOULD BE THAT IF A PROPERTY IS ZONED LR AND THEN OUR ACTION WOULD BE TO KEEP IT LR BUT TO ADD A MIXED-USE DESIGNATION TO IT, THAT I WOULD THINK ONE COULD ARGUE THAT WE'RE NOT CHANGING THE LR ZONING. WE CAN STILL DO EVERYTHING ON THAT TRACT THAT LR ALLOWS PLUS.... PLUS -- YOU KNOW, DOES THAT GIVE YOU MORE FLEXIBLE. SO I THINK IT'S VERY INTERESTING THAT WE CONSIDER THAT A VALID PETITION, BUT I'LL RESPECT THAT. QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, ON THESE TWO TRACTS FOR GROUP 3, 54 AND 63? [INAUDIBLE]

MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING, APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION. SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER KIM FOR TRACTS 54 AND 63. FURTHER COMMENT? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

OKAY. THERE'S ONE MORE CONTESTED TRACT. THIS IS KIND

OF AN OUTLIER IN THE GROUP. TRACT 37 IS LOCATED AT 1400 AND 1404 EAST 51ST STREET. THE CURRENT USE ON THE SITE ON 1400 EAST 51ST STREET IS MULTIFAMILY. ON 1404 EAST 51ST STREET IT'S SINGLE-FAMILY. THE ZONING DISTRICT ON 1400 EAST 51ST STREET IS MF-2 AND 1404 EAST 51 IS SF-3. PLANNING ECONOMIES IS MIXED-USE AND FOR ZONING GR-MU-CO NP. STAFF AND ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION IS MIXED-USE AND THE ZONING IS PROPOSED FOR GR-MU-CO NP. RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK'S RECOMMENDATION FOR LAND USE IS OFFICE AND A ZONING OF LONP.

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTIONS, STAFF, COUNCIL? COMMENTS? MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER KIM TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON TRACT 37. SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCracken. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE ALL THOSE IN FAVOR POLICE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

I'M SORRY? WE'RE GOING TO DO THE OPTIONS AND DESIGN TOOLS AND THEN THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDOR AFTER THAT. SO THERE ARE SOME CONTESTED INFILL OPTIONS AND DESIGN TOOLS I'LL BE LOOKING AT THE MOTION SHEET WHICH HAS TWO TABLES. I'LL START OFF FIRST WITH THE INFILL OPTIONS. THE ONE WHERE THERE IS DIFFERING RECOMMENDATION BETWEEN THE PLANNING RECOMMENDATION WHICH IS ALSO THE STAFF AND ZONING COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION AND THE RECOMMENDATION FROM PLANNING COMMISSION AND THOSE TWO RECOMMENDATIONS ARE THE SAME AND RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK HAS A DIFFERING RECOMMENDATION ON THE SECONDARY APARTMENT INFILL OPTIONS. THE SECONDARY APARTMENT INFILL OPTION REDUCES THE PARCEL SIGN WHERE SECONDARY APARTMENTS ARE PERMITTED. CURRENTLY THEY'RE NOT PERMITTED ON LOTS -- EXCUSE ME, SMALLER THAN 7,000 SQUARE FEET AND THIS INFILL OPTION WOULD ALLOW LOTS BETWEEN 5,750 SQUARE FEET AND 7,000

SQUARE FEET TO BUILD A SECONDARY APARTMENT. SO PLANNING RECOMMENDATION -- EXCUSE ME, PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE SECONDARY APARTMENT IN FILL OPTION WAS TO ADOPT THIS INFILL OPTION. THE ORIGINAL PLAN PLAN RECOMMENDATION AND I APOLOGIZE FOR THE CHANGE IN TERMINOLOGY. THIS IS ALSO THE ZONING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO ADOPT THE SECONDARY OOPT INFILL, RESPONSIBLE GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK PARK IS PROPOSING TO NOT ADOPT THIS INFILL OPTION.

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS REGARDING OUR INFILL OPTION?

MOVE FOR APPROVAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION.

MAYOR WYNN: MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION OF ADOPTING SECONDARY APARTMENTS, THIS BEING WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNED COMBINATION DISTRICT, INFILL OPTION. SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCracken. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE? OPPOSED IN MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

NEXT WE'LL REVIEW THE DESIGN TOOLS THAT CAN BE ADOPTED THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS. THESE ARE THE TWO WHERE THERE IS SOME DISAGREEMENT IS THE FRONT PORCH SETBACK DESIGN TOOL AND THE IMPERVIOUS COVER AND PARKING PLACE DESIGN TOOL. THE FRONT PORCH SETBACK WOULD ALLOW FRONT PORCHES TO EXTEND 15 FEET INTO THE FRONT -- WOULD REDUCE THE FRONT YARD SETBACK SO THAT FRONT PORCHES COULD EXTEND FURTHER INTO THE SETBACK THAN THEY ARE CURRENTLY PERMITTED TO UNDER THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. IMPERVIOUS COVER AND PARKING..... PARK PLACEMENT DESIGN TOOL DOES NOT REQUIRE MORE THAN 40% IMPERVIOUS COVER IN THE

FRONT YARD OF A RESIDENCE. SO PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION ON BOTH OF THESE DESIGN TOOLS, THE FRONT PORCH SETBACK AND IMPERVIOUS COVER AND PARKING PLACES WOULD BE TO ADOPT EACH OF THESE DESIGN TOOLS. THAT IS ALSO STAFF AND ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, AND RESPONSIBILITY GROWTH FOR WINDSOR PARK IS TO NOT ADOPT EACH OF THESE DESIGN TOOLS. YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION ON BOTH TOGETHER OR YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION ON THEM INDIVIDUALLY.

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTION REGARDING OUR DESIGN TOOLS? COMMENTS? MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCrackEN. SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER COLE, TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON BOTH DESIGN TOOLS, THOSE BEING FRONT PORCH SETBACK AND IMPERVIOUS COVER AND PARKING PLACEMENT. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

OKAY. SO NEXT WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE DISCUSSION OF THE CODE AMENDMENT FOR THE CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS. I'D LIKE TO BRING YOUR ATTENTION BACK TO THAT FIRST MOTION SHEET THAT WE WERE WORKING WITH. THIS MOTION SHEET, AND YOU'LL BE LOOKING AT THE SECOND PAGE OF THAT MOTION SHEET. AND STAFF IS ALSO PREPARED ON ALL THREE READINGS FOR THESE IF YOU FOLLOW THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATIONS. THIS IS HOA THIS WOULD BE AGENDA ITEM 58. YOUR MOTION COULD BE TO APPROVE AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 5 AND FIGURE 1 OF SUBCHAPTER E OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE TO ADD CAMERON ROAD FROM 51ST STREET TO HIGHWAY 290. 51ST STREET FROM CAMERON ROAD TO MANOR ROAD TO THE LIST OF CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS. THAT WAS THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION YOU'LL SEE ON THIS MORGUES THAT IT EXCLUDES POURINGS OF BRIER CLIFF AND GAS TON PLACE. I'VE INCLUDED A MOTION THERE FOR YOU IF YOU WOULD ALSO

LIKE TO MAKE THAT MOTION. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR ME ABOUT THAT I'D BE OF COURSE HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM. BUT I WANTED TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WAS THAT FIRST MOTION THAT I JUST READ.

MAYOR WYNN: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, COUNCIL?
[INAUDIBLE]

I BELIEVE WITH THAT MOTION WE COULD GO FOR ALL THREE READINGS ON THIS MOTION NO. 4 BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT'S IN THE ORDINANCE NOW AND THEN WE'D DO FIRST READING ON A MOTION TO INCLUDE BRIER CLIFF AND GASTON PLACE TO THE LIST OF CORE TRANSIT CORRIDORS.

SOME CLARITY FOR DOING ALL THREE?

OKAY.

THAT'S CORRECT. I THINK YOU JUST READ INTO THE RECORD THAT YOU'RE ADDING BRIERCLIFF AND GASTON TO THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE CODE.

MAYOR WYNN: WHICH COUNCIL MEMBER MCCrackEN DID WITH HIS MOTION. MOTION ON THE TABLE. AS READ IN THE RECORD BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCrackEN. IS THERE A SECOND? SECONDED BY MAYOR PRO TEM. THANK YOU. FURTHER COMMENTS? I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE CLEAR WITH THAT, MR. GUERNSEY. STAFF IS CLEAR?

YEAH.

MAYOR WYNN: FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES WITH A VOTE OF 7-0.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL, DURING YOUR DISCUSSIONS ON

WINDSOR PARK ... PARK, WE WOULD LIKE YOU TO REVISIT ONE ITEM ON UNIVERSITY HILLS. A CITIZEN BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION THAT THERE MAY BE AN ISSUE WITH AN ADDRESS ON THE UNIVERSITY HILLS, ONE OF THE CONTESTED TRACTS, 202, AND THAT PROPERTY IS LISTED AT 7540 AND 7650 ED BLUESTEIN, AND THAT WAS -- THIS WAS THE FIRST TRACT THAT WE TALKED ABOUT ON UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINING DISTRICT UNDER THE MOTION SHEET OF CONTESTED TRACTS. THE STAFF WOULD SUGGEST THAT YOU RECONSIDER IT AND POSTPONE IT TWO WEEKS SO WE CAN VERIFY THE ADDRESS CLAIM THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO US. WE THINK IT'S A VALID CLAIM ON TRACT 202. AND WITH THAT RECONSIDERATION AND POSTPONEMENT, THAT WOULD BE THE LAST ITEM THAT WE WOULD DISCUSS AS FAR AS OUR ZONING ITEMS THIS EVENING.

MAYOR WYNN: WELL, THAT'S ENTICING.

LEVEL LEVEL I'LL MOVE TO RECONSIDER PREVIOUS ACTION ON 202.

MAYOR WYNN: MOTION TO RECONSIDER, SECONDED BY MCCracken, RECONSIDERING TRACT NO. 202. THIS BEING ON THAT ORIGINAL MOTION SHEET OF CONTESTED TRACTS, UNIVERSITY HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINING DISTRICT.

AND THAT WOULD BE BOTH FOR THE PLAN AND FOR THE ZONING.

MAYOR WYNN: FOR THE ZONING, FUTURE LAND USE MAP DESIGNATION AND THE ZONING. FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

AYE. OPPOSED IN NOW I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON TRACT 202.

MOVE TO POSTPONE TRACT 202 FOR TWO WEEKS.

MAYOR WYNN: MOTION -- COUNCIL MEMBER LEFFINGWELL, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCrackEN, TO POSTPONE ACTION ON ITEM NO. -- TRACT NO. 202 FOR TWO WEEKS TO AUGUST 23, 2007. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IF FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

MAYOR WYNN: AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION TO POSTPONE PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL, THAT CONCLUDES OUR ZONING AND NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING ITEMS THIS EVENING.

MAYOR WYNN: THANK YOU, MR. GUERNSEY AND MISMS. DOMAS.

THANK YOU.

MAYOR WYNN: THANK YOU EVERYBODY FOR YOUR PATIENCE. SO COUNCIL, I BELIEVE -- MISGENTRY THAT LEAVES US JUST WITH ITEM NO. 37. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS.] .

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. SO I KNOW THAT A NUMBER OF FOLKS DID TAKE ME UP ON MY OFFER THAT THE NEXT TWO MEETINGS THAT FOLKS GIVE US ANY INPUT ON ANY ELMS OF THE BUDGET REGARDLESS OF WHAT BUTT PUTT ON THAT AFTERNOON. BUT FOR THOSE WHO STUCK IT OUT, WE WILL CERTAINLY TAKE FOASM THE REST OF THIS EVENING. I'LL READ THROUGH THE NAMES THAT HAVE SIGNED UP, KNOWING THAT A HANDFUL OF FOLKS PROBABLY AREN'T WITH US ANY LONGER. OUR FIRST SPEAKER, THIS IS PUBLIC HEARING NUMBER 59, TESTIMONY ON THE PROPOSED BUDGET. FIRST SPEAKER IS TERRY BARK DALE, SIGNED UP WISH TO GO SPEAK. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY KAREN LANGLEY. TO BE FOLLOWED BY PAUL SKITH. YOU LONA SERNA. MARY BETH HARRINGTON. OLGA CUELLAR.

YOU'VE GOT ONE.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME. MARY BETH HARRINGTON.

WELCOME. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTE AND BE FOLLOWED BY OLGA OR FRANCES.

SORRY ABOUT THAT.

Mayor Wynn: APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.

MY NAME IS MARY BETH AND I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE AUSTIN PUBLIC LIBRARY FOUNDATION. AND THE FOUNDATION THANKS THE CITY MANAGER FOR HER TENACITY IN ENSURING THE LIBRARY RECEIVED FUNDING IN THIS CHALLENGING BUDGET YEAR. AND ENCOURAGES THE COUNCIL TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSED LIBRARY PORTION OF THE BUDGET INTACT. THE ITEMS ADDRESSED IN THIS BUDGET ARE ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE THE CONTINUATION OF BASIC LIBRARY SERVICES. NOW, I REALIZE WHEN I BRING A CITY IT IS COMMON TO PUT CATEGORIES OF ESSENTIAL AND NONESSENTIAL, BUT FOR OVER 300,000 CITIZENS OF AUSTIN WHO USE THE LIBRARY EACH YEAR, THE LIBRARY REMAINS ESSENTIAL. FOR INSTANCE, MORE AND MORE COMPANY, INCLUDING THE CITY OF AUSTIN, ARE REQUIRING CANDIDATES FOR EMPLOYMENT COMPLETE AN APPLICATION ONLINE. FOR SOME JOB SEEKERS THE LIBRARY IS ESSENTIAL AS THE ONLY PLACE WHERE THEY CAN USE COMPUTERS FOR THIS PURPOSE. THOUSANDS OF SCHOOL AGE CHILDREN GO TO THE LIBRARY AFTER SCHOOL UNTIL THEIR PARENTS RETURN FROM WORK BECAUSE THE LIBRARY IS THE ONLY PLACE WHERE THEY ARE SAFE AND CAN GET HOMEWORK HELP. TO THOSE CHILDREN AND THINK PARENTS THE LIBRARY IS ESSENTIAL AS A SAFE HAVEN FOR AFTER SCHOOL. BOTH OF THESE ARE EXAMPLES OF HOW LIBRARY SERVICE SZ HAVE EVOLVED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY AND THE PROPOSED BUDGET ADDRESSES THIS, BUT IT ALSO ADDRESSES AN EVEN MORE BASIC LIBRARY SERVICE, COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT. NOT FUNDING A LIBRARY'S BUDGET IS LIKE OPENING A STORE WITH NO MERCHANDISE. YOU KNOW HOW IT FEELS TO WALK INTO A STORE LOOKING FOR AN ITEM AND BEING UNABLE TO FIND IT? WHEN A CITIZEN GOES TO THE LIBRARY OR SEARCHES THE CATALOG ONLINE AND DOES NOT FIND WHAT THEY NEED, IT REFLECTS POORLY ON THE CITY AND THE LIBRARY. HOWEVER, THE LIBRARY IS MORE THAN WHERE YOU PICK UP THE LATEST STEPHEN KING NOVEL, IT

IS A DEPOSITORY OF KNOWLEDGE IN A VARIETY OF FORMATS. AND SO UNLIKE A BOOKSTORE, WHICH PURCHASES ITEMS BASED ON THE MARKET, THE LIBRARY MUST STRATEGICALLY PURCHASE ITEMS FOR THEIR INTRINSIC VALUE AS WELL AS TO ANTICIPATE THE NEEDS OF OUR CUSTOMERS. A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THIS WAS FEATURED IN YESTERDAY'S -- SORRY. IN YESTERDAY'S "AUSTIN AMERICAN-STATESMAN". JOHN YOUNG OF THE WACO TRIBUNE HERALD WRITES FOR A SEARCH OF THE DIXIE CHICKS CD SHUT UP AND SING WHICH HE COULD NOT FIND IN WACO UNTIL HE WENT TO THE WACO MCCLENNAN COUNTY LIBRARY WHERE THEY HAD THAT. THE BOOK COLLECTION BUDGET IS ALSO USED TO PURCHASE DATABASES THAT CITIZENS MAY ACCESS ONLINE. OTHER REPAIR REFERENCES, COLLEGE CATALOGS, TEST PREPARATION GUIDES, VOCATIONAL AND TRADE PUBLICATIONS ARE EXAMPLES OF THE PLETHORA OF MATERIALS AVAILABLE ONLY THROUGH THE LIBRARY'S ONLINE DATABASES. THE INTERNET IS A WONDERFUL RESOURCE, BUT CONTRARY TO POPULAR BELIEF, NOT EVERYTHING IS AVAILABLE AND ITEMS SUCH AS THESE CAN ONLY BE ACCESSED ON THE DATABASES THROUGH THE LIBRARY. [BUZZER SOUNDS] WE APPRECIATE THE SUPPORT FROM THE COUNCIL THESE PAST FEW MONTHS IN HELPING US SHAPE THE LIBRARY FOR THE FUTURE AND RECOGNIZING THE LIBRARY IS MORE THAN A LIT LITERARY BLOCKBUSTER. BUT THE SUPPORT IS FOR THE FUTURE AND DOES NOT SUPPORT THE BASIC ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OUTLINED IN THE BUDGET. WITHOUT YOUR SUPPORT NOW THERE CAN BE NO FUTURE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. I HAD CALLED A HANDFUL OF NAMES. SO IF I'VE CALLED YOUR NAME, YOU'RE WELCOME TO STEP FORWARD.

GOOD EVENING, COUNCILMEMBERS, MY NAME IS PAUL SKETH. I'M A MEMBER OF AUSTIN INTERFATES AND A LEADER WITH OUR LADY OF GUADALUPE CATSD LICK CHURCH AND I AM HERE TO -- ON BEHALF OF THE ORGANIZATION TO REQUEST A 500,000-DOLLAR INCREASE IN THE CAPITAL I.D.E.A. BUDGET. CAPITAL I.D.E.A. BEING A JOP TRAINING PROGRAM FOR ADULTS THAT LIFTS THE PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR FAMILIES OUT OF THE POVERTY INTO LIVING WAGE

JOBS. THERE'S THREE REASONS WHY THE COUNCIL SHOULD DO THIS. THE FIRST REASON, IT'S GOOD FOR THE PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR FAMILIES. THE SECOND REASON IS THAT IT'S GOOD FOR TAXPAYERS. AND THE THIRD REASON IS THAT IT'S GOOD FOR DEVELOPMENT. IT'S GOOD FOR PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR FAMILIES BECAUSE PARTICIPANTS' AVERAGE EARNINGS BEFORE THEY START THE PROGRAM IS \$11,604. AFTER THE PROGRAM IT'S \$31,638. IT'S ALSO MULTIGENERATIONAL AND IT KEEPS ON -- THE BENEFITS KEEP ON EXTENDING THROUGH THE GENERATIONS. WITH OUR LAST TELEPHONE SURVEY, 100% OF THE SCHOOL AGE CHILDREN OF CAPITAL I.D.E.A. GRADUATES HAVE GRADUATED THEMSELVES FROM HIGH SCHOOL. OUT OF THAT NUMBER, 92% OF THE CHILDREN OF CAPITAL I.D.E.A. GRADUATES HAVE GONE ON TO HIGHER ED. IT'S GOOD FOR TAXPAYERS. TOTAL RETURN ON INVESTMENT FOR EVERY TAX DOLLAR SPENT IS 481%. THIS 481% RETURN ON INVESTMENT IS BASED ON THE NET PRESENT VALUE OF INCREASED TAX REVENUE OVER THE LIFETIME OF EACH PARTICIPANT AS WELL AS THE REDUCTION IN DECREASED SPENDING ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. AND THIS METHODOLOGY WAS REVIEWED BY THE TEXAS PERSPECTIVE. FINALLY, THIS PROGRAM IS GOOD FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND IT REALLY IS AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AS WELL AS A PROGRAM THAT HELPS PEOPLE. LACK AFTER TRAINED WORKFORCE LIMITS ECONOMIC GROWTH AS WE ALL KNOW. THERE'S 3,950 NURSES NEED UNDERSTAND AUSTIN AND CENTRAL TEXAS BY 2014. 11,110 TEACHERS NEEDED BY 2014. AND JUST AS ANNA NICOLE SMITH DECK DOTE AL EVIDENCE, I RUN A SMALL BUSINESS AND I HAVE ACTUALLY HAD THE GOOD FORTUNE TO HIRE A CAPITAL I.D.E.A. GRADUATE TO RUN OUR I.T. PROGRAM AND HAVE BEEN VERY HAPPY WITH THAT. SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT AS A SMALL BUSINESS OWNER I KNOW I'M LOOKING FOR TALENT AND THIS IS A SOURCE FOR IT AND I KNOW IT'S HARD TO GET. MS. CERNA IS GOING TO SPEAK TO ME, WHO IS ACTUALLY A CAPITAL I.D.E.A. GRADUATE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS (INDISCERNIBLE) AND I CAME TO AUSTIN FROM CRYSTAL CITY, A SMALL TOWN IN SOUTH

TEXAS, IN SEARCH OF A BETTER LIFE AND FUTURE. I CAME FOR.... FROM A FAMILY OF MIGRANT WORKERS. IT WAS NOT WHAT I WANTED FOR ME AND MY SON. I KNEW ALL ALONG I WANTED TO BECOME A NURSE BECAUSE I WANTED TO HELP PEOPLE IN A TIME OF GREAT NEED. BUT I DIDN'T HAVE THE MONEY TO GO TO COLLEGE. I MOVED TO AUSTIN AND FOUND THIEW IT WAS VERY EXPENSIVE AND CHALLENGING. I FOUND MYSELF WORKING TWO TO THREE JOBS AT MINIMUM WAWJ JUST TO KEEP UP WITH RENT, BILL AND DAY CARE. AT THIS TIME OF DESPERATION I HAD RETURNED TO CLASSES AT SCHOOL AND HEARD ABOUT CAPITAL I.D.E.A. I WAS ACCEPTED INTO CAPITAL I.D.E.A. AND RECEIVED MY ASSOCIATE'S DEGREE OF NURSING IN DECEMBER OF 2006. CAPITAL I.D.E.A. NOT ONLY ALLOWED ME TO FULFILL MY DREAM OF BECOMING A NURSE, BUT GAVE ME OPPORTUNITY FOR A CAREER. TODAY I'M HAPPY TO ANNOUNCE TO YOU THAT I AM A REGISTERED NURSE AND MAKING ALMOST \$50,000 A YEAR. THIS NEW CAREER HAS GIVEN ME THE FINANCES TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND MY SON AND IT'S FULFILLED MY DREAM IN BECOMING A NURSE AND HELPING PEOPLE DAY IN AND DAY OUT. NOW THAT I DON'T HAVE TO WORK THREE JOBS, I HAVE THE TIME TO SHARE WITH MY COMMUNITY, LIKE TONIGHT. THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR INVESTING IN ME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

GOOD EVENING. I'VE BEEN HERE SINCE 5:30 BECAUSE I WANTED TO HEAR GALE'S DAUGHTER SING. IT ALSO REMINDED ME OF AISD WHEN WE USED TO STAY UNTIL ONE OR 2:00 O'CLOCK WAITING TO GO BEFORE THE BOARD. Y'ALL HAVE BROUGHT LOTS OF MEMORIES BACK. MY NAME IS OLGA, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF FUENTE LEARNING CENTER. WE ARE A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION CREATED IN 2009 BY MANY EAST AUSTIN HISTORICAL FAMILIES. AND WE SERVE OVER 800 STUDENTS AND ADULTS. OUR MISSION TO QUENCH THE EDUCATIONAL THRIRTS OF PERSONS OF ALL AGES. IT IS NOT ONLY WHAT WE DO AND DO WELL, BIEWLS IN KEEPING WITH OUR PROPOSED BUDGET, GROWING COMMUNITY NEEDS TO HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. OUR PROGRAMS ADDRESS THE EDUCATIONAL DEFICITS OF ELEMENTARY, MIDDLE, HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND ADULTS. MANY OF OUR PROGRAMS ARE NOT AVAILABLE

ELSEWHERE. THE REASON IS THAT WE DEVELOPED THESE PROGRAMS DUE TO THE OUT CRY OF PARENTS IN EAST AUSTIN REGARDING THE DEFICITS IN THEIR CHILDREN'S EDUCATION AND SCHOOL DISCIPLINE. SOME OF OUR PROGRAM ARE THE DELTA PARTNERSHIP WITH THE AISD. IT'S A CREDIT RECOVERY, COMPUTERIZED PROGRAM WHERE THE CREDITS GO DIRECTLY BACK TO THE STUDENT'S HOME SCHOOL. AND THE REASON FOR THAT, THAT'S THE CARROT WE HAVE FOR THE KID IN ORDER FOR THEM TO STAY AND GRADUATE. THEY WANT TO GRADUATE WITH THEIR PEERS IN THEIR OWN HOME SCHOOL. IT HELPS TRUANT STUDENTS UNDERSTAND WHY SCHOOL ASK AND SHOULD BE IMPORTANT TO THEM SPECIFICALLY. IN JUNE OF THIS YEAR A MUNICIPAL JUDGE STATED OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS I HAVE ORDERED MANY CHILDREN TO TEABD LA FUENTE'S PROGRAM. BASED ON MY OBSERVATIONS FROM THE BENCH, LA FUENTE DESERVES A GREAT DEAL OF RESPECT, ADMIRATION AND APPRECIATION FROM YOUR COMMUNITY FOR DEVELOPING PROGRAMS THAT ACTUALLY HELP AT RISK STUDENTS. FOR OUR ADULT PROGRAM, IT IS A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE MEXICAN CONSUL LAT. IT IS AN ADULT LITERACY PROGRAM WHERE ADULTS LEARN TO READ AND WRITE IN THEIR NATIVE LANGUAGE. THEY RECEIVE A CERTIFICATE INDICATING THEY HAVE COMPLETED THEIR LERMENT AND MIDDLE SCHOOL SCHOOLING. AND NOW THEY'RE READY TO LEARN ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE. BE OUR ESL TEACHERS ARE TEACHER CERTIFIED AS WELL AS ESL CERTIFIED. ALL OUR PROGRAMS ARE BREAKING THE CYCLE OF DROPOUTS AND END LITERACY IN THE FAMILIES. FUENTE LEARNING CENTER IS REQUESTING THAT OUR PROGRAMS ARE ALSO INCLUDED IN YOUR HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AREA AND THAT THE CITY OF AUSTIN APPROVE THE PROPOSED BUDGET OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT. WE'RE ASKING FOR \$40,000, WHICH WILL HELP US CONTINUE WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING FOR SIX YEARS. WE ARE MAKING AN IMPACT. WE WILL BE MAKING AN I.. IMPACT OVER 675 STUDENTS WITH THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GOODNIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. FRANCES MARTINEZ, WELCOME.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND TOBY, COUNCILMEMBERS. I'VE

BEEN HERE A LONG TIME ALREADY, BUT ANYWAY, I JUST WANT TO LET Y'ALL KNOW THAT WE THE COMMUNITY WELCOMED LA FUENTE TO OUR COMMUNITY, AND WE HAVE SEEN THE RESULTS THAT HAS HAPPENED WITH THE STUDENTS, THE DROPOUT RATE, AND THAT WE'RE PROUD OF THEM. AND I'M HERE TO ALSO LET YOU KNOW TO INCLUDE US IN THE BUDGET FOR THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, THE BUDGET OF 2007 AND 2008. AND WE HAVE A LOT OF CARING PEOPLE UP THERE THAT SUPPORT THE PROGRAM AND HERE ARE SOME OF OUR BOARD MEMBERS IF THEY COULD STAND UP, PLEASE. THEY ALSO HAVE BEEN HERE ALL EVENING, SO I WOULD HOPE THAT YOU WOULD INCLUDE US IN YOUR BUDGET FOR \$40,000 OR MORE. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. MARTINEZ. COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: I WANTED TO ASK A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS IF YOU COULD COME BACK UP. IT'S A VERY UNUSUAL REQUEST THAT YOU'RE SEEKING \$40,000, BUT YOU SAID IT WILL PROVIDE PROGRAM FOG 600 -- WHAT DID YOU SAY?

FIR OF ALL, I AM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND HAVE BEEN FOR SIX YEARS WITHOUT ANY COMPENSATION. AND ALSO I HAVE SOME OF OUR TEACHERS THAT GET VERY LITTLE COMPENSATION. WE'RE ALL COMMITTED TO THE PROGRAMS THEMSELVES. SO WE CAN GO A LONG WAY WITH A LITTLE BIT.

Martinez: IS THIS 40,000-DOLLAR REQUEST FOR PROGRAMMING?

IT'S FOR THE PROGRAMMING, YES, SIR. I'LL GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. THE DL TA. WHILE WE HAVE A PARTNERSHIP WITH AISD, WE PAY FOR THE CERTIFIED TEACHER, AND THAT WILL COST US ABOUT 15,000. AND THE REASON WE DO THIS IS AGAIN WE'LL TAKE -- WE'LL DO WHATEVER IT TAKE TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE CHILDREN GET THEIR CREDITS AND GRADUATE. AND WE CAN MAKE IT EASIER. AND THEY SEEM TO FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE COMING TO -- AWAY FROM THE SCHOOL SETTING. SOME OF THESE KIDS ARE HARD TO REACH AND HARD TO TEACH STUDENTS. THEY'VE SORT OF

GIVEN UP. BUT WHEN THEY COME TO US THEY SEE BECAUSE IT'S A COMPUTER, THERE'S NO PEERS THAT THEY KNOW FROM THEIR SCHOOL, KID FROM DIFFERENT SCHOOLS, DIFFERENT CAMPUSES, SO IT'S THEM AND THE COMPUTER. AND THEN THE TEACHERS ARE THERE. WE HAVE AN EXCELLENT TEACHER FROM AISD. SO YES, IT'S AMAZING WHAT A LITTLE MONEY CAN GO A LONG WAY. WITH OUR SURVIVAL SKILLS, THE MONIES WILL GO TOWARDS BUYING SOME OF THE WORK BOOKS. EACH STUDENT WILL GET 10 WORK BOOKS AND IT'S 10 DIFFERENT TOPICS, SO EACH WEEK THEY COME IT WILL BE A DIFFERENT WORK BOOK BE AND THAT'S WHAT SOME OF THE MONIES WILL BE USED FOR. I HAVE A VERY DEDICATED VOLUNTEER STAFF THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO EAST AUSTIN AND HELPING ALL THE STUDENTS THAT WE CAN.

Martinez: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS DUSTIN LANIER. TO BE FOLLOWED BY SUSAN RANKIN, TO BE FOLLOWED BY GILBERT HICKS? MARY (INDISCERNIBLE). SORRY IF I MISPRONOUNCED THAT, MOTHER. DORCAS SEALS. DAVID KOFFMAN. IF YOU HEAR YOUR NAME, PLEASE STEP FORWARD. BE BE.

MY NAME IS BILL GERT HICK AND I'M THE PRINCIPAL AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN NORTH AUSTIN SOON TO BE OPENED. I SHOULD HAVE OPENED BY SAYING GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. I'M HERE TONIGHT ON BEHALF OF THE COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS PROGRAM AND TO LOBBY FOR FUNDING FOR THAT PROGRAM FOR OUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. IT WILL OPEN IN AUGUST WITH 550 STUDENTS. AND WE ARE A SCHOOL THAT WILL BE 98% FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH AND 100% MINORITY. THERE'S A LOT OF EXCITEMENT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, BUT THERE'S ALSO A LOT OF NEED IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR SERVICES TO WORK IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PARTNERSHIP THAT WE HAVE WITH YOU, WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER THAT WILL OPEN WITH THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. I HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE WITH COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOL. I HAVE WORKED WITH COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS AT WEBB MIDDLE SCHOOL, L.B.J. HIGH SCHOOL AND OAK SPRINGS ELEMENTARY

SCHOOL AS A TEACHER AND ADMINISTRATOR AT THE DISTRICT AND I HAVE NOTHING BUT POWERFUL STORIES FOR MY EXPERIENCE WATER AND WASTEWATER COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS. SOME OF THE ADVANTAGES TO HAVING A PROGRAM AT A SCHOOL ARE THAT COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS HELPS TO MEET BASIC NEEDS AND FAMILIES OF NEED. THEY ARE REALLY GOOD WITH PROACTIVE INTERVENTION AND CRISIS INTERVENTION AND WE KNOW THAT IF YOU MEET BASIC NEEDS AND YOU ARE PROACTIVE AND YOU ARE ABLE TO DEAL WITH CRISIS, THAT THAT MAKES THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT MORE -- THE VIEWRMENT MORE CONDUCIVE TO LEARN FG THOSE NEEDS ARE MET. CIS HAS A STRONG HISTORY OF BEING ABLE TO MOBILIZE HUMAN RESOURCES. THEY BRING IN A LOT OF INTERNS, VOLUNTEERS, MENTORS INTO A SCHOOL AND ARE ABLE TO ALLOW CHILDREN TO BE SUCCESSFUL. THE INDIVIDUAL AND GROUP COUNSELING THAT THEY PROVIDE IS JUST PHENOMENAL. I'VE SEEN SO MUCH SUCCESS, AND CHILDREN COME FROM POINT A TO POINT B AND BE BE SUCCESSFUL WITH COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS AS A VERY DIRECT COMPONENT OF THEIR SUCCESS. ONE EXAMPLE, AND YOU MIGHT THINK ABOUT -- WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT WRAPAROUND SERVICES, I LEARNED A LOT FROM WORKING WITH COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS AT EACH ONE OF THE ENVIRONMENTS, BUT SOMETHING AS SIMPLE AS A PARENT THAT COME IN AND REQUESTS A BAG OF DIAPERS. YOU THINK WHAT DOES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH HELPING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL KIDS, KIDS THAT ARE ALREADY IN SCHOOL? WELL, THAT PARENT CANNOT REALLY CONCENTRATE ON HELPING HER CHILDREN THAT WERE COMING TO SCHOOL EVERYDAY IN THIRD AND FOURTH GRADE BECAUSE SHE HAD CHILDREN AT HOME THAT SHE COULDN'T MEET THEIR BASIC NEEDS AND SO SHE COULDN'T MEET THE NEEDS OF THE CHILDREN COME TO GO SCHOOL. SO THEY HELP HER AT HELIUM AND SHE'S ABLE TO DO A BETTER JOB WORKING WITH HER CHILDREN AT COMING TO SCHOOL EVERYDAY AND THE STRESS LEVEL IN THE HOME GOES DOWN SIGNIFICANTLY AND EVERYONE BENEFITS FROM THAT. I WANT TO CLOSE WITH MY OWN VERSION OF WHAT CIS MEAN TO ME, THE ACCUSE..... ACRONYM, COMMITTED TO INSTITUTIZING SUCCESS. I URGE YOU TO STRONGLY CONSIDER THE FUNDING REQUEST THAT IS

BEFORE YOU. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

Cole: MAY I HAVE A QUICK QUESTION FOR MR. HICKS? MR. HICKS, I'M FAMILY WITH COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS AND THEIR WORK IN DROPOUT PREVENTION. WOULD YOU TELL US AGAIN HOW THEY MAKE THE DETERMINATION OF WHAT PARTICULAR SCHOOLS THEY'RE GOING TO ACTUALLY SERVICE?

I KNOW THEY HAVE A LOT OF FACTORS, BUT MOSTLY IT'S BASED ON THE ECONOMIC NEED. WE ARE 98 PERCENT FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH, WHICH RANKS US NUMBER FIVE, THE FIFTH NEEDIEST SCHOOL WITH REGARDS TO THAT CRITERIA. BUT ALSO THEY LOOK AT THE ACADEMIC NEEDS OF THE CHILDREN IN THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. FOR EXAMPLE, I'LL BE PULLING CHILDREN FROM THE BARBARA JORDAN AND WYNN COMMUNITIES, WHICH ALSO HAVE SIMILAR DEMOGRAPHICS AND THE SAME FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH LEVELS, SO THE NEED IS JUST REALLY GREAT IN THE COMMUNITY.

Cole: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: IF I'VE CALLED YOUR NAME, STEP RIGHT UP. THANK YOU.

GOOD EVENING OR GOODNIGHT OR FRAPZ GOOD MORNING PRETTY SOON. MY NAME IS DAVID KAUFMAN. I'M THE PROUD PRINCIPAL OF PEREZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LOCATE UNDERSTAND SOUTHEAST AUSTIN. LIKE MR. HICKS BEFORE ME, OUR SCHOOL SERVE A LARGE NUMBER OF FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH STUDENTS. WE HAVE 89% OF OUR STUDENTS ARE IN THAT CATEGORY AND 94 PERCENT OF OUR STUDENTS ARE MINORITY STUDENTS. AND I AM ALSO HERE TO URGE YOU TO SUPPORT THE FUNDING FOR CIS FOR COMMUNITIES IN SCHOOLS. IT IS A PROGRAM THAT HELP SCHOOLS TO FOCUS ON STUDENTS' ACADEMIC NEED BY PROVIDING FOR THEIR SOCIAL AND HEALTH NEEDS IN A WAY THAT CAN HAPPEN AT THE SCHOOL SITE OR CAN CONNECT FIREFIGHTERS SERVICES AT THE SCHOOL SITE. AT OUR SCHOOL, MANY OF OUR PARENTS RELY ON PUBLIC

TRANSPORTATION TO MOVE AROUND THE CITY. AND SO IT'S DIFFICULT EVEN WHEN OUR COUNSELOR MIGHT GIVE A REFERRAL TO A FAMILY, IT'S SOMETIMES DIFFICULT FOR THEM TO GET TO WHERE THOSE SERVICES ARE. AND CIS OFFERS ONE MORE LAYER OF SUPPORT THAT CAN ALLOW STUDENTS TO RECEIVE THOSE SERVICES WHERE THEY ARE OR TO FIND WAYS TO GET THERE. I ALSO HAD EXPERIENCE WORKING WITH COMMUNITIES IN SCHOOLS FIRST IN HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT AS A TEACHER 15 YEARS AGO, AND NEXT AS A PRINCIPAL OF BECKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. AND CIRS SPEAK TO THE DIFFERENCE THAT IT MAKES TO THE STUDENTS AND TO THE FAMILIES OF OUR STUDENTS. THE MAIN FORCE BEHIND IT IS A SOCIAL WORKER WHO HELPS TO COORDINATE AND LEVERAGE SOCIAL SERVICES AT THE SCHOOL AND THAT PERSON IS ON-SITE, BUT THEY CAN BRING IN ALL SORTS OF OTHER RESOURCES. SOME EXAMPLES ARE COUNSELING, INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING, GROUP COUNSELING, FAMILY COUNSELING. IT GOES WELL BEYOND WHAT OUR COUNSELORS IN THE SCHOOL WHO ARE ALREADY OVERWORKED WITH PROVIDE. CLOTHES CLOSETS, FOOD PANTRY, FAMILY OUTREACH, CLASSES FOR FAMILIES LIKE ESL, PARENTING, HEALTH, EXTRA FIELD TRIPS FOR KIDS WHO MOST NEED THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPERIENCE OTHER THINGS IN THE COMMUNITY. SERVICE PROJECTS FOR KIDS AND FAMILIES. HEALTH MATERIALS, HELPING WITH FAMILY COPING WITH LICE AND OTHER THINGS THAT ARE INTERRUPTING THEIR CHILDREN'S OPPORTUNITIES FOR HEALTH AND STUDY IN THE HOME. SO THERE ARE SO MANY OTHER THINGS THAT THIS PROGRAM PROVIDES FOR THE SCHOOLS AND I URGE YOU TO CONTINUE FUNDING. THE EARLIER THIS EVENING WE HAD A CONVERSATION AND WERE TALKING ABOUT WHY TEACHERS TEACH AT LOW INCOME SCHOOLS AND SCHOOLS THAT HAVE GREAT CHALLENGES. AND WHAT KEEPS THEM THERE BECAUSE THAT IS A CHALLENGE, THE TURNOVER OF TEACHERS. ONE OF THE MAIN THINGS THAT I THINK KEEPS TEACHERS WORKING IN CHALLENGING SITUATIONS ARE PROGRAMS LIKE COMMUNITY IN SCHOOLS THAT HELP TO SATISFY SOME OF THOSE SOCIAL AND HEALTH NEEDS OF CHILDREN SO THAT TEACHERS CAN FOCUS ON WHAT THEY DO BEST. SO I REALLY URGE YOU AGAIN TO CONSIDER

FUNDING THE CI SFKS PROGRAMS AND HELPING THE DISTRICT TO MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR CHILDREN WHO DESERVE NOTHING LESS. AND WE ALSO HAVE A PARENT WITH US TONIGHT WHO IS GOING TO SPEAK ABOUT THE SAME TOPIC. THANK YOU.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS MARIA AND I'M A PARENT AT PEREZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. AND BEING THERE, IT'S BEEN OUR FIRST YEAR THERE AND I'LL GO A LITTLE BIT BACK. I CAME TO THE STATES WHEN I WAS SEVEN YEARS OLD AND I GREW UP IN A VERY NEEDY FAMILY WHERE MY MOM HAD TO WORK TWO OR THREE JOBS AND WASN'T THERE FOR ME. IN THE SCHOOL THAT I WAS IN WHEN I WAS GROWING UP, I WAS FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO HAVE SOMEBODY CARE FOR ME. AND IT WAS SOMETHING LIKE COMMUNITIES IN SCHOOLS. MY MOM, LIKE I SAID, WORKED THREE JOBS, TWO JOBS, SO JUST -- I THINK THAT I CAN REMEMBER BACK -- AND HER NAME WAS MS. ZUNUGA. I WAS IN FIRST GRADE AND SHE WAS A TEACHER. AND SHE WAS ALSO HELPING COUNSELING. SO SHE MADE A BIG DIFFERENCE IN MY LIFE. AS A MOM RIGHT NOW, AS IS SINGLE PARENT I HAVE TWO KID AND I DON'T WANT TO BE WORKING TWO OR THREE JOBS. AND IF I KNOW THAT A LOT OF PAIRN IN OUR -- A LOT OF PARENTS IN OUR COMMUNITY ARE IN NEED OF THIS SERVICE BECAUSE IF HAVING SOMEBODY IN COMMUNITIES IN SCHOOLS IS GOING TO HELP OUR COMMUNITY HELP OUR CHILDREN, THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IS AS PARENTS WE HAVE INTEREST IN OUR CHILDREN'S EDUCATION AND THE CHILDREN ARE OUR FUTURE CITIZENS. SO I THINK IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT BECAUSE COMMUNITIES IN SCHOOLS WILL PROVIDE SOMEBODY WHO WOULD BE ON CAMPUS FULL TIME, AND THEY HAVE A LOT OF CRISIS INTERVENTION. I HAVE A LOT OF FRIENDS AND FAMILIES, AND AS A PARENT I SEE THAT THERE'S A LOT OF NEED IN OUR SCHOOL. MANY PEOPLE CANNOT ADVOCATE FOR THEMSELVES BECAUSE THEY ARE SPANISH SPEAKING AND THERE IS A LOT OF NEED. SO I THINK BY USING THIS PRAK IT WILL PROVIDE A LOT OF SOCIAL SERVICES THAT OUR FAMILIES NEED BECAUSE ALL THESE FAMILIES ARE NEW TO THE COMMUNITY TOO. AND THEY DON'T HAVE A VAGUE IDEA OF WHERE TO GO TO GET THESE SERVICES, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE THEY'RE ALSO

RECENT IMMIGRANTS AND THEY DON'T WANT TO CAUSE ANY WAVES. SO HAVING SOMEBODY HERE AT PEREZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL FROM COMMUNITY IN SCHOOLS WILL HELP US REACH OUR GOAL AND WILL HELP SUPPORT OUR SCHOOL. AND I DIDN'T PREPARE A FANCY SPEECH, BUT I JUST REALLY THINK THAT PARENT INVOLVEMENT IS REALLY IMPORTANT, BUT SOMETIMES PARENTS ARE NOT THERE FOR THEIR KIDS, BUT COMMUNITIES IN SCHOOLS WILL PROVIDE A SOCIAL WORKER SERVICES THAT CAN HELP CLOSE THAT GAP BETWEEN FAMILIES AND STUDENTS. AND I THINK IT'S SOMETHING VERY IMPORTANT THAT OUR SCHOOL NEEDS AS BEING A NEW SCHOOL THIS YEAR AND BEING IN NEED. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER SIGNED UP AT LEAST IS NELSON PETE. CYNTHIA VALDEZ. KENNETH COMBS. HE LEFT US WRITTEN TESTIMONY, I NOTICE. RITA GONZALEZ GARZA. GUS RODRIGUEZ. FRANCES McENTIRE. SUSANA ALMANZA. JOHNNIE LIMON. JOHNNIE TOWNSEND. JOHN McBRATH. I THINK THERE'S A TYPO THERE. JOHN, SORRY. HOW DID I DO ON THE PRONUNCIATION? IT'S LATE.

BETTER THAN MOST. MY NAME IS SCOOT AND I WANT TO SAY HELLO TO THE MAYOR WYNN, CITY COUNCIL AND THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE THIS LATE. I'VE BEEN A CITIZEN OF AUSTIN SINCE 1964. WE MOVED HERE WHEN I WAS 17 TO GO TO U.T. I WAS ONE OF THE FORTUNATE PEOPLE THAT DIDN'T HAVE TO LEAVE. I ALSO HAVE LIVED IN THE OLD MILLWOOD NEIGHBORHOOD OFF OF PARMER AND MOPAC WITH MY WIFE AND FAMILY SINCE 1982. AND I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF THIS ROOM SINCE 3:30 THIS AFTERNOON WITH Y'ALL, SO I APPRECIATE Y'ALL'S PERSEVERANCE. AND I'D LIKE TO JUST SAY SOMETHING REAL QUICK ABOUT THE -- MR. RHODES WHO GAVE A PRESENTATION THIS AFTERNOON. HE WAS TALKING ABOUT SOME SLIGHT NEGATIVE HE HAD IN HIS PERFORMANCE MEASURES. I GUESS WHAT YOU CALDWELL COUNTY THEM THAT. I THINK HE AND HIS STAFF DO AN EXCELLENT JOB IN SOLID WASTE. THEY'RE HARD WORKING GUYS. AND I THINK THE BULKY COLLECTION AND THE BRUSH COLLECTION IS A REAL SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF AUSTIN. I THINK YOU OUGHT TO CONTINUE WITH THAT. ALSO I DON'T KNOW WHO THIS LADY WAS OVER HERE WHO MADE THIS LONG PRESENTATION TO Y'ALL, BUT SHE DESERVE A

PROMOTION. SHE'S REALLY GOOD, THAT LADY THAT DID THE LAST HEARING.

Mayor Wynn: MS. DOMAS.

SHE KNOWS HER STUFF. ANYWAY, I'M REALLY HERE TO TALK ABOUT I GUESS THE TAX RATE. AND I'M OPPOSED TO THE CITY MANAGER'S SETTING OF THE TAX RATE AT THE ROLLBACK RATE. ESSENTIALLY THE EFFECTIVE TAX RATE, THE ROLLBACK RATE BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S WHAT SHE'S PROPOSING. I DO SUPPORT FUNDING FOR THE CORE CITY SERVICES, PUBLIC SAFETY, FIRE AND POLICE, LIBRARIES, THOSE KIND OF THINGS. I THINK THAT I'D LIKE Y'ALL TO REALLY LOOK AT SOME OF THE THINGS THAT ARE NEED TO HAVE OR WISH ON TO HAVE. I'M GOING TO SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENTS LATER IN THE PROCESS. BUT I THINK THROOR SOME THINGS IN THERE THAT ARE NICE TO HAVE AS A TAXPAYER WHO PLANS ON STAYING IN MY HOUSE ANOTHER 10 OR 15 YEARS. I'D LIKE TO NOT SEE MY WIFE AND I PAYING TAXES. I THINK THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE WHO DON'T WANT TO PAY TAXES FOR THINGS THAT ARE NOT REALLY CORE CITY SERVICES. ONE THICK I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO -- ONE THING I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER ALSO IS WE HAVE A BED TAX THAT TAXES PEOPLE WHO COME HERE FROM OUT OF TOWB. I'D LIKE TO PROPOSE WHAT THEY HAVE IN DENVER, WHICH WOULD BE A HEAD TAX ON PEOPLE WHO WORK IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, BUT DON'T LIVE HERE. BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THEIR DEMANDS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE, FOR EXTRA ELECTRICITY, SEWER SERVICE, WATER AND EVERYTHING, WHEN THEY COME TO WORK HERE, INCREASES THE NEED FOR OUR INFRASTRUCTURE. AND I THINK THEY SHOULD BE PAYING FOR IT. [BUZZER SOUNDS] SO I'D LIKE FOR Y'ALL TO CONSIDER THAT PARTICULAR OPTION FOR RAISING REVENUE LIKE A HEAD TAX LIKE THEY DO IN DENVER FOR COMMUTERS WHO COME TO AUSTIN AND WORK AND USE OUR CITY SERVICES. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: YOU GO BY SCOTTIE OR JOHN?

SCOTT. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS] I'VE ASKED A NUMBER OF THE COMMISSIONERS OR ANIMAL ADVISORY COMMISSION BOARD

MEMBERS ABOUT THIS. THEY WEREN'T AWARE THAT THIS CHANGE WAS TAKING PLACE. I WAS HERE THE OTHER EVENING AND SPOKE BRIEFLY WITH DORINDA, WHO SEEMED TO INDICATE THAT THIS INFORMATION WAS AVAILABLE AND WOULD NOT BE A PROBLEM TO REINSERT, I MAY BE OVERSTATING IT. WE WERE JUST KIND OF TALKING IN PASSING. BUT THE NO KILL MILLENIUM WAS A GRASSROOTS EFFORT BY A LARGE NUMBER OF CITIZENS WHO NORMALLY AREN'T ALL THAT ACTIVE, BUT THEY WERE AL... GALVANIZED AROUND THIS. SO I THINK IF IT'S GOING TO BE DROPPED FROM THE BUDGET AS PERFORMANCE MEASURES THAT IT DESERVES A LITTLE DISCUSSION. IF IT'S GOING TO BE DROPPED, DROP IT NEXT YEAR AFTER WE'VE TALKED ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT AND UNDERSTAND CHANGES, ARE ABLE TO TRACK CHANGES. AND THAT'S MY REQUEST.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

FOR WHAT IT'S WORTH, IF THAT GOT DROPPED, THAT ONE GOT PAST ME. WE ACTUALLY HAVE A PROCESS FOR BEING SURE THAT YOU DON'T CHANGE YOUR MEASURES FROM YEAR TO YEAR BECAUSE WE TRY TO BE SURE WE KEEP TREND LINES. AND IT'S SO VERY EASY TO CHANGE EACH YEAR SO THAT YOU NEVER ACTUALLY CAN KEEP AN HISTORICAL TREND. THEY'RE TRYING ACTUALLY TO GO SEE IF WE CAN TAKE A LOOK THAT THE VERY QUICKLY BECAUSE I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DROPPED SO THAT'S EITHER AN ERROR OR WE'RE LOOKING IN THE WRONG PLACE, BUT I'LL GET AN ANSWER FOR YOU ON THAT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I APPRECIATE IT.

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM.

Dunkerley: I KNOW THE DATA IS THERE.

Mayor Wynn: IS LOOKS LIKE THERE'S SO FEW PEOPLE HERE, HOW ABOUT IS THERE ANY CITIZEN HERE WHO WOULD LIKE TO GIVE US SOME TESTIMONY ON OUR -- NO, PAUL, YOU DON'T COUNT. ON OUR PROPOSED 2007-2008 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET. GEBL, A NUMBER OF FOLK SIGNED UP WISH TO GO SPEAK EARLIER, BUT THEY'LL PROBABLY COME BACK IN TWO WEEKS. PLEASE COME FORWARD. YES, MA'AM. THAT'S WHY

WE'RE HERE.

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL, MY NAME IS SUE ANNE CAMPBELL. AND I HAVE BEEN A PRODUCER AT PUBLIC ACCESS TELEVISION FOR ABOUT EIGHT YEARS. AND I'M ALSO ON THE PRODUCERS COUNCIL AT PUBLIC ACCESS TELEVISION. AND THE LAST TWO YEARS HAVE BROUGHT WITH IT SOME VERY DISTURBING CHANGES TO THE OPERATION OF OUR PUBLIC ACCESS TELEVISION STATION. I FEEL THAT MYSELF AND MANY PRODUCERS HAVE -- I'M TILL THERE, BUT MANY PRODUCERS HAVE STOPPED PRODUCING SHOWS THERE. AND I FEEL IT'S BECAUSE THE CONTRACT HAS BEEN VIOLATED AND PRODUCERS TREATED UNFAIRLY. THEY'VE BEEN GETTING RID OF PRODUCERS WHO ASK FOR A CHANGE IN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES BY SCRUTINIZING THEIR SHOWS, LOOKING FOR COPYRIGHT VIETIONS, FUZZY VIDEO OR CONTENT, INPROAVMENT AND THEY'VE ALSO BEEN TARGETING MEMBERS OF THE PRODUCERS COUNCIL AS WELL FOR THIS TYPE OF TREATMENT. THERE ARE THREE ON THE COUNCIL WHO HAVE HAD THEIR ACCOUNTS FLAGGED FOR LITTLE OR NO REASON, BONUS REASONS MADE UP -- BOGUS REASONS MADE UP BECAUSE WE HAVE ASKED THAT ROAD BROOKS BE REMOVED FROM PRODUCTION AND THAT PRODUCERS.S BE TREATED FAIRLY. THE EDIT BAYS AND THE STUDIOS HAVE BEEN CONTINUALLY REWIRED SO THAT THE PRODUCERS WHO USE THEM MUST ASK EQUIPMENT PERSONNEL FOR HELP AND THEN THEY CLASSIFY THE PRODUCERS WHO ASK FOR HELP AS INCOMPETENT AND REFUSE TO RENEW THEIR PRODUCER ID UNLESS THEY TAKE A REFRESHER COURSE. AND THEY'VE ALSO BEEN TELLING PRODUCERS THAT THEY'RE THE ONLY ONE HAVING THE PROBLEM INTERFACING WITH MANAGEMENT, AND EVERYBODY ELSE IS HAPPY, SO THERE MUST BE SOMETHING WRONG WITH YOU. AND THIS IS VERY EASY TO DO BECAUSE THERE'S NO CONTACT INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE PRODUCERS COUNCIL AND IT MAKES IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR ALL THE PRODUCERS TO COMMUNICATE WITH EACH OTHER T MAKES IT DIFFICULT FOR THEM TO HELP EACH OTHER WITH THEIR SHOWS. AND AS THE POPULATION OF PRODUCERS DWINDLES AND THE HALLS BECOME LIKE A MORGUE, IT'S ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO FIND PEOPLE TO HELP CREW CERTAIN SHOWS. THEY'VE

ALSO -- THESE CIEWS BOOUSES, LIKE -- THESE ABUSES ARE UNCALLED FOR AND TOTALLY UNHEARD OF IN THE HISTORY OF THE MANAGEMENT OF OUR PRODUCER ACCESS TELEVISION STATION. AND I FEEL LIKE THE CITY CONTRACT IS BEING VIOLATED BECAUSE PRIME TIME SLOTS ARE BEING TAKEN UP WITH SHOWS FROM OUTSIDE THE AUSTIN AREA WHILE PRODUCERS WITHIN THE AUSTIN AREA ARE WAITING TO GET THESE SLOTS. ALSO, I FEEL LIKE THE VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT WITH THE CITY IS HAPPENING BECAUSE THEY'RE FAILING TO REMOVE THE ROADBLOCKS TO PRODUCTION AS THEY HAVE BEEN ASKED TO DO. THEY'RE VIOLATING THE CONTRACT BY FAILING TO MAINTAIN EQUIPMENT PROPERLY. [BUZZER SOUNDS] AND SUSPENDING PRODUCERS WITHOUT NOTIFICATION. A PRODUCER FINDS OUT WHEN THEY GO UP TO RESERVE EQUIPMENT THAT THEIR ACCOUNT HAS BEEN SUS PEBDED. AND THEN MESSES UP THEIR SHOOT. THIS IS VERY UNFAIR. THEREFORE I RECOMMEND THAT THE CITY OPEN A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SO THAT OTHER NONPROFITS MAY BID ON A MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC ACCESS TELEVISION. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE TONIGHT. AGAIN, ANY OTHER CITIZENS THAT WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS US IN THIS PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THIS 2007-2008 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET. HEARING -- SO WE ACTUALLY CLOSE THESE PUBLIC HEARING, MR. SMITH? OR IS THIS A CONTINUATION? I GUESS IT'S BY INDIVIDUAL PUBLIC HEARING, I GUESS. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO CLOSE THIS PUBLIC HEARING. MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN, SECONDED BY COMEARN. ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. THAT LEAVE US WITH OUR FINAL ITEM, COUNCIL, THAT'S ITEM NUMBER 37, AN ITEM FROM COUNCIL REGARDING THE CONCEPT OF A PROPERTY DAMAGE FUND. I GUESS I'LL RECOGNIZE COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN.

McCracken: MAYOR, THIS ITEM SIMPLY PROVIDES BROAD DIRECTION TO STAFF TO COME BACK TO US IN THE COMING MONTH WITH A SPECIFIC PROPOSAL ON A WAY TO CREATE SOMETHING LIKE A SELF-INSURANCE FUND WITHIN THE AUSTIN WATER UTILITY. THE DIRECTION IS INTENTIONALLY BROAD RIGHT NIEWND STAFF IS GOING TO COME BACK TO

US WITH SOMETHING SPECIFIC, WITH YOU WE NEEDED TO GIVE THEM -- BUT WE NEEDED TO GIVE THEM SPECIFIC DIRECTION TO DO THAT TONIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM.

Dunkerley: AND I HAVE SPOKEN WITH COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken EARLIER. I REALLY THINK THE DIRECTION IS A LITTLE BIT TOO BROAD. AND I'D LIKE US TO AT LEAST GIVE THE STAFF ENOUGH DIRECTION SO THEY'LL HAVE SOME IDEA OF WHAT PARAMETERS TO BRING BACK. A COUPLE OF THING THAT I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE HAD THINK ABOUT IS AFTER TAKING A LOOK AT THE RESOLUTION ITSELF, IT JUST WASN'T CLEAR WHETHER THE FUND THAT WOULD BE SET UP BY THE WATER UTILITY CUSTOMERS WHO EXPERIENCE WATER OR WASTEWATER DAMAGE CAUSED BY THE WATER UTILITY OR ANOTHER CITY OF AUSTIN INFRASTRUCTURE OR PERSONNEL, THAT PHRASE TO ME, DEPENDING ON WHAT MEANT, WOULD COVER GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES TOO, LIKE THE FIRE HYDRANT OR LIKE A MOTEL OR SOMETHING OF THAT -- OR LIKE A POT HOLE OR SOMETHING OF THAT ORDER. AND WHEN I LOOKED AT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CLAIMS THAT WERE MADE IN I GUESS THE LAST THREE AND A HALF YEARS OR SO, IT WAS OVER FOUR MILLION DOLLARS. AND THOSE WERE CLAIMS THAT WERE ACTUALLY MADE. AND THAT DOESN'T COUNT THE CLAIMS THAT PEOPLE CALLED IN ABOUT AND ONCE THEY LEARNED ABOUT OUR RULES THEY SIMPLY DIDN'T MAKE A FORMAL CLAIM. NOR DOES IT ACCOUNT FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO KNOW OUR RULES AND DIDN'T MAKE A CLAIM, BUT WOULD IF WE HAD A FUND. SO IT LOOKS LIKE I THINK MY SUGGESTION, IF YOU'RE -- IF THE COUNCIL IS GOING TO LOOK AT A FUND, IS TO REALLY NARROWLY FOCUS IT AND MAYBE RESTRICT IT IF YOU WANT TO, TO THE WATER AND WASTEWATER AREA, AND I THINK WE REALLY NEED TO CLARIFY WITH MUCH THE STAFF, WE TALKING ABOUT ONLY THOSE WHO PAY INTO THE FUND WOULD BE HE WILL ELIGIBLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE FUND? IN ORDER, IF YOU DON'T PAY, CAN YOU PLAY? AND SO THOSE ARE THE KIND OF THING THAT IF YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT I MEAN, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO GIVE THE STAFF SOME APPROVAL TO -- SOME DIRECTION SO THAT THEY CAN COME BACK WITH SOMETHING A LITTLE BIT MORE

MEANINGFUL. IN GENERAL, I'M REALLY PROBABLY NOT IN FAVOR OF THIS TYPE OF FUND. I THINK IT RAISES EXPECTATIONS, DEPENDING ON HOW YOU SET IT, YOU MAY OR MAY NOT GET VERY MUCH MONEY. AND IF YOU HAVE IT WHERE HAVE YOU TO OPT OUT, YOU'RE GOING TO GET A LOT OF MONEY BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE LAZY.... LAZY OR MAYBE THEY DON'T REALIZE THAT THEY CAN OPT OUT OF THAT FUND. AND IF YOU MAKE IT TOUGHLY VOLUNTARY WHERE THEY HAVE TO OPT IN, I THINK YOU WILL HAVE VERY, VERY LITTLE MONEY. SO IN SOME WAYS I THINK IT RAISES EXPECTATIONS THAT WE PROBABLY AREN'T GOING TO BE ABLE TO FULFILL. SO I REALLY HOPE THAT ALL OF YOU WILL THINK ABOUT IT AND PERHAPS GIVE THE CITY MANAGER SOME LITTLE BIT MORE REFINED DIRECTION SO THAT WE'RE -- THEY'RE JUST NOT SCATTER SHOOTING ALL OVER THE WORLD. THOSE WERE MY COMMENTS.

McCracken: MAYOR PRO TEM, I DIDN'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT THIS IS THAT VAGUE. THE INFORMATION WE'VE RECEIVED IS REALLY WHETHER WE CAN STRUCTURE IT AS AN OPT OUT OR AN OPT IN. I AGREE IF IT'S AN OPT IN, THEN WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A VERY ANEMIC EFFORT. BUT THE INTENTION OF THIS IS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE -- I THINK ALL OF US ON THE COUNCIL AND THE PUBLIC CREWVIEW THIS AS THE RIGHT AND FAIR THING TO DO IF ONE OF OUR PIPE BREAK AND SOMEONE'S HOME IS FLOODED. AT THE SAME TIME, WE ARE FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE NOT WAIVING OUR SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY TO THE TAXPAYERS AS WELL. SO WE HAVE TO FIND BALANCE. WHAT STAFF IS WORKING ON IS A PROPOSED -- SOMETHING SIMILAR TO THE FEDERAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM, BUT IT WOULD BE -- IF IT'S WITH THE 188,000 WATER TIGHT UTILITY CUSTOMERS, EVEN FOUR MILLION OVER FOUR YEARS, THAT LOOK LIKE --

LET ME CLARIFY THAT. THAT WAS FOUR MILLION TOTAL CLAIM OVER THE WHOLE CITY. THAT'S INCLUDING NOT JUST WATER AND WASTEWATER, BUT THE WHOLE CITY. WATER AND WASTEWATER IS PROBABLY ABOUT CLOSE TO \$700,000. FOR THAT SAME PERIOD.

McCracken: SO WE'RE LOOKING AT MAYBE IF EVERYBODY WERE PARTICIPATING, YOU'D BE LOOKING AT TWO BUCKS A

YEAR OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT TO BE PROTECTED.

Dunkerley: THAT'S WHY I WAS WANTING YOU TO ANYWAY ROW....NARROW IT. DON'T OPEN IT UP TO ALL THE -- THE OTHER ISSUE I HAD WITH IT IS THAT WATER AND WASTEWATER SCURPZ ARE NOT THE SAME AS OUR CITY OF AUSTIN RESIDENTS. BECAUSE WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE AREA IS MUCH LARGER THAN THE CITY. SO I DIDN'T THINK IT WAS LOGICAL TO HAVE A CITY RESIDENT WHO DOESN'T PARTICIPATE IN THIS PROGRAM BEING ABLE TO GO OVER AND MAKE A CLAIM AND GET PAID.

McCracken: I THINK THAT'S A FINE IDEA TOO. LOOK, IT STRIKE ME THAT THE FUNDAMENTAL ISSUE IS GOING TO BE THAT IF THIS PROGRAM IS IN THE FORM OF AN OPT OUT PROGRAM, IS GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT PROVIDES MEANINGFUL PROTECTION AT AN INCREDIBLY LOW PRICE. SO IT WILL AN GREAT DEAL FOR THE RATE.....RATEPAYERS. BUT WE HAVE TO HAVE THAT ANALYSIS STILL FROM STAFF AND THEY'RE WORKING ON IT. WE'LL HAVE THAT COME BACK SHORTLY. BUT TO EMBARK ON THIS WE NEEDED TO GIVE DIRECTION IS WHAT WE WANTED TO DO.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER COLE.

Cole: MAYOR, I'VE LOOKED AT THE PROPOSAL AND I UNDERSTAND IT. MY MAIN CONCERN IS THAT WE DIRECT STAFF THAT WE ARE NOT THE PRIMARY INSURERS AND THAT WE ARE ONLY SECONDARY TO AN EXISTING HOMEOWNER OR FLOOD INSURANCE POLICY. AND THAT WE'RE ABLE TO DETERMINE THAT. THAT WE'RE NOT JUST RELYING ON THE REPRESENTATION OF A CLAIMANT, BUT THAT WE GET THAT INFORMATION UP FRONT.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: COUNCILMEMBER COLE, I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT. I DO SUPPORT THIS MEASURE, BUT I ALSO HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT HOW WHEN YOU'RE DEEG WITH CONSUMPTION BEHAVIOR AND PEOPLE MAKING DECISIONS, ECONOMIC DECISIONS, IF WE HAVE A FUN WHERE PEOPLE ARE ABLE TO STAY IN THE PROGRAM WITHOUT OPTING OUT, WOULD THERE BE AN ECONOMIC INCENTIVE TO THEN NOT

BUY AS MUCH INSURANCE AS THEY KNOW THEY'RE GOING TO GET AN X AMOUNT OF DOLLARS SHOULD THERE BE A CLAIM? DO WE LIMIT THIS ONLY TO PEOPLE WITH INSURANCE OR WHO HAVE -- WHO CAN SHOW THAT AND THEN THIS IS SUPPLEMENTAL ON TOP OF THAT? AND WHAT ABOUT THOSE THAT CAN'T AFFORD INSURANCE. AND THOSE ARE PROBABLY THE ONES THAT ARE MOST IN ECONOMIC NEED SNO I'M NOT CLEAR MYSELF AS TO HOW THIS PROGRAM WILL BE FRAMED, ESPECIALLY LOOKING AT THE LIST OF DAMAGES AND CLAIMS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE OVER THE PAST YEARS. THERE ARE SOME CLAIM THAT ARE HUGE AND SOME THAT ARE -- WERE PAID OUT BECAUSE IT HAD TO DO WITH DAMAGING A SPLIRL LINE IN A LAWN AND THING LIKE THAT. BUT IT'S CLEAR THAT THERE'S POTENTIAL FOR THIS REALLY GETTING OUT OF HAND. AND I DON'T..... I DON'T WANT TO CREATE THAT FALSE EXPECTATION THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE THE FIRST INSURER FOR THE CITY RESIDENCES HERE. AND ESPECIALLY THE BUSINESSES BECAUSE THEIR CLAIMS CAN BE HUGE IN TERMS OF LOST REVENUE. AND IF WE'RE GOING TO DO THRKS I THINK WE SHOULD LIMIT THIS TO RESIDENCES AND LOOK AT A MECHANISM FOR AN OPT OUT PERIOD, TRY THAT OUT. BECAUSE I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE NOT HAVING SUPPORTING SOME SORT OF FREE RIDER BEHAVIOR WHERE OKAY, I DON'T PAY IN, BUT I BENEFIT FROM IT, SO I MIGHT AS WELL JUST NOT PAY IN AND I'LL GET MY CLAIM COVERED BY THE CITY UP TO APPEAR THOUSAND DOLLARS OR 10,000, WHATEVER IT IS WE FOIGHT. I THINK THIS REQUIRES SOME CAREFUL ANALYSIS AND THINKING ABOUT HOW THIS IS GOING TO CHANGE PEOPLE'S VIEW OF WHAT TO ENSURE AND WHATNOT TO ENSURE WITH THIS PROGRAM IN PLACE. SO WHATEVER WE DO, I'D REALLY RECOMMEND THAT WE TRY AND LIMIT IT AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. DO IT AS A PILOT, COME BACK AND THEN SEE HOW THIS IS GOING TO ACTUALLY PLAY OUT IN AUSTIN.

McCracken: I AGREE. I THINK THE PROCESS IS PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD. IF ONE OF OUR PIPES BREAKS AND FLOODS OUR HOUSE AND YOU'RE PAYING INTO THE FUND, YOU'RE GOING TO BE COVERED FOR UP TO, SAY, \$20,000 THAT YOUR INSURANCE DID NOT COVER. AND THAT'S SHOOTING IT PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD, A SOLUTION TO A

PROBLEM THAT IS NOT JUST AFFECTED THE WHITES, IT'S AFFECTED OTHER FAMILIES IN THIS COMMUNITY OVER SEVERAL YEARS SINCE THIS LIST SHOWS. AND SO ONE OF THE THING IS WE WANTED STAFF TO GIVE US A RECOMMENDATION THAT WAS OBVIOUSLY WHERE THE DOLLARS SINKED UP WITH THE PROBLEM THAT THE FOLK HAVE EXPERIENCED WHEN ONE OF OUR PIPE BREAK.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: I UNDERSTAND ALL THE MAYOR PRO TEM'S CONCERNS AND ALL THE COUNCILMEMBER KIM'S, AND I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE PROPOSITION THAT WE MIGHT BE CONSIDERING A PROGRAM THAT YOU HAD TO OPT OUT OF. HOW MANY PEOPLE -- A LOT OF PEOPLE, AND MYSELF, FOR EXAMPLE, MY UTILITY BILL IS PAID AUTOMATICALLY. MOST OF THE TIME I NEVER LOOK AT IT. I THINK IT'S GOING TO HAVE TO BE WAY TOO COMPLICATED. I THINK IT WILL GET OUT OF CONTROL. AND I CAN'T IMAGINE THE STRUCTURE OF AN OARNS THAT I COULD SUPPORT, SO I'LL VOTE AGAINST THIS.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE ITEM.

McCracken: MAYOR, I'LL MOVE APPROVAL OF ITEM 37 WITH THE ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION THAT THE PROPOSAL THAT THE STAFF BRINGS BACK TO US BE LIMITED TO CUSTOMERS AT THE AUSTIN WATER UTILITY.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION ON ITEM NUMBER 37.

Kim: I HAVE A QUESTION. I'LL SECOND THE MOTION. MAYOR THANK YOU.

Kim: IS THIS ALSO FOR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS AS WELL? OR IS THIS RESIDENTIAL ONLY?

McCracken: MY THOUGHT WAS THE STAFF COULD COME BACK WITH AN ANALYSIS OF THE PROS AND CONS OF WHETHER OR NOT TO DO THAT.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION AND A SOAKED THE TABLE TO APPROVE ITEM NUMBER 37 WITH THE ADDITIONAL CALF..... CAVEAT.

FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER MARTINEZ.

Martinez: BRIEFLY, MAYOR, THANK YOU. I WANTED TO SAY I SUPPORT THE POLICY CONCEPT. THE REASON I'M SNOARGHT MOVING FORWARD IS BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE AN ORDINANCE IN FRONT OF US. IF MY QUESTIONS AND THE CITIZENS QUESTIONS AREN'T ANSWERED SATISFACTORILY N. AN ORDINANCE, THEN I'LL BE VOTING AGAINST IT WHEN IT COMES BEFORE US. BUT RIGHT NOW I FEEL LIKE WE NEED TO ALLOW STAFF TO GENERATE THE DATA THAT WE NEED TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS AND PROVIDE A PROPOSAL THAT WE CAN WORK WITH. SO I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS, BUT WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT WE STILL HAVE AN ORDINANCE THAT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT AND GO THROUGH A FULL PUBLIC PROCESS. AND IT MAY NOT TURN OUT THAT WE CAN COME UP WITH A FAIR ORDINANCE FOR EVERYONE.

Mayor Wynn: UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: WOULD IT BE LEGAL TO HAVE AN ORDINANCE STRUCTURED THAT WAS RETROACTIVE, PAYMENTS RETROACTIVE?

THE LAW DEPARTMENT SENT COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO AND TALKED ABOUT THIS CONCEPT, HAS BEEN LOOKING AT SEVERAL MODELS THAT MIGHT BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE THE CONCEPT LEGALLY. IT GOES FROM PERHAPS A ONE END OF THE RANGE OF TOTALLY VOLUNTARY PROGRAM THAT IS PROSPECTIVE, NOT RETROACTIVE, THROUGH SOME OTHER MODELS AND UP TO A STRUCTURE THAT REALLY JUST MAKES SOME ADJUSTMENTS IN WHAT STATE REGULATIONS LET US DO IN SETTING RATES AND PROVIDING SERVICES. TO TALK ABOUT THE LEGALITY, WOULD IT BE LEGAL, IT DEPENDS ON WHICH MODEL WE'RE LOOKING AT. IT DEPENDS ON THE SOURCE OF THE FUNDING. IT DEPENDS ON WHO GETS THE MONEY AND WHAT IT IS BEING PAID ON. WHAT I'M ONLY COMFORTABLE SAYING IN PUBLIC, AND NOT IN CLOSED SESSION WITH COUNCIL, IS JUST THAT DEPENDING ON THE MODEL, THERE WOULD BE LEGAL PROBLEMS WITH BEING RETROACTIVE.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE.

FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF FIVE TO TWO WITH THE MAYOR PRO TEM AND COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL VOTING NO. THERE BEING NO MORE BUSINESS BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL, WE STAND ADJOURNED. IT IS 11:55 P.M.

End of Council Session Closed Caption Log